

ALGEBRAIC TWISTS OF MODULAR FORMS AND HECKE ORBITS

ÉTIENNE FOUVRY, EMMANUEL KOWALSKI, AND PHILIPPE MICHEL

ABSTRACT. We consider the question of the correlation of Fourier coefficients of modular forms with functions of algebraic origin. We establish the absence of correlation in considerable generality (with a power saving of Burgess type) and a corresponding equidistribution property for twisted Hecke orbits. This is done by exploiting the amplification method and the Riemann Hypothesis over finite fields, relying in particular on the ℓ -adic Fourier transform introduced by Deligne and studied by Katz and Laumon.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction and statement of results	1
2. Preliminaries concerning automorphic forms	18
3. The amplification method	22
4. Estimation of the amplified second moment	25
5. Contribution of the correlating matrices	37
6. Distribution of twisted Hecke orbits and horocycles	41
7. Trace weights	44
8. Examples of trace weights	49
9. Cohomological interpretation of admissibility	56
10. Further properties of the Fourier-Möbius group	59
11. Examples of determination of $\mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$	61
Appendix A. A remarkable family of elliptic curves	66
References	67

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF RESULTS

This paper concerns a certain type of sums involving Fourier coefficients of modular forms, which we call “algebraic twists”. Their study can be naturally motivated either from a point of view coming from analytic number theory, or from geometric considerations involving Hecke orbits on modular curves. We will present them using the first approach, and discuss the geometric application in Section 1.5.

We will be considering either holomorphic cusp forms or Maass forms. Precisely, the statement *f is a cusp form* will mean, unless otherwise indicated, that *f* is either (1) a non-zero holomorphic cusp form of some even weight $k \geq 2$ (sometimes denoted k_f) and some level $N \geq 1$; or (2) a non-zero Maass cusp form of weight 0, level N and Laplace eigenvalue written $1/4 + t_f^2$. In both cases, we assume *f* has trivial Nebentypus for simplicity.

Date: July 19, 2012, 0:50.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 11F11, 11F32, 11F37, 11T23, 11L05.

Key words and phrases. Modular forms, Fourier coefficients, Hecke eigenvalues, Hecke orbits, horocycles, ℓ -adic Fourier transform, Riemann Hypothesis over finite fields.

Ph. M. was partially supported by the SNF (grant 200021-137488) and the ERC (Advanced Research Grant 228304). É. F. thanks ETH Zürich, EPF Lausanne and the Institut Universitaire de France for financial support.

The statement that a cusp form f of level N is a *Hecke eigenform* will also, unless otherwise indicated, mean that f is an eigenfunction of the Hecke operators T_n with $(n, N) = 1$.

1.1. Algebraic twists of modular forms. Let $f : \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be a cusp form (as discussed above). We have $f(z+1) = f(z)$, so f admits a Fourier expansion at infinity, and we denote the n -th Fourier coefficient of f by $\varrho_f(n)$. Explicitly, if f is holomorphic of weight k , the Fourier expansion takes the form

$$f(z) = \sum_{n \geq 1} n^{(k-1)/2} \varrho_f(n) e(nz),$$

and if f is a Maass form, the Fourier expansion is normalized as in (2.8) below. It follows from Rankin-Selberg theory that the Fourier coefficients $\varrho_f(n)$ are bounded on average

$$(1.1) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} |\varrho_f(n)|^2 = c_f x + o_f(x), \text{ as } x \rightarrow +\infty$$

for some $c_f > 0$. For individual terms, we have

$$(1.2) \quad \varrho_f(n) \ll_{\varepsilon, f} n^{7/64+\varepsilon}$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$ by the work of Kim, Shahidi and Sarnak [26], and moreover, if f is holomorphic, it follows from Deligne's proof of the Ramanujan-Petersson conjecture that the $\varrho_f(n)$ are almost bounded, so that

$$\varrho_f(n) \ll_{\varepsilon, f} n^{\varepsilon}$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

On the other hand, it is also well known that the Fourier coefficients oscillate quite substantially, as the estimate

$$(1.3) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} \varrho_f(n) e(\alpha n) \ll x^{1/2} (\log 2x)$$

valid for $x \geq 1$ and $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$, with an implied constant depending on f only, shows (see, e.g., [20, Th. 5.3] and [21, Th. 8.1]).

One may ask, more generally, whether the sequence $(\varrho_f(n))_{n \geq 1}$ *correlates* with another bounded (or essentially bounded) sequence $K(n)$. This may be defined formally as follows: $(K(n))$ does *not* correlate with the Fourier coefficients of f if we have

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \varrho_f(n) K(n) \ll x (\log x)^{-A}$$

for all $A \geq 1$, the implied constant depending on A .¹ There are many known examples, of which we list only a few particularly interesting ones:

- For $K(n) = \mu(n)$, the Möbius function, the non-correlation is an incarnation of the Prime Number Theorem, and is a consequence of the non-vanishing of the Hecke L -function $L(f, s)$ for $\Re s = 1$ when f is primitive; more generally, for $K(n) = \mu(n) e(n\alpha)$ where $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}$, non-correlation has been obtained recently by Fouvry and Ganguly [16];
- When $K(n) = \overline{\varrho_g(n)}$ for g any modular form which is orthogonal to f , non-correlation is provided by Rankin-Selberg theory;
- For $K(n) = \varrho_g(n+h)$ with $h \neq 0$ and g any modular form, whether it is orthogonal to f or not, non-correlation follows from the study of *shifted-convolution sums*, and has crucial importance in many studies of automorphic L -functions.

¹ It is not enough to ask that the sum be $o(x)$ because this is then true for $K(n)$ equal to the sign of $\varrho_f(n)$.

In this paper we are interested in the absence of correlation of the coefficients $(\varrho_f(n))_n$ against sequences $(K(n))_{n \geq 1}$ where

$$K : \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$$

is a function defined modulo p , for some prime p , which is extended to all of $\mathbf{Z}$ by periodicity. We will then consider sums of the shape

$$\sum_{n \leq p} \varrho_f(n) K(n),$$

or rather smoothed versions of these, which we denote

$$\mathcal{S}(f, K; p) = \mathcal{S}_V(f, K; p) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \varrho_f(n) K(n) V(n/p),$$

for V a smooth compactly supported function on $]0, +\infty[$ (often V will be omitted from the notation).

By (1.1), the trivial bound for these sums is

$$\mathcal{S}(f, K; p) \ll p \left(\frac{1}{p} \sum_{n \leq p} |K(n)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \ll p \max_{1 \leq n \leq p} |K(n)|,$$

where the implied constant depends on f , and our aim will be to improve this bound, in order to derive estimates of the shape

$$(1.4) \quad \mathcal{S}(f, K; p) \ll p^{1-\delta}$$

for some $\delta > 0$, where the implied constant depends only on f , V and easily controlled invariants of K , such as

$$\|K\|_2 = \left(\frac{1}{p} \sum_{n \leq p} |K(n)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \text{ or } \|K\|_\infty = \max |K(n)|.$$

A first (slightly degenerate) example is a (normalized) *Dirac* weight located at some $u \in \mathbf{F}_p$, i.e., $K(n) = p^{1/2} \delta_{n \equiv u \pmod{p}}$. Here $\|K\|_\infty = p^{1/2}$ is large, but $\|K\|_2 = 1$ and

$$(1.5) \quad \mathcal{S}(f, K; p) = p^{1/2} \sum_{n \equiv u \pmod{p}} \varrho_f(n) V(n/p) \ll p^{1-\delta}$$

for any $\delta < 1 - 7/64$ by (1.2).

Another non-trivial choice (somewhat simpler than the previous one) is an additive character modulo p given by $K(n) = e(an/p)$ for some fixed $a \in \mathbf{Z}$. In that case, $|K(n)| \leq 1$ and the bound (1.3) gives (1.4) for any $\delta < 1/2$, with an implied constant depending only on f and V .

A third interesting example is given by $K(n) = \chi(n)$, where χ is a non-trivial Dirichlet character modulo p (extended by 0 at p). In that case, the bound (1.4), with an implied constant depending only on f and V , is essentially equivalent to a *subconvex* bound for the twisted L -function $L(f \otimes \chi, s)$ in the level aspect, i.e., to a bound

$$L(f \otimes \chi, s) \ll_{s,f} p^{1/2-\delta'},$$

for some $\delta' > 0$ and any fixed s on the critical line. Such an estimate was obtained for the first time by Duke-Friedlander-Iwaniec in [13] for any $\delta' < 1/22$. This bound was subsequently improved to any $\delta' < 1/8$ (a Burgess type exponent) by Bykovski and Blomer-Harcos² [7, 4], and to $\delta' < 1/6$ (a Weyl type exponent) when χ is quadratic by Conrey-Iwaniec [8].

² We are very grateful to G. Harcos for pointing out the relevance of these two papers for the present one.

A very general family of examples containing the last two ones above is the following: given ϕ_1, ϕ_2 rational functions

$$\phi_i(X) = \frac{R_i(X)}{S_i(X)} \in \mathbf{Q}(X), \quad i = 1, 2$$

with $R_i, S_i \in \mathbf{Z}[X]$ coprime (in $\mathbf{Q}[X]$), and $\chi \pmod{p}$ a Dirichlet character of order h , we put

$$(1.6) \quad K(n) = \begin{cases} e\left(\frac{\phi_1(n)}{p}\right) \chi(\phi_2(n)), & \text{if } p \nmid S_1(n)S_2(n) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where inverses are computed modulo p and with the usual convention $\chi(0) = 0$. We will prove the following uniform version of (1.4) for such weights with a Burgess type exponent:

Theorem 1.1. *Let f be any cusp form, p a prime and K an arbitrary weight as above. Then we have*

$$\mathcal{S}(f, K; p) \ll p^{1-\delta},$$

for any $\delta < 1/8$. Here the implied constant depends only on V , f and the degrees of the numerator and denominator of ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 .

Under the same assumptions one has

$$\sum_{n \in I} \varrho_f(n) K(n) \ll p^{1-\delta}$$

for any interval $I \subset [1, p]$ and any $\delta < 1/16$.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 ultimately depends on the Riemann Hypothesis over finite fields, which is applied in order to estimate exponential sums in 3 variables with square-root cancellation, using Deligne's results [11].

It is possible to generalize significantly the twisting factor above. A somewhat striking example is the following: for $m \geq 1$ and $a \in \mathbf{F}_p^\times$ let

$$\text{Kl}_m(a; p) = \frac{1}{p^{\frac{m-1}{2}}} \sum_{\substack{x_1 \cdots x_m = a \\ x_i \in \mathbf{F}_p}} \cdots \sum e\left(\frac{x_1 + \cdots + x_m}{p}\right)$$

be the normalized hyper-Kloosterman sum in $m-1$ variables. Recall that by the work of Deligne [10, Sommes Trig., (7.1.3)] we have

$$|\text{Kl}_m(a; p)| \leq m.$$

We will prove the following:

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\phi = \frac{R(X)}{S(X)} \in \mathbf{Q}[X]$ be a non-constant rational function with $R, S \in \mathbf{Z}[X]$, $S \neq 0$. Let $\Phi(U, V) \in \mathbf{C}[U, V]$ be a polynomial in two variables, and let*

$$K(n) = \begin{cases} \Phi(\text{Kl}_m(\phi(n); p), \overline{\text{Kl}_m(\phi(n); p)}), & \text{if } p \nmid S(n) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We have

$$\mathcal{S}_V(f, K; p) \ll p^{1-\delta}$$

for any $\delta < 1/8$, where the implied constant depends only on $(f, m, \delta, \phi, \Phi, V)$.

As explained in Section 1.2, the two examples above are special case of weights that originate as trace functions of a very general class of ℓ -adic sheaves on $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{F}_p}^1$.

In order to deal with this generality, we present our basic argument rather abstractly, considering the twisting of Fourier coefficients $\varrho_f(n)$ by general p -periodic coefficients $K(n)$, and attempting to find conditions which imply non-correlation.

For any $K : \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ and $v \in \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$, we denote by

$$\hat{K}(v) = \frac{1}{p^{1/2}} \sum_{x \pmod{p}} K(x) e\left(\frac{vx}{p}\right)$$

the (unitarily normalized) Fourier transform modulo p of K . For any field L , we let $\mathrm{GL}_2(L)$ act on $\mathbf{P}^1(L) = L \cup \{\infty\}$ in the usual way: for

$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(L), \quad z \in \mathbf{P}^1(L),$$

we have

$$\gamma \cdot z = \frac{az + b}{cz + d},$$

with the customary rule for ∞ (e.g., $\gamma \cdot (-d/c) = \infty$). We then define the correlation function $\mathcal{C}(K; \gamma)$ by

$$(1.7) \quad \mathcal{C}(K; \gamma) = \sum_{\substack{z \in \mathbf{F}_p \\ z \neq -d/c}} \hat{K}(\gamma \cdot z) \overline{\hat{K}(z)}$$

for all $\gamma \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{F}_p)$. Note that the value of this sum only depends on the image of γ in $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbf{F}_p)$.

If $\|K\|_\infty \leq M$ (or even $\|K\|_2 \leq M$), then the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the Parseval formula show that

$$(1.8) \quad |\mathcal{C}(K; \gamma)| \leq M^2 p.$$

Our method is based on the idea that $\mathcal{C}(K; \gamma)$ should be significantly smaller for most γ (by a factor $p^{-1/2}$), and that those γ where it is too big should be under control. By this, we mean that they have specific algebraic properties. In order to give the formal definition, we introduce the following notation concerning the algebraic group PGL_2 and its action on $\mathbf{P}^1$:

- $B \subset \mathrm{PGL}_2$ is the subgroup of upper-triangular matrices, the stabilizer of $\infty \in \mathbf{P}^1$;
- $w = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, so that Bw (resp. wB) is the set of matrices mapping 0 to ∞ (resp ∞ to 0);
- $\mathrm{PGL}_{2,par}$ is the subset of matrices in PGL_2 which are parabolic, i.e., which have a double eigenvalue;
- Given $x \neq y$ in $\mathbf{P}^1$, the subgroup $T^{x,y}$ is the algebraic subgroup of elements fixing x and y , and $N^{x,y}$ is the normalizer of $T^{x,y}$ in PGL_2 .

Definition 1.3 (Correlation matrices and admissible weights). Let p be a prime and $K : \mathbf{F}_p \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ an arbitrary function. Let $M \geq 1$ be such that $\|K\|_2 \leq M$.

(1) We let

$$(1.9) \quad \mathbf{G}_{K,M} = \{\gamma \in \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbf{F}_p) \mid |\mathcal{C}(K; \gamma)| > Mp^{1/2}\},$$

the set of M -correlation matrices.

(2) We say that K is (p, M) -admissible if there exist at most M pairs (x_i, y_i) of distinct elements in $\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{F}_p)$ such that

$$(1.10) \quad \mathbf{G}_{K,M} = \mathbf{G}_{K,M}^b \cup \mathbf{G}_{K,M}^p \cup \mathbf{G}_{K,M}^t \cup \mathbf{G}_{K,M}^w,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{G}_{K,M}^b &\subset B(\mathbf{F}_p) \cup B(\mathbf{F}_p)w \cup wB(\mathbf{F}_p), & \mathbf{G}_{K,M}^p &\subset \mathrm{PGL}_{2,par} \\ \mathbf{G}_{K,M}^t &\subset \bigcup_i T^{x_i, y_i}(\mathbf{F}_p), & \mathbf{G}_{K,M}^w &\subset \bigcup_i (N^{x_i, y_i} - T^{x_i, y_i})(\mathbf{F}_p). \end{aligned}$$

In other words: a weight K is (p, M) -admissible for some $M \geq 1$ if the only matrices for which the estimate $|\mathcal{C}(K; \gamma)| \leq Mp^{1/2}$ fails are either (1) upper-triangular or sending 0 to ∞ or ∞ to 0; or (2) parabolic; or (3) elements which permute two points defined by at most M integral quadratic (or linear) equations. We note that a “generic” matrix is not of this type.

It is not immediately clear why this definition should be relevant. It may also not be obvious at first that there are any examples of (p, M) -admissible weight, at least for a value of M independent of p .

We will present some elementary pedagogical examples in Section 1.3 below, but first we can state our first main result, which is an estimate for $\mathcal{S}_V(f, K; p)$ for any K which is (p, M) -admissible, where the estimate depends on M only as far as K is concerned. We will do this for test functions V which oscillate mildly or with varying small support. Precisely, we will assume that V satisfies the following conditions:

Definition 1.4 (Condition $(V(P, Q))$). Let $P > 0$ and $Q \geq 1$ be real numbers. A smooth compactly supported function V on $[0, +\infty[$ satisfies Condition $(V(P, Q))$ if

- (1) The support of V is contained in the dyadic interval $[P, 2P]$;
- (2) For all $x > 0$ and all integer $\nu \geq 0$ we have the inequality

$$|x^\nu V^{(\nu)}(x)| \leq Q^\nu.$$

In particular, $|V(x)| \leq 1$ for all x .

A smooth dyadic sum corresponds to cases where $P = 1/2$ and Q is absolutely bounded. This is the most important situation to consider in a first reading at least. In other situations, we have in mind that PQ is also absolutely bounded.

Our first main result is

Theorem 1.5 (Bounds for admissible twists). *Let f be a Hecke eigenform, p be a prime number and V a function satisfying $(V(P, Q))$. Let $M \geq 1$ be given, and let K be a weight with $\|K\|_\infty \leq M$ which is (p, M) -admissible.*

We have

$$(1.11) \quad \mathcal{S}_V(f, K; p) \ll p^{1-\delta} (PQ)^{1/2} (P+Q)^{1/2},$$

for any $\delta < 1/8$, where the implied constant depends only on (f, M, δ) .

As a consequence, we get non-trivial estimates for short sums, or for sums over the full interval:

Corollary 1.6. *Under the same assumptions as above, for any interval $I \subset [1, p]$, we have*

$$\sum_{n \in I} \varrho_f(n) K(n) \ll p^{1-\delta}$$

for any $\delta < 1/16$.

Sketch of proof. This is standard analytic number theory, using functions V from smooth partitions of unity of $[1, p+U]$ and $[1, p-U]$, which involve parameters $P \ll 1$ and $Q \ll pU^{-1}$, and the asymptotic formula

$$\sum_{n \leq x} |\varrho_f(n)|^2 = c_f x + O(x^{3/5})$$

for $x \geq 1$ (where the implied constant depends on f) to estimate the boundary terms. □

1.2. Trace functions of ℓ -adic sheaves. Let p be a prime number. The weights $K(x)$ we consider are the trace functions of suitable constructible sheaves on $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{F}_p}^1$ evaluated at $x \in \mathbf{F}_p$. To be precise, we will consider an auxiliary prime $\ell \neq p$, and an ℓ -adic Fourier sheaf on $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{F}_p}^1$, in the sense of Katz (see [23, Def. 8.2.2]). The trace function of such a sheaf takes values in an ℓ -adic field so we fix, once for all, an isomorphism $\iota : \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}$, and we consider the weights of the shape

$$(1.12) \quad K(x) = \iota((\text{tr } \mathcal{F})(\mathbf{F}_p, x))$$

for $x \in \mathbf{F}_p$, as in [24, 7.3.7].

Definition 1.7 (Admissible sheaf). An ℓ -adic Fourier sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{F}_p}^1$ is *admissible* if it satisfies the following conditions:

(1) On any non-empty open subset $U \subset \mathbf{A}^1$ such that $\mathcal{F}$ restricted to U is lisse, this restriction is *pointwise pure* of weight 0.

(2) For any U as in (1), the restriction of $\mathcal{F}$ to U is *geometrically isotypic*, when seen as a representation of the geometric fundamental group of U : it is the direct sum of several copies of some (necessarily non-trivial) irreducible representation of the geometric fundamental group of U (see [23, §8.4]).

If an admissible sheaf is geometrically irreducible (instead of being geometrically isotypic) the sheaf will be called *irreducible*.

Definition 1.8 (Trace weight). Let p be a prime number. A weight $K(n)$ defined for $0 \leq n < p$, seen also as a function on $\mathbf{F}_p$, is an *admissible trace weight* associated to some admissible ℓ -adic Fourier sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{F}_p}^1$ if it is given by (1.12).

The weight $K(n)$ defined by (1.12) for a Fourier sheaf satisfying Condition (1) only, in Definition 1.7, will be called a *trace weight*.

Remark 1.9. Using Jordan-Hölder components, any trace weight can be written as a sum (with non-negative integral multiplicities) of admissible trace weights. For our estimates, it is therefore natural to concentrate on the admissible weights. (See Theorem 11.6 for an example of application of such remarks.)

To obtain (p, M) -admissibility, we will need an invariant to measure the complexity of a trace weight.

Definition 1.10 (Conductor). For an ℓ -adic Fourier sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ of rank $\text{rank}(\mathcal{F})$ with $n(\mathcal{F})$ singularities in $\mathbf{P}^1$, and with

$$\text{Swan}(\mathcal{F}) = \sum_x \text{Swan}_x(\mathcal{F})$$

the (finite) sum being over all singularities of $\mathcal{F}$; we define the (*analytic*) *conductor* of $\mathcal{F}$ to be

$$(1.13) \quad \text{cond}(\mathcal{F}) = \text{rank}(\mathcal{F}) + n(\mathcal{F}) + \text{Swan}(\mathcal{F}).$$

Our second main result is then the following:

Theorem 1.11 (Trace weights are admissible). *Let p be a prime number, $N \geq 1$ and $\mathcal{F}$ an admissible ℓ -adic Fourier sheaf on $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{F}_p}^1$, with conductor $\leq N$. Let K be the corresponding trace weight. There exists $M \geq 1$, depending only on N , such that K is (p, M) -admissible.*

This sweeping result encompasses the weights considered in Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 and a wide range of algebraic exponential sums, as well as point-counting functions for families of algebraic varieties over finite fields.

The definition of (p, M) -admissibility or a weight K involve the Fourier transform $\hat{K}$. We now recall its counterpart at the level of sheaves, which was discovered by Deligne and developped especially by Laumon [28].

Fix a non-trivial additive character ψ of $\mathbf{F}_p$ with values in $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell$. For any Fourier sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathbf{A}^1$, we denote by $\mathcal{G}_\psi = \text{FT}_\psi(\mathcal{F})$ its *Fourier transform sheaf* (we will sometimes simply write $\mathcal{G}$, although one must remember that this depends on the choice of the character ψ). This is another Fourier sheaf, such that

$$(\text{tr } \mathcal{G})(\mathbf{F}_p, y) = - \sum_{x \in \mathbf{F}_p} (\text{tr } \mathcal{F})(\mathbf{F}_p, x) \psi(xy)$$

for any $y \in \mathbf{F}_p$ (see [24, Th. 7.3.8, (4)]).

In particular, if K is given by (1.12) and ψ is such that

$$\iota(\psi(x)) = e\left(\frac{x}{p}\right)$$

for $x \in \mathbf{F}_p$ (we will call such a ψ the “standard character” relative to ι), then we have

$$\iota((\text{tr } \mathcal{G})(\mathbf{F}_p, y)) = -p^{1/2} \hat{K}(y)$$

for y in $\mathbf{Z}$.

A key ingredient in the proof of theorem 1.11 is the following group, which is a geometric analog of the set of correlation matrices:

Definition 1.12 (Fourier-Möbius group). Let p be a prime number, and let $\mathcal{F}$ be an admissible ℓ -adic sheaf on $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{F}_p}^1$; let $\mathcal{G}$ be its Fourier transform with respect to ψ . The Fourier-Möbius group $\mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is the subgroup of $\text{PGL}_2(\bar{\mathbf{F}}_p)$ defined by

$$\mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{F}} = \{\gamma \in \text{PGL}_2(\bar{\mathbf{F}}_p) \mid \gamma^* \mathcal{G} \text{ is geometrically isomorphic to } \mathcal{G}\}.$$

This group is in fact algebraic (see Proposition 10.1), and we will compute it explicitly in several cases.

To prove Theorem 1.1, we show that the corresponding weight is an admissible trace weight. This follows from:

Theorem 1.13. *Let $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \mathbf{Q}(X)$, be two rational functions presented as quotients of integral polynomials. Let p be a prime number and let χ be a character modulo p of order $h \geq 1$.*

For any $\ell \neq p$, and if either ϕ_1 modulo p is not a polynomial of degree ≤ 1 , or χ is non-trivial and ϕ_2 modulo p is not of the form

$$t\phi_3(X)^h, \quad t \in \mathbf{F}_p^\times, \quad \phi_3 \in \mathbf{F}_p(X)^\times,$$

then there exists an ℓ -adic admissible sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ such those associated trace weight is given by (1.6), and such that $\text{cond}(\mathcal{F})$ is bounded in terms of the degrees of the numerator and denominator of ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 .

To complete the proof of Theorem 1.1, we combine this with Theorem 1.5 when ϕ_1 is not a polynomial of degree ≤ 1 modulo p , and in the latter case we use (1.3) instead.

1.3. Pedagogical examples. We present here four examples where $\mathbf{G}_{K,M}$ can be determined “by hand”, though sometimes this may require Weil’s results on exponential sums in one variable or even optimal bounds on exponential sums in *three* variables. This already gives interesting examples of admissible weights.

(1) Let $K(n) = e(un/p)$. Then $\hat{K}(v) = p^{1/2} \delta_{v \equiv -u \pmod{p}}$, so that $\mathcal{C}(K; \gamma) = 0$ unless $\gamma \cdot (-u) = -u$, and in the last case we have $\mathcal{C}(K; \gamma) = p$. Thus, if $M \geq 1$, we have

$$\mathbf{G}_{K,M} = \{\gamma \in \text{PGL}_2(\mathbf{F}_p) \mid \gamma \cdot (-u) = -u\}$$

and, for $1 \leq M < p^{1/2}$, the weight K is *not* (p, M) -admissible (yet non-correlation holds).

Dually, we may consider the weight

$$K(n) = p^{1/2} \delta_{n \equiv u \pmod{p}}$$

for some fixed $u \in \mathbf{F}_p$, for which the Fourier transform is $\hat{K}(v) = e(uv/p)$. Then we get

$$\mathcal{C}(K; \gamma) = \sum_{z \neq -d/c} e\left(u \frac{z - (az + b)\overline{(cz + d)}}{p}\right).$$

If $u = 0$, this sum is $\geq p - 1$ for *every* γ and for $1 \leq M < p^{1/2} - 1$, the weight K is *not* (p, M) -admissible.

For $u \neq 0$, we get $|\mathcal{C}(K; \gamma)| = p$ if $a - d = c = 0$, $\mathcal{C}(K; \gamma) = 0$ if $a - d \neq 0$ and $c = 0$ and otherwise, the sum is a Kloosterman sum so that $|\mathcal{C}(K; \gamma)| \leq 2p^{1/2}$, by Weil's bound. In particular, for $M \geq 3$ and p such that $p > 3\sqrt{p}$,

$$\mathbf{G}_{K,M} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \subset \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbf{F}_p).$$

Thus K is $(p, \sqrt{p})$ -admissible for all $p \geq 17$.

(2) Recall that the classical Kloosterman sums are defined by

$$S(a, b; c) = \sum_{x \in (\mathbf{Z}/c\mathbf{Z})^\times} e\left(\frac{ax + b\bar{x}}{c}\right)$$

for $c \geq 1$ and integers a and b .

We consider $K(n) = S(1, n; p)/\sqrt{p}$ for $1 \leq n \leq p$. By Weil's bound for Kloosterman sums, we have $|K(n)| \leq 2$ for all n . We get $\hat{K}(v) = 0$ for $v = 0$ and

$$\hat{K}(v) = e\left(-\frac{\bar{v}}{p}\right)$$

otherwise. For $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbf{F}_p)$, we find

$$\mathcal{C}(K; \gamma) = \sum_z^* e\left(\frac{\bar{z} - (cz + d)\overline{(az + b)}}{p}\right)$$

where $\sum^*$ restricts the sum to those $z \notin \{0, -d/c, -b/a\}$ in $\mathbf{F}_p$. According to the results of Weil, we have $|\mathcal{C}(K; \gamma)| \leq 2p^{1/2}$ *provided* the rational function

$$(1.14) \quad \frac{1}{X} - \frac{cX + d}{aX + b} \in \mathbf{F}_p(X)$$

is not of the form $\phi(X)^p - \phi(X) + t$ for some constant $t \in \mathbf{F}_p$ and $\phi \in \mathbf{F}_p(X)$ (and of course, in that case the sum is $\geq p - 3$). But ϕ would have to be constant, and so for $M \geq 3$ and p such that $p - 3 > 3\sqrt{p}$, the set $\mathbf{G}_{K,M}$ is the set of γ for which (1.14) is a constant. A moment's thought then shows that

$$\mathbf{G}_{K,M} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \subset \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbf{F}_p).$$

Thus K is $(p, 3)$ -admissible for all $p \geq 17$.

(3) Let $K(n) = e(n^2/p)$. For p odd, we get

$$\hat{K}(v) = \frac{\tau_p}{p^{1/2}} e\left(-\frac{4v^2}{p}\right)$$

by completing the square, where τ_p is the quadratic Gauss sum. Since $|\tau_p|^2 = p$, we find for $\gamma \in \text{PGL}_2(\mathbf{F}_p)$ as above the formula

$$\mathcal{C}(K; \gamma) = \sum_{z \neq -d/c} e\left(\frac{\bar{4}(z^2 - (az + b)^2 \overline{(cz + d)^2})}{p}\right).$$

For $p \geq 3$, Weil's theory shows that $|\mathcal{C}(K; \gamma)| \leq 2p^{1/2}$ for all γ such that the rational function

$$X^2 - \frac{(aX + b)^2}{(cX + d)^2}$$

is not constant and otherwise $|\mathcal{C}(K; \gamma)| \geq p - 1$.

Thus for $M \geq 2$ and $p \geq 7$ (when $p - 1 > 2p^{1/2}$), the set $\mathbf{G}_{K,M}$ is the set of γ for which this function is constant: this requires $c = 0$ (the second term can not have a pole), and then we get the conditions $b = 0$ and $(a/d)^2 = 1$, so that

$$\mathbf{G}_{K,M} = \left\{1, \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right\} \subset B(\mathbf{F}_p) \subset \text{PGL}_2(\mathbf{F}_p).$$

Thus that weight K is $(p, 2)$ -admissible for all primes $p \geq 7$.

(4) Let $K(n) = \chi(n)$ where χ is a non-trivial Dirichlet character modulo p . Then we have $\hat{K}(v) = \bar{\chi}(v) \frac{\tau(\chi)}{p^{1/2}}$ for all v , where

$$\tau(\chi) = \sum_{x \in \mathbf{F}_p} \chi(x) e\left(\frac{x}{p}\right)$$

is the Gauss sum associated to χ . Then for γ as above, we have

$$\mathcal{C}(K; \gamma) = \sum_{z \neq -b/a} \bar{\chi}(\gamma \cdot z) \chi(z) = \sum_{z \neq -b/a} \chi\left(z \frac{cz + d}{az + b}\right).$$

Again from Weil's theory, we know that $|\mathcal{C}(K; \gamma)| \leq 2p^{1/2}$ unless the rational function

$$\frac{X(cX + d)}{(aX + b)}$$

is of the form $tP(X)^h$ for some $t \in \mathbf{F}_p$ and $P \in \mathbf{F}_p(X)$, where $h \geq 2$ is the order of χ (and in that case, the sum has modulus $\geq p - 3$). This means that for $M \geq 2$, and $p \geq 11$, the set $\mathbf{G}_{K,M}$ is the set of those γ where this condition is true. Looking at the order of the zero or pole at 0, we see that this can only occur if either $b = c = 0$ (in which case the function is the constant da^{-1}) or, in the special case $h = 2$, when $a = d = 0$ (and the function is $cb^{-1}X^2$). In other words, for $p \geq 11$ and $M \geq 2$, we have

$$\mathbf{G}_{K,M} = \left\{\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}\right\}$$

if $h \neq 2$, and

$$\mathbf{G}_{K,M} = \left\{\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}\right\} \cup \left\{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right\}$$

if χ is real-valued. In both cases, these matrices are all in $B(\mathbf{F}_p) \cup B(\mathbf{F}_p)w$, so that the weight $\chi(n)$ is $(p, 2)$ -admissible, for all $p \geq 11$.

Note that when χ is real-valued, the bound we use is none other than the Hasse bound

$$\left| \sum_{z \in \mathbf{F}_p} \chi(z(az + b)(cz + d)) \right| \leq 2p^{1/2}$$

valid for $ac \neq 0$ in $\mathbf{F}_p$.

(5) The last example is one where we can not conclude so directly, but we include it to show the exponential sums which arise. Let $K(n) = e(\bar{n}/p)$ for $1 \leq n < p$, $K(p) = 0$. We have

$$\hat{K}(c) = p^{-1/2} \sum_{x \in \mathbf{F}_p^\times} e\left(\frac{x^{-1} + cx}{p}\right) = S(c, 1; p),$$

and hence for γ as above, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}(K; \gamma) &= p^{-1} \sum_{\substack{z \in \mathbf{F}_p \\ z \neq -d/c}} \hat{K}(\gamma \cdot z) \overline{\hat{K}(z)} = p^{-1} \sum_{\substack{z \in \mathbf{F}_p \\ z \neq -d/c}} S((az + b)/(cz + d), 1; p) S(z, 1; p) \\ (1.15) \quad &= p^{-1} \sum_{\substack{z \in \mathbf{F}_p \\ z \neq -d/c}} \sum_{u, v \in \mathbf{F}_p^\times} e\left(\frac{1}{p} \left(\frac{az + b}{cz + d} u + u^{-1} + zv + v^{-1} \right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

The reader will easily check that when $a = d = 0$ (so γ is anti-diagonal), we have

$$\mathcal{C}(K; \gamma) = p^{-1} \sum_{\substack{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbf{F}_p^\times \\ \alpha\beta\gamma\delta = bc^{-1}}} e\left(\frac{\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta}{p}\right) = p^{1/2} \text{Kl}_4(b\bar{c}; p).$$

For general $\gamma \in \text{PGL}_2(\mathbf{F}_p)$, the sums are not “traditional”, and our argument will ultimately be rather different than a direct attempt at estimating the character sums (1.15). The conclusion will be that there exists $M \geq 1$, independent of p , such that $\mathbf{G}_{K,M}$ is reduced to the identity matrix (see Remark 11.2).

Remark 1.14. In each of these examples, we see how the *set* $\mathbf{G}_{K,M}$ turns out to be a *subgroup* of $\text{PGL}_2(\mathbf{F}_p)$, for some M large enough, but independent of p . In sheaf-theoretic terms, this reflects an identity

$$\mathbf{G}_{K,M} = \mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{F}} \cap \text{PGL}_2(\mathbf{F}_p)$$

for the sheaves from which the weights arise.

1.4. Twisted averages of twisted L -functions. We consider here briefly another general type of applications. Consider a newform f of level N and a prime $p \nmid N$. Let $K : \mathbf{F}_p \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be such that $\|K\|_\infty \leq M$ and $K(0) = 0$, and define its (discrete) Mellin transform by

$$\tilde{K} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \widehat{\mathbf{F}_p^\times} \rightarrow \mathbf{C} \\ \chi \mapsto \frac{1}{p-1} \sum_{x \in \mathbf{F}_p^\times} K(x) \overline{\chi(x)} \end{array} \right.$$

where $\widehat{\mathbf{F}_p^\times}$ is the group of multiplicative characters modulo p , which we identify with Dirichlet characters modulo p . For $t \in \mathbf{R}$, we then consider the *twisted average of twisted L -functions*

$$\mathcal{M}(t, \tilde{K}; p) = \sum_{\substack{\chi \in \widehat{\mathbf{F}_p^\times} \\ \chi \neq 1}} \tilde{K}(\chi) L(f \otimes \chi, 1/2 + it).$$

Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the Parseval identity and a suitable bound for the second moment of $L(f \otimes \chi, 1/2 + it)$ (e.g., using the large sieve inequality, as in [22, §7.9]), we obtain the “trivial” upper bound

$$\mathcal{M}(t, \tilde{K}; p) \ll Mp^{1/2+\varepsilon},$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$ where the implied constant depends only on (ε, f, t) .

Standard methods from analytic number theory (in particular the approximate functional equation, see e.g. [22, Th. 5.3]) and the fact that the “root number” of $L(f \otimes \chi, s)$ is given by

$$\varepsilon(f \otimes \chi) = \varepsilon(f) \chi(N) \tau(\chi)^2 p^{-1}$$

where $|\varepsilon(f)| = 1$ (see, e.g., [22, Prop. 14.20]), show that bounding $\mathcal{M}(t, \tilde{K}; p)$ can be reduced to bounding sums of the shape

$$\mathcal{S}_V(f, K; p), \quad \mathcal{S}_V(f, \tilde{K}; p),$$

for suitable test functions V satisfying $(V(P, Q))$ with $P, Q = p^{o(1)}$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$, where the new weight $\tilde{K}$ is also supported on $\mathbf{F}_p^\times$ and given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{K}(n) &= \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\chi} \tilde{K}(\chi) \chi(N) \overline{\chi(n)} \tau(\chi)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \sum_{z \in \mathbf{F}_p^\times} K(z) \text{Kl}_2\left(\frac{nz}{N}; p\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \sum_{\substack{z_1, z_2 \in \mathbf{F}_p^\times \\ z_1 z_2 = n^{-1}}} K(z_1) \text{Kl}_2(w_N \cdot z_2; p) \end{aligned}$$

for $n \in \mathbf{F}_p^\times$, with $w_N = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ N & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{PGL}_2(\mathbf{F}_p)$.

Suppose now that K is an admissible trace weight. Since

$$n \mapsto -\text{Kl}_2(w_N \cdot n; p)$$

is also an admissible trace weight, we see that $\tilde{K}(n)$ is the *convolution* of two admissible trace weights. Its admissibility should follow in great generality from the properties of the ℓ -adic multiplicative convolution (see [23, Ch. 5]). We therefore expect to be able to prove

$$\mathcal{M}(t, \tilde{K}; p) \ll p^{1/2-\delta},$$

for any $\delta < 1/8$, the implied constant depending on ε and t (possibly under very mild additional assumptions on K).

We postpone these investigations to a later work in general, and only take note of a very special case, related to Example (4) in Section 1.3. Namely, if we take $K(n) = \chi_0(n)$ for a fixed non-trivial Dirichlet character χ_0 modulo p , we find that

$$\tilde{K}(\chi) = \delta(\chi, \chi_0) \quad \text{and hence} \quad \tilde{K}(n) = \chi_0(N) \tau(\chi_0)^2 p^{-1} \overline{\chi_0(n)}.$$

Since both K and $\tilde{K}$ are admissible (by Example (4) in Section 1.3), we deduce from Theorem 1.5 the subconvex bound

$$L(f \otimes \chi_0, 1/2 + it) \ll p^{1/2-\delta}$$

for any $\delta < 1/8$ of Blomer-Harcos [4], where the implied constant depends on f and t . The present work therefore provides a general geometric explanation to the exponents of Burgess type obtained in [7, 4]. We observe however, that, by comparison with the general case, this one is somewhat simpler. Because of the shape of the Fourier-Möbius group (the diagonal torus or its normalizer), the arguments of Section 5.2 and after, are not necessary here.

1.5. Equidistribution of twisted Hecke orbits and horocycles. Let $Y_0(N)$ denote the modular curve $\Gamma_0(N) \backslash \mathbf{H}$. For a prime p coprime to N , we denote by $\tilde{T}_p$ the geometric Hecke operator that acts on complex-valued functions f defined on $Y_0(N)$ by the formula

$$\tilde{T}_p(f)(z) = \frac{1}{p+1} \sum_{t \in \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{F}_p)} f(\gamma_t \cdot z)$$

where

$$\gamma_\infty = \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_t = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbf{F}_p$$

(note that this differs from the usual Hecke operator $T_p = (p+1)p^{-1/2}\tilde{T}_p$ acting on Maass forms, defined in (2.2)).

As we will also recall more precisely in Section 2, the L^2 -space

$$\mathcal{L}^2(N) = \left\{ g : Y_0(N) \longrightarrow \mathbf{C} \mid \int_{Y_0(N)} |g(z)|^2 \frac{dx dy}{y^2} < +\infty \right\},$$

has a basis consisting of $\tilde{T}_p$ -eigenforms f , which are either constant functions, Maass cusp forms or combinations of Eisenstein series, with eigenvalues $\nu_f(p)$ such that

$$(1.16) \quad |\nu_f(p)| \leq 2p^{\theta-1/2}$$

for some absolute constant $\theta < 1/2$ (e.g., one can take $\theta = 7/64$ by the work of Kim and Sarnak [26]). This bound implies the following well-known equidistribution result of the Hecke orbits $\{\gamma_t \cdot \tau\}$ for a fixed $\tau \in Y_0(N)$, as p tends to infinity:

Theorem 1.15 (Equidistribution of Hecke orbits). *For any $\tau \in \mathbf{H}$, let $\mu_{\tau,p}$ denote the probability measure on $Y_0(N)$ defined by*

$$\mu_{p,\tau} = \frac{1}{p+1} \sum_{t \in \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{F}_p)} \delta_{\Gamma_0(N)\gamma_t \cdot \tau}$$

where, for any $\tau \in \mathbf{H}$, $\delta_{\Gamma_0(N)\tau}$ denotes the Dirac measure at $\Gamma_0(N)\tau \in Y_0(N)$. Further, let μ denote the hyperbolic probability measure on $Y_0(N)$. Then we have

$$\mu_{p,\tau} \rightarrow \mu$$

as $p \rightarrow +\infty$, in the weak-* sense, i.e., for any continuous and compactly supported function φ on $Y_0(N)$, we have

$$\frac{1}{p+1} \sum_{t \in \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{F}_p)} \varphi(\gamma_t \cdot \tau) \rightarrow \int_{Y_0(N)} \varphi(z) d\mu(z).$$

Note that all but one point of the Hecke orbit lie on the horocycle at height $\Im(\tau)/p$ in $Y_0(N)$ which is the image of the segment $x + i\Im(\tau)/p$ where $0 \leq x \leq 1$, so this can also be considered as a statement on equidistribution of discrete points on such horocycles.

We will consider a variant of this question, which is suggested by the natural parameterization of the Hecke orbit by the $\mathbf{F}_p$ -rational points of the projective line. Namely, given a complex-valued function

$$K : \mathbf{F}_p \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$$

and a point $z \in Y_0(N)$, we define a *twisted measure*

$$(1.17) \quad \mu_{K,\tau} = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{t \in \mathbf{F}_p} K(t) \delta_{\Gamma_0(N)\gamma_t \cdot \tau},$$

which is now a (finite) signed measure on $Y_0(N)$.

We call these “algebraic twists of Hecke orbits”, and we ask how they behave when p is large. For instance, K could be a characteristic function of some subset $A_p \subset \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{F}_p)$, and we would be attempting to detect whether the subset A_p is somehow biased in such a way that the corresponding fragment of the Hecke orbit always lives in a certain corner of the curve $Y_0(N)$. We will prove that, for suitable weights K , this type of behavior is forbidden. The special case where A_p is the set of quadratic residues modulo p is discussed in [29, §1.2, 1.3], where it is pointed out that it is

intimately related to the Burgess bound for short character sums and to subconvexity bounds for Dirichlet L -functions of real characters and twists of modular forms by such characters.

To state our theorem, we use the following terminology: given $M \geq 0$, a sequence of weights $(K_p)_p$ is *uniformly M -admissible* if, for every p , the weight K_p is (p, M) -admissible.

Theorem 1.16 (Equidistribution of twisted Hecke orbits). *Let $N \geq 1$. For p prime, let K_p be a complex-valued function on $\mathbf{F}_p$ with average*

$$m_{K_p} = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{t \in \mathbf{F}_p} K_p(t)$$

such that $m_{K_p} \rightarrow m$ as $p \rightarrow +\infty$, where $m \in \mathbf{C}$.

Let K'_p denote the unitary Fourier transform of $K_p - m$, given by

$$(1.18) \quad K'_p(n) = \frac{1}{p^{1/2}} \sum_{t \in \mathbf{F}_p} K_p(t) e\left(\frac{nt}{p}\right)$$

if $p \nmid n$ and $K'_p(n) = \sqrt{p}(m_{K_p} - m)$ if $p \mid n$.

If there exists a fixed $M \geq 1$ such that the sequence $(K'_p)_p$ is uniformly M -admissible, then we have

$$\mu_{K_p, \tau} \rightarrow m\mu$$

for all $\tau \in Y_0(N)$.

In fact, if φ is a smooth function compactly supported on $Y_0(N)$ with mean zero on $Y_0(N)$, we have the effective decay rate

$$\frac{1}{p} \sum_{t \in \mathbf{F}_p} K_p(t) \varphi\left(\frac{\tau + t}{p}\right) \ll p^{-\delta}$$

for any $\delta < 1/8$, where the implied constant depends only on $(N, \tau, \varphi, \delta, M)$.

Example 1.17 (Duality). Note the presence of some Fourier-duality in comparing the statements of Theorem 1.5 and Theorem 1.16: although the notion of admissible weights occurs in both, it applies either to the given weight $K(n)$, or to its Fourier transform.

Suppose that (K_p) is a sequence of uniformly M -admissible weights. Defining

$$L_p(n) = \hat{K}_p(-n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \sum_{t \in \mathbf{F}_p} K_p(t) e\left(-\frac{nt}{p}\right)$$

we obtain a weight with

$$\hat{L}_p(n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \sum_{t \in \mathbf{F}_p} L_p(t) e\left(\frac{nt}{p}\right) = K_p(n)$$

for all $n \in \mathbf{F}_p$, by Fourier inversion. The average value of L_p is

$$\frac{K_p(0)}{\sqrt{p}} \rightarrow 0$$

as $p \rightarrow +\infty$ (since a condition of admissibility is $|K_p(n)| \leq M$ for all p and $n \in \mathbf{F}_p$). We therefore have $L'_p(n) = K(n)$ for all n . Thus any sequence of uniformly M -admissible (resp. optimal) weights for Theorem 1.5 gives a “dual” one for Theorem 1.16, provided one also ensures that

$$(1.19) \quad |L_p(n)| \leq M$$

for all p and n (which is certainly almost always the case).

In particular, from the examples in Section 1.3 we get the following applications of Theorem 1.16.

(1) For $K_p(n) = S(1, n; p)/\sqrt{p}$, we have

$$L_p(n) = e\left(\frac{\bar{n}}{p}\right)$$

if $p \nmid n$ and $L_p(n) = 0$ if $p \mid n$. Hence (1.19) holds, and we deduce from Section 1.3, (2), that (L'_p) is uniformly (p, M) -admissible for some M independent of p . Hence Theorem 1.16 applies to show that

$$\mu_{L_p, \tau} \longrightarrow 0$$

as $p \rightarrow +\infty$.

(2) Let $K_p(n) = \chi_p(n)$, where χ_p is a non-trivial Dirichlet character modulo p . We have

$$L_p(n) = \frac{\chi(-1)\tau(\chi)}{\sqrt{p}} \bar{\chi}(n)$$

for all n , so that we obtain again (1.19) for $M = 1$. Since multiplying by a constant of modulus one for each p does not affect the limit, the uniform M -admissibility proved in Section 1.3 (4) (applied to the inverse characters $\bar{\chi}_p$) therefore implies that

$$\mu_{\chi_p, \tau} \longrightarrow 0$$

as $p \rightarrow +\infty$. If χ_p is a real character for $p \geq 3$, this recovers the result discussed in [29, §1.2, 1.3].

Theorem 1.16 can be further refined when applied to trace functions of ℓ -adic sheaves.

Theorem 1.18. *Let $M \geq 1$. For each prime p , let K_p be a trace weight modulo p associated to an admissible sheaf $\mathcal{F}_p$ of conductor $\leq M$; there exist M' depending on M such that the sequence (K'_p) is uniformly M' -admissible.*

For any interval $I \subset [1, p]$, let $\mu_{K_p, I, \tau}$ be the signed measure

$$\mu_{K_p, I, \tau} = \frac{1}{|I|} \sum_{t \in I} K_p(t) \delta_{\Gamma_0(N) \gamma_t \cdot \tau}.$$

Then, for any given $\tau \in \mathbf{H}$, any I such that $|I| \geq p^{1-\delta}$ for some fixed $\delta < 1/16$, the measures $\mu_{K_p, I, \tau}$ converge to 0 as $p \rightarrow +\infty$.

Here is a simple application where we twist the Hecke orbit by putting a multiplicity on the γ_t corresponding to the value of a polynomial function on $\mathbf{F}_p$.

Corollary 1.19 (Polynomially-twisted Hecke orbits). *Let $\phi \in \mathbf{Z}[X]$ be an arbitrary non-constant polynomial. For any $\tau \in Y_0(N)$ and any interval of length $|I| \geq p^{1-\delta}$ for some fixed $\delta < \frac{1}{16}$, the sequence of measures*

$$(1.20) \quad \frac{1}{|I|} \sum_{\substack{x \in \mathbf{F}_p \\ \phi(x) \in I}} \delta_{\Gamma_0(N) \gamma_{\phi(x)} \cdot \tau}$$

converge to the hyperbolic probability measure μ on $Y_0(N)$ as $p \rightarrow +\infty$.

For ϕ non-constant, the set $A_p = \{\phi(t) \mid t \in \mathbf{F}_p\} \subset \mathbf{F}_p$ of values of ϕ has positive density in $\mathbf{F}_p$ for p large, but the limsup of the density $|A_p|/p$ is usually strictly less than 1. The statement means that the points of the Hecke orbit of τ parameterized by A_p can not be made to almost all lie in some fixed “half” of $Y_0(N)$, when ϕ is fixed.

These result could also be interpreted in terms of equidistribution of weighted p -adic horocycles; similar questions have been studied in different contexts for rather different weights in [33, 35, 34] (e.g., for short segments of horocycles). Also, as pointed out by P. Sarnak, these admit

an elementary interpretation in terms of representations of p by the quaternary quadratic form $\det(a, b, c, d) = ad - bc$ (equivalently in terms of integral matrices of determinant p). Let

$$M_2^{(p)}(\mathbf{Z}) = \left\{ \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbf{Z}) \mid ad - bc = p \right\}.$$

It is well-known that the non-trivial bound (1.16) implies the equidistribution of $p^{-1/2} M_2^{(p)}(\mathbf{Z})$ on the hyperboloid

$$M_2^{(1)}(\mathbf{R}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbf{R}) \mid xt - yz = 1 \right\} = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{R})$$

with respect to the Haar measure on $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{R})$ (see [31] for much more general statements). Now, any matrix $\gamma \in M_2^{(p)}(\mathbf{Z})$ defines a non-zero singular matrix modulo p and determines a point $z(\gamma)$ in $\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{F}_p)$, which is defined as the kernel of this matrix (e.g. $z(\gamma_t) = t$). By duality, our results imply the following refinement: for any non-constant polynomial $\phi \in \mathbf{Z}[X]$, the subsets

$$M_2^{(p), \phi}(\mathbf{Z}) = \{ \gamma \in M_2^{(p)}(\mathbf{Z}) \mid z(\gamma) = \phi(x) \text{ for some } x \in \mathbf{F}_p \},$$

are still equidistributed as $p \rightarrow \infty$ (compare with [34, Cor. 1.4]). By using the theta correspondence (or working directly with automorphic forms on other quaternion algebras), it is possible to obtain similar results for the representations of p by a general quaternary quadratic form.

1.6. Other sample applications. We gather here some further special cases of interest.

(1) We have

$$(1.21) \quad \sum_{1 \leq n < p} \varrho_f(n) e\left(\frac{\bar{n}}{p}\right) \ll p^{1-\delta}$$

for any $\delta < 1/16$. In that case, recall from Section 1.3, (5) that our method turns out to involve hyper-Kloosterman sums in three variables. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first “natural” and fairly direct occurrence of hyper-Kloosterman sums in 3 or more variables in the analytic theory of modular forms.

(2) Here is another elementary application:

Theorem 1.20. *Let $t \geq 1$ be an integer and let $0 \leq b_1 < b_2 < \dots < b_t$ be fixed integers. Let*

$$P(\mathbf{b}) = \{n \mid 0 \leq n < p, \quad n + b_1, \dots, n + b_t \text{ are all quadratic residues modulo } p\}.$$

We have

$$\sum_{\substack{n \leq p \\ n \in P(\mathbf{b})}} \varrho_f(n) \ll p^{1-\delta}$$

for any $\delta < 1/16$, where the implied constant depends on $\mathbf{b}$ and δ .

We leave the proof to the reader; this should be compared with Davenport’s proof [9] of the asymptotic formula $|P(\mathbf{b})| \sim \frac{p}{2^t}$, which was one of the first applications of non-trivial bounds for character sums over finite fields.

(3) Another general statement is the following:

Theorem 1.21. *Let $\phi \in \mathbf{Q}(X)$ be a non-constant rational function. For a prime p and $m \in \mathbf{Z}$, we write $\varrho_f(m \pmod{p}) = \varrho_f(n)$ where $1 \leq n \leq p$ and $m \equiv n \pmod{p}$. Then we have*

$$\sum_{1 \leq n \leq p} \varrho_f(\phi(n) \pmod{p}) \ll p^{1-\delta}$$

for any $\delta < 1/16$.

1.7. Further comments and possible extensions.

- *The amplification method:* in Theorem 1.5 and its corollaries, it can be checked from the proofs that the implicit constants in the bounds (1.11), depend at most polynomially on M and exponentially on the parameters of f , i.e., these constants are bounded by $O(M^A \exp(N(1 + |t_f|)^A))$ for some absolute constant $A \geq 0$, where t_f is here either the weight of f (if holomorphic) or such that $1/4 + t_f^2$ is the Laplace eigenvalue of f (if f is a Maass form). This exponential dependency is due to our choice of amplifier (see Remark 3.2) which is supported on primes numbers; this allows for a simplification of our argument; it is possible to obtain a polynomial dependency in the parameters of f possibly at the expense of weakening the exponent δ by selecting a different amplifier (as in [14]).

The proof of Theorem 1.5 with a proper choice of amplifier (as above) in fact yields bounds of the shape (1.11) when f is a modular form of level Np , again possibly up to weakening the exponents δ involved.

- *Non-prime moduli:* these methods should apply when the prime modulus p is replaced by a general integer $q \geq 1$. By the Chinese Remainder Theorem, the corresponding correlation sums decompose into sums of prime power modulus; when the modulus is prime the argument remain unchanged; for powerful moduli, the corresponding exponential sums can in general be evaluated, in any specific case, by purely elementary means (e.g., using stationary phase methods).
- *Archimedean variants:* it is also possible to consider Archimedean variant of the sums $S_V(f, K; p)$: a simple example is to consider the weight

$$n \mapsto n^{it}, \quad t \in \mathbf{R},$$

for $t \rightarrow \infty$ and p replaced by $1 + |t|$; this choice yield subconvex bounds for $L(f, 1/2 + it)$ in the t aspect. Moreover more complicated archimedean weights could be of interest; these would yield equidistribution results for twisted archimedean horocycles (see [35, Thm. 3.1] for an example of this flavor). The analysis of the corresponding archimedean correlation sums is then be similar to the powerful modulus case.

- *Adelic variants:* the previous two remarks form a strong incentive to recast the present work in the adelic setting using the relative trace formula which is the adelic counterpart of the Kuznetsov formula (see [30] for instance). This will make it possible to cover the generalization mentioned above in a uniform way, to extend the results to automorphic forms over number fields (as in [29]) and to generalize the equidistribution results described in section 1.5 to adelic quotients associated to general quaternion algebra.
- *Perverse sheaves:* to keep the exposition as elementary as possible, we have considered weights defined as trace functions of Fourier sheaves, although the class of trace functions of perverse sheaves would probably be more natural. The point is that the variety on which the sheaves live (the projective line) is very simple and the difference between the two classes of weights is essentially accounted for by the two examples of Section 1.3 (1), which we can handle by hand. This won't be the case if one considers similar questions for generic automorphic forms on higher rank groups; there, the corresponding flag varieties are more complicated and in particular contain higher dimensional affine spaces. In such cases, a more systematic use of perverse sheaves (and their Fourier transform) may well be necessary.

We plan to discuss some of these questions further in future works.

1.8. Notation. As usual, $|X|$ denotes the cardinality of a set, and we write $e(z) = e^{2i\pi z}$ for any $z \in \mathbf{C}$. If $a \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $n \geq 1$ are integers and $(a, n) = 1$, we sometimes write $\bar{a}$ for the inverse of a in $(\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z})^\times$; the modulus n will always be clear from context. We write $\mathbf{F}_p = \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$.

By $f \ll g$ for $x \in X$, or $f = O(g)$ for $x \in X$, where X is an arbitrary set on which f is defined, we mean synonymously that there exists a constant $C \geq 0$ such that $|f(x)| \leq Cg(x)$ for all $x \in X$. The “implied constant” refers to any value of C for which this holds. It may depend on the set X , which is usually specified explicitly, or clearly determined by the context. We write $f(x) \asymp g(x)$ to mean $f \ll g$ and $g \ll f$. The notation $n \sim N$ means that the integer n satisfies the inequalities $N < n \leq 2N$.

For a rational number $x = a/b$ with a, b coprime integers, the *height* of x is defined by $H(x) = \max(|a|, |b|)$. We denote the divisor function by $d(n)$.

Concerning sheaves, for $a \neq 0$, we will write $[\times a]^* \mathcal{F}$ for the pullback of a sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathbf{P}^1$ under the map $x \mapsto ax$.

For a sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathbf{P}^1/k$, where k is an algebraic closure of a finite field, and $x \in \mathbf{P}^1$, we write $\mathcal{F}(x)$ for the representation of the inertia group at x on the geometric generic fiber of $\mathcal{F}$, and $\mathcal{F}_x$ for the stalk of $\mathcal{F}$ at x .

For $\mathcal{F}$ a sheaf on $\mathbf{P}^1/k$, where now k is a finite field of characteristic p , and for ν an integer or $\pm 1/2$, we also write $\mathcal{F}(\nu)$ for the Tate twist of $\mathcal{F}$. If $\nu = \pm 1/2$, there will always be an isomorphism $\iota : \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ in context, and the choice of square-root of $|k|$ which is required to define the Tate twist will always be the one mapping to $\sqrt{|k|}$ under ι . From context, there should be no confusion between the two possible meanings of the notation $\mathcal{F}(x)$.

1.9. Acknowledgments. This paper has benefited from the input of several people which we would like to thank: R. Pink for explaining us how to prove Proposition 10.1; J. Ellenberg and C. Hall for discussions concerning the Appendix, and D. Zywna for explaining a conceptual proof of Theorem A.1; V. Blomer, T. Browning, H. Iwaniec, N. Katz, E. Lindenstrauss, P. Sarnak and A. Venkatesh for encouraging comments; P. Nelson for illuminating discussions and a careful reading of the manuscript (yet the errors that may remain are entirely ours); G. Harcos for decisive comments on an earlier version of this paper which has led to a significant improvement.

2. PRELIMINARIES CONCERNING AUTOMORPHIC FORMS

2.1. Review of Kuznetsov formula. We review here the formula of Kuznetsov which expresses averages of products of Fourier coefficients of modular forms in terms of sums of Kloosterman sums. The version we will use here is taken mostly from [3], though we use a slightly different normalization of the Fourier coefficients.

2.1.1. Hecke eigenbases. Let $q \geq 1$ be an integer, $k \geq 2$ an even integer. We denote by $\mathcal{S}_k(q)$, $\mathcal{L}^2(q)$ and $\mathcal{L}_0^2(q) \subset \mathcal{L}^2(q)$, respectively, the Hilbert spaces of holomorphic cusp forms of weight k , of Maass forms and of Maass cusp forms of weight $k = 0$, level q and trivial Nebentypus (which we denote χ_0), with respect to the Petersson norm defined by

$$(2.1) \quad \|g\|_q^2 = \int_{\Gamma_0(q) \backslash \mathbf{H}} |g(z)|^2 y^{k_g} \frac{dx dy}{y^2},$$

where k_g is the weight for g holomorphic and $k_g = 0$ if g is a Maass form.

These spaces are endowed with the action of the (commutative) algebra $\mathbf{T}$ generated by the Hecke operators $\{T_n \mid n \geq 1\}$, where

$$(2.2) \quad T_n g(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{\substack{ad=n \\ (a,q)=1}} \left(\frac{a}{d}\right)^{k_g/2} \sum_{0 \leq b < d} g\left(\frac{az+b}{d}\right),$$

where $k_g = 0$ if $g \in \mathcal{L}^2(q)$ and $k_g = k$ if $g \in \mathcal{S}_k(q)$ (compare with the geometric operator $\tilde{T}_p$ of Section 1.5).

Moreover, the operators $\{T_n \mid (n, q) = 1\}$ are self-adjoint, and generate a subalgebra denoted $\mathbf{T}^{(q)}$. Therefore, the spaces $\mathcal{S}_k(q)$ and $\mathcal{L}_0^2(q)$ have an orthonormal basis made of eigenforms of $\mathbf{T}^{(q)}$ and such a basis can be chosen to contain all L^2 -normalized Hecke newforms (in the sense of Atkin–Lehner theory). We denote such bases by $\mathcal{B}_k(q)$ and $\mathcal{B}(q)$, respectively, and in the remainder of this paper, we tacitly assume that any basis we select satisfies these properties.

The orthogonal complement to $\mathcal{L}_0^2(q)$ in $\mathcal{L}^2(q)$ is spanned by the Eisenstein spectrum $\mathcal{E}(q)$ and the one-dimensional space of constant functions. The space $\mathcal{E}(q)$ is continuously spanned by a “basis” of Eisenstein series indexed by some finite set which is usually taken to be the set $\{\mathfrak{a}\}$ of cusps of $\Gamma_0(q)$. It will be useful for us to employ another basis of Eisenstein series formed of Hecke eigenforms: the adelic reformulation of the theory of modular forms provides a natural spectral expansion of the Eisenstein spectrum in which the Eisenstein series are indexed by a set of parameters of the form

$$(2.3) \quad \{(\chi, g) \mid g \in \mathcal{B}(\chi)\},$$

where χ ranges over the characters of modulus q and $\mathcal{B}(\chi)$ is some finite (possibly empty) set depending on χ (specifically, $\mathcal{B}(\chi)$ corresponds to an orthonormal basis in the space of the principal series representation induced from the pair $(\chi, \bar{\chi})$, but we need not be more precise).

With this choice, the spectral expansion for $\psi \in \mathcal{E}(q)$ can be written

$$\psi(z) = \sum_{\substack{\chi \\ g \in \mathcal{B}(\chi)}} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \langle \psi, E_{\chi, g}(t) \rangle E_{\chi, g}(t) \frac{dt}{4\pi}$$

where the Eisenstein series $E_{\chi, g}(t)$ is itself a function from $\mathbf{H}$ to $\mathbf{C}$. When needed, we denote its value at $z \in \mathbf{H}$ by $E_{\chi, g}(z, t)$.

The main advantage of these Eisenstein series is the fact that they are Hecke eigenforms for $\mathbf{T}^{(q)}$: for $(n, q) = 1$, one has

$$T_n E_{\chi, g}(t) = \lambda_\chi(n, t) E_{\chi, g}(t)$$

with

$$\lambda_\chi(n, t) = \sum_{ab=n} \chi(a) \overline{\chi(b)} \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{it}.$$

2.1.2. Multiplicative and boundedness properties of Hecke eigenvalues. Let f be any Hecke eigenform of $\mathbf{T}^{(q)}$, and let $\lambda_f(n)$ denote the corresponding eigenvalue for T_n , which is real. Then for $(mn, q) = 1$, we have

$$(2.4) \quad \lambda_f(m) \lambda_f(n) = \sum_{d \mid (m, n)} \lambda_f(mn/d^2).$$

This formula (2.4) is valid for all m, n if f is an eigenform for all of $\mathbf{T}$, with an additional multiplicative factor $\chi_0(d)$ in the sum.

We recall some bounds satisfied by the Hecke eigenvalues. First, if f belongs to $\mathcal{B}_k(q)$ (i.e., is holomorphic) or is an Eisenstein series $E_{\chi, f}(t)$, then we have the Ramanujan–Petersson bound

$$(2.5) \quad |\lambda_f(n)| \leq d(n) \ll_\varepsilon n^\varepsilon$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$. For $f \in \mathcal{B}(q)$, this is not known, but we will be able to work with suitable average versions, precisely with the second and fourth-power averages of Fourier coefficients. First, we have

$$(2.6) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} |\lambda_f(n)|^2 \ll x(q(1 + |t_g|))^\varepsilon,$$

uniformly in f , for any $x \geq 1$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, where the implied constant depends only on ε (see [14, Prop. 19.6]). Secondly, we have

$$(2.7) \quad \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \text{ squarefree}}} |\lambda_f(n)|^4 \ll x$$

for any $x \geq 1$ where the implied constant depends on f (see, e.g., [27, (3.3), (3.4)]).

2.1.3. Hecke eigenvalues and Fourier coefficients. For $z = x + iy \in \mathbf{H}$, we write the Fourier expansion of a modular form f as follows:

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} f(z) &= \sum_{n \geq 1} \varrho_f(n) n^{(k-1)/2} e(nz) \quad \text{for } f \in \mathcal{B}_k(q), \\ f(z) &= \sum_{n \neq 0} \varrho_f(n) |n|^{-1/2} W_{it_f}(4\pi|n|y) e(nx) \quad \text{for } f \in \mathcal{B}(q), \end{aligned}$$

where $1/4 + t_f^2$ is the Laplace eigenvalue, and

$$E_{\chi,g}(z, t) = c_{1,g}(t) y^{1/2+it} + c_{2,g}(t) y^{1/2-it} + \sum_{n \neq 0} \varrho_g(n, t) |n|^{-1/2} W_{it}(4\pi|n|y) e(nx),$$

where

$$(2.9) \quad W_{it}(y) = \frac{e^{-y/2}}{\Gamma(it + \frac{1}{2})} \int_0^\infty e^{-x} x^{it-1/2} \left(1 + \frac{x}{y}\right)^{it-1/2} dx$$

is a Whittaker function (precisely, it is denoted W_{0,it_f} in [14, §4]; see also [17, 9.222.2, 9.235.2].)

When f is a Hecke eigenform, there is a close relationship between the Fourier coefficients of f and its Hecke eigenvalues $\lambda_f(n)$: for $(m, q) = 1$ and any $n \geq 1$, we have

$$(2.10) \quad \lambda_f(m) \varrho_f(n) = \sum_{d|(m,n)} \varrho_f\left(\frac{mn}{d^2}\right).$$

In particular, for $(m, q) = 1$, we have

$$(2.11) \quad \lambda_f(m) \varrho_f(1) = \varrho_f(m),$$

and moreover, these relations hold for all m, n if f is a newform, with an additional factor $\chi_0(d)$.

2.1.4. The Petersson formula. For $k \geq 2$ an even integer, the Petersson trace formula expresses the average of product of Fourier coefficients over $\mathcal{B}_k(q)$ in terms of sums of Kloosterman sums (see, e.g. [21, Theorem 9.6] and [22, Proposition 14.5]): we have

$$(2.12) \quad \frac{(k-2)!}{(4\pi)^{k-1}} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_k(q)} \varrho_f(n) \overline{\varrho_f(m)} = \delta(m, n) + \Delta_{q,k}(m, n),$$

with

$$(2.13) \quad \Delta_{q,k}(m, n) = 2\pi i^{-k} \sum_{q|c} \frac{1}{c} S(m, n; c) J_{k-1}\left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{mn}}{c}\right).$$

2.1.5. *The Kuznetsov formula.* Let $\phi : [0, \infty[\rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be a smooth function satisfying

$$\phi(0) = \phi'(0) = 0, \quad \phi^{(j)}(x) \ll_{\varepsilon} (1+x)^{-2-\varepsilon} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq j \leq 3.$$

Let

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \dot{\phi}(k) &= i^k \int_0^\infty J_{k-1}(x) \phi(x) \frac{dx}{x}, \\ \tilde{\phi}(t) &= \frac{i}{2 \sinh(\pi t)} \int_0^\infty (J_{2it}(x) - J_{-2it}(x)) \phi(x) \frac{dx}{x}, \\ \check{\phi}(t) &= \frac{2}{\pi} \cosh(\pi t) \int_0^\infty K_{2it}(x) \phi(x) \frac{dx}{x} \end{aligned}$$

be Bessel transforms. Then for positive integers m, n we have the following trace formula due to Kuznetsov:

$$(2.15) \quad \Delta_{q,\phi}(m, n) = \sum_{q|c} \frac{1}{c} S(m, n; c) \phi\left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{mn}}{c}\right)$$

with

$$(2.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta_{q,\phi}(m, n) &= \sum_{\substack{k \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, k > 0 \\ g \in \mathcal{B}_k(q)}} \dot{\phi}(k) \frac{(k-1)!}{\pi(4\pi)^{k-1}} \overline{\varrho_g(m)} \varrho_g(n) + \sum_{g \in \mathcal{B}(q)} \tilde{\phi}(t_g) \frac{4\pi}{\cosh(\pi t_g)} \overline{\varrho_g(m)} \varrho_g(n) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{\chi \\ g \in \mathcal{B}(\chi)}} \sum \int_{-\infty}^\infty \check{\phi}(t) \frac{1}{\cosh(\pi t)} \overline{\varrho_g(m, t)} \varrho_g(n, t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

2.2. Choice of the test function. For the proof of Theorem 1.5, we will need a function ϕ in Kuznetsov formula such that the transforms $\dot{\phi}(k)$ and $\tilde{\phi}(t)$ are non-negative for $k \in 2\mathbf{N}_{>0}$ and $t \in \mathbf{R} \cup (-i/4, i/4)$. Such ϕ is obtained as a linear combination of the following explicit functions. For integers $2 \leq b < a$ with b odd and $a - b \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, we take

$$(2.17) \quad \phi_{a,b}(x) = i^{b-a} J_a(x) x^{-b}.$$

By [3, (2.21)] we have

$$(2.18) \quad \begin{aligned} \dot{\phi}_{a,b}(k) &= \frac{b!}{2^{b+1}\pi} \prod_{j=0}^b \left\{ \left(\frac{(1-k)i}{2} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{a+b}{2} - j \right)^2 \right\}^{-1} \asymp_{a,b} \pm k^{-2b-2}, \\ \tilde{\phi}_{a,b}(t) &= \frac{b!}{2^{b+1}\pi} \prod_{j=0}^b \left\{ t^2 + \left(\frac{a+b}{2} - j \right)^2 \right\}^{-1} \asymp_{a,b} (1+|t|)^{-2b-2}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$(2.19) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{\phi}_{a,b}(k) > 0 & \text{for } 2 \leq k \leq a-b, \\ \dot{\phi}_{a,b}(k) < 0 & \text{for } k > a-b \\ \tilde{\phi}_{a,b}(t) > 0 & \text{for } t \in \mathbf{R} \cup (-i/4, i/4), \end{cases}$$

since $|\Im t| < \frac{1}{2}$.

Notice that if we have the freedom to choose a and b very large, we can ensure that the Bessel transforms of $\phi_{a,b}$ decay faster than any fixed polynomial at infinity.

3. THE AMPLIFICATION METHOD

3.1. Strategy of the amplification. We prove Theorem 1.5 using the *amplification method*; precisely we will embed f in the space of forms of level pN (a technique used very successfully by Iwaniec in various contexts [19, 8]), as well as by others [7], [4]. The specific implementation of amplification (involving the full spectrum, even for a holomorphic form f) is based on [3].

We consider an automorphic form f of level N , non-zero, which is either a Maass form with Laplace eigenvalue $1/4 + t_f^2$, or a holomorphic modular form of even weight $k_f \geq 2$, and which is an eigenform of all Hecke operators T_n with $(n, pN) = 1$.

By viewing f as being of level 2 or 3 if $N = 1$, we can assume that $N \geq 2$, which will turn out to be convenient at some point of the later analysis. We will also assume that f is L^2 -normalized with respect to the Petersson inner product (2.1).

Finally, we can also assume that $p > N$, hence p is coprime with N . The form f is evidently a cusp form with respect to the smaller congruence subgroup $\Gamma_0(pN)$ and the function

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{f(z)}{[\Gamma_0(N) : \Gamma_0(pN)]^{1/2}} = \frac{f(z)}{(p+1)^{1/2}}$$

may therefore be embedded in a suitable orthonormal basis of modular cusp forms of level $q = pN$, either $\mathcal{B}(q)$ or $\mathcal{B}_{k_f}(q)$.

Let $a > b \geq 2$ be even integers, to be chosen later (both will be taken to be large), let $\phi = \phi_{a,b}$ be the function (2.17) defined in section 2.2. We define “amplified” second moments of the sums $\mathcal{S}(g, K; p)$, where g runs over suitable bases of $\mathcal{B}(q)$ and $\mathcal{B}_{k_f}(q)$. Precisely, for any coefficients (b_ℓ) defined for $\ell \leq 2L$ and supported on $\ell \sim L$, and any modular form h , we define an amplifier $B(h)$ by

$$B(h) = \sum_{\ell \leq 2L} b_\ell \lambda_h(\ell) = \sum_{\ell \sim L} b_\ell \lambda_h(\ell).$$

We will also use the notation

$$(3.2) \quad B(g, t) = B(E_{g, \chi}(t))$$

for χ a Dirichlet character modulo N and $g \in \mathcal{B}(\chi)$.

We then let

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} M(L) = & \sum_{k \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, k > 0} \dot{\phi}(k)(k-1)M(L; k) \\ & + \sum_{g \in \mathcal{B}(q)} \tilde{\phi}(t_g) \frac{4\pi}{\cosh(\pi t_g)} |B(g)|^2 |\mathcal{S}(g, K, p)|^2 \\ & + \sum_{\substack{\chi \\ g \in \mathcal{B}(\chi)}} \sum \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{\phi}(t) \frac{1}{\cosh(\pi t)} |B(g, t)|^2 |\mathcal{S}(E_{\chi, g}(t), K, p)|^2 dt, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(3.4) \quad M(L; k) = \frac{(k-2)!}{\pi(4\pi)^{k-1}} \sum_{g \in \mathcal{B}_k(q)} |B(g)|^2 |\mathcal{S}(g, K, p)|^2,$$

for any even integer $k \geq 2$. In all cases, the sum $M(L)$ contains a term involving $\mathcal{S}(f, K; p) = \mathcal{S}_V(f, K; p)$ (we omit the fixed test-function V from the notation for simplicity).

We will show:

Proposition 3.1 (Bounds for the amplified moment). *Assume that $M \geq 1$ is such that K is (p, M) -admissible. Let V be a smooth compactly supported function satisfying Condition $(V(P, Q))$. Let (b_ℓ) be arbitrary complex numbers supported on primes $\ell \sim L$, such that $|b_\ell| \leq 2$ for all ℓ .*

Then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $k(\varepsilon) \geq 2$ such that for any $k \geq k(\varepsilon)$ and any integers $a > b > 2$ satisfying

$$a - b \geq k(\varepsilon), \quad a \equiv b \equiv 1 \pmod{2},$$

we have

$$(3.5) \quad M(L), \quad k^{-3} M(L; k) \ll p^{1+\varepsilon} L P Q + p^{1/2+\varepsilon} L^3 P Q (P + Q)^2$$

provided that

$$(3.6) \quad p^\varepsilon L Q < p^{1/4}, \quad L \leq p P.$$

The implied constants depend on $(\varepsilon, a, b, f, M)$, but they are independent of k .

We will prove Proposition 3.1 in Sections 4 and 5, but first we show how to exploit it to prove the main result.

3.2. From Proposition 3.1 to Theorem 1.5. We assume here Proposition 3.1 and proceed to the proof of the main theorem.

The amplifier we use is due to Venkatesh. We put

$$(3.7) \quad b_\ell = \begin{cases} \overline{\lambda_f(\ell)} / \lambda_f(\ell) & \text{if } \ell \nmid pN \text{ is a prime } \ell \sim L \text{ and } \lambda_f(\ell) \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(note the use of Hecke eigenvalues, and not Fourier coefficients, here).

With this choice, the pointwise bound $|b_\ell| \leq 1$ is obvious, and on average we get

$$(3.8) \quad \sum_{\ell \sim L} |b_\ell| \leq \pi(2L) \leq 2L.$$

Moreover, for $L < p$, we have

$$(3.9) \quad B(f) \gg \frac{L}{(\log L)^3}$$

where the implied constant depends on f . Indeed, we have

$$B(f) = |\{\ell \sim L \mid \ell \nmid N \text{ and } \lambda_f(\ell) \neq 0\}|$$

and it is known that $\lambda_f(\ell)$ does not vanish too often for $\ell \leq p$: using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the Prime Number Theorem for the Rankin-Selberg L -function $L(f \otimes f, s)$ and (2.7), we have

$$B(f) \geq \left(\sum_{\substack{\ell \sim L \\ \ell \nmid N}} |\lambda_f(\ell)|^2 \right)^2 \left(\sum_{\substack{n \leq L \\ n \text{ squarefree}}} |\lambda_f(n)|^4 \right)^{-1} \gg \frac{L}{(\log L)^3},$$

where the implied constant depends on f (this can be improved).

Now we apply Proposition 3.1 for this choice. We recall from (2.19) that we have

$$\tilde{\phi}(t), \quad \tilde{\phi}(t_g) > 0,$$

in the second and third terms of the sum defining $M(L)$, while for $k \geq 2$, even, we have

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\phi}(k) > 0 & \text{for } k \leq a - b, \\ \dot{\phi}(k) < 0 & \text{for } k > a - b. \end{cases}$$

under our conditions on a and b .

Given $\varepsilon > 0$, we can choose a, b large enough, both odd, depending on ε , so that $a - b \geq k(\varepsilon)$, and so that the series

$$M(L) - 2 \sum_{k > a-b} \dot{\phi}(k)(k-1)M(L; k)$$

converges (by (2.18)), and satisfies

$$(3.10) \quad M(L) - 2 \sum_{k \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, k > 0} \dot{\phi}(k)(k-1)M(L; k) = \\ \sum_{k \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, k > 0} |\dot{\phi}(k)|(k-1)M(L; k) + \sum_{g \in \mathcal{B}(q)} \tilde{\phi}(t_g) \frac{4\pi}{\cosh(\pi t_g)} |B(g)|^2 |\mathcal{S}(g, K, p)|^2 \\ + \sum_{\substack{\chi \\ g \in \mathcal{B}(\chi)}} \sum_{g \in \mathcal{B}(\chi)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{\phi}(t) \frac{1}{\cosh(\pi t)} |B(g, t)|^2 |\mathcal{S}(E_{\chi, g}(t), K, p)|^2 dt \\ \ll p^{1+\varepsilon} LPQ + p^{1/2+\varepsilon} L^3 LPQ(P+Q)^2,$$

where the implied constant depends on (f, M, ε) .

Now all the terms of the right-hand side of the equality (3.10) are non-negative. Applying positivity and recalling (3.1), we obtain

$$(p+1)^{-1} |B(f)|^2 |\mathcal{S}(f, K; p)|^2 \ll p^{1+\varepsilon} LPQ + p^{1/2+\varepsilon} L^3 LPQ(P+Q)^2$$

and hence

$$(3.11) \quad |\mathcal{S}(f, K; p)|^2 \ll \left\{ p^{2+\varepsilon} \frac{PQ}{L} + p^{3/2+\varepsilon} LPQ(P+Q)^2 \right\} (\log L)^6$$

by (3.9), where the implied constant depends on (f, M, ε) .

We let

$$(3.12) \quad L = \frac{1}{2} p^{1/4-\varepsilon} (P+Q)^{-1},$$

for arbitrarily small $\varepsilon > 0$. This satisfies (3.6) and, assuming first that $L \geq 1$, we therefore obtain

$$(3.13) \quad \mathcal{S}(f, K; p) \ll p^{7/8+\varepsilon} (PQ)^{1/2} (P+Q)^{1/2}.$$

On the other hand, if $L < 1$, we have $P+Q > \frac{1}{2} p^{1/4-\varepsilon}$, and then the estimate (3.13) is trivial. Thus we obtain Theorem 1.5.

Remark 3.2. If f is holomorphic, or when dealing only with cases where $\mathbf{G}_{K,M}$ is upper-triangular, we can use a more naive amplifier defined by $b_\ell = \overline{\lambda_f(\ell)}$ for all primes $\ell \sim L$ coprime with N , and $b_\ell = 0$ otherwise. But for Maass forms, we will need (in certain cases of Theorem 1.5) to bound b_ℓ uniformly, and this choice would not work unless we assumed the Ramanujan-Petersson conjecture.

3.3. Packets of Eisenstein series. The above argument also yield a similar bound for packets of unitary Eisenstein series, i.e., when f is replaced by

$$E_{\chi, g, \varphi} = \int_{\mathbf{R}} \varphi(t) E_{\chi, g}(t) dt$$

where χ is a Dirichlet character of modulus N , $g \in \mathcal{B}(\chi)$ and φ is some smooth compactly supported function. We have the following:

Proposition 3.3 (Twisted sums of Eisenstein packets). *Let p be a prime number and $M \geq 1$. Let K be a (p, M) -admissible weight, and V a function satisfying (V).*

We then have

$$\mathcal{S}_V(E_{\chi, g, \varphi}, K; p) \ll p^{1-\delta} (PQ)^{1/2} (P+Q)^{1/2}$$

for any $\delta < 1/8$, where the implied constant depends only on (N, δ, φ, M) .

Proof. Let $T \geq 0$ be such that the support of φ is contained in $[-T, T]$. Then we have

$$|\mathcal{S}_V(E_{\chi, g, \varphi}, K; p)| \leq \int_{\mathbf{R}} |\mathcal{S}_V(E_{\chi, g}(t), K; p) \varphi(t)| dt \leq \|\varphi\|_{\infty} \int_{-T}^T |\mathcal{S}_V(E_{\chi, g}(t), K; p)| dt,$$

and we will bound the right-hand side.

Fix some $t_0 \in [-T, T]$. For $t \in [-T, T]$ we let $B(g, t)$ denote the amplifier (3.2) for the coefficients

$$b_{\ell} = \begin{cases} \overline{\lambda_{\chi}(\ell, t_0)} & \text{if } \ell \sim L \text{ is prime and coprime to } pN, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

which satisfy $|b_{\ell}| \leq 2$, where we recall that

$$\lambda_{\chi}(n, t_0) = \sum_{ab=n} \chi\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{it_0}$$

gives the Hecke eigenvalues of $E_{\chi, g}(t_0)$.

Let $\alpha_p = \exp(-\sqrt{\log p})$. For t such that $|t - t_0| \leq \alpha_p$, and for ℓ prime with $\ell \sim L$, we have

$$\ell^{\pm it} = \ell^{\pm it_0} + O(\alpha_p^{1/2}),$$

from which we deduce

$$\lambda_{\chi}(n, t) = \lambda_{\chi}(n, t_0) + O(d(n)\alpha_p^{1/2}),$$

and then

$$B(g, t) = B(g, t_0) + O(L\alpha_p^{1/2}) \gg \frac{L}{\log L}$$

where the implied constant depends on T and N .

We therefore get

$$\frac{L^2}{(\log L)^2} \int_{|t-t_0| \leq \alpha_p} |\mathcal{S}(E_{\chi, g}(t), K; p)|^2 dt \ll \int_{|t-t_0| \leq \alpha_p} |B(g, t)|^2 |\mathcal{S}(E_{\chi, g}(t), K; p)|^2 dt,$$

and the same argument used in the previous section leads to

$$\int_{|t-t_0| \leq \alpha_p} |\mathcal{S}(E_{\chi, g}(t), K; p)| dt \ll p^{1-\delta} (PQ)^{1/2} (P+Q)^{1/2},$$

for any $\delta < 1/8$, the implied constant depending on (T, M) . Finally we get

$$\int_{-T}^T |\mathcal{S}_V(E_{\chi, g}(t), K; p)| dt \ll \alpha_p^{-1} p^{1-\delta} (PQ)^{1/2} (P+Q)^{1/2} \ll p^{1-\delta'} (PQ)^{1/2} (P+Q)^{1/2}$$

for any $\delta' < \delta < 1/8$, the implied constant depending on (δ, T, M) , by partitioning the interval $[-T, T]$ into roughly $\alpha_p^{-1} = \exp(\sqrt{\log p})$ intervals of length α_p . $\square$

4. ESTIMATION OF THE AMPLIFIED SECOND MOMENT

We begin here the proof of Proposition 3.1. Obviously, we can assume that $P \leq p$, $Q \leq p$.

We start by expanding the squares in $B(g)$ and $|\mathcal{S}(g, K; p)|^2$, getting

$$M(L; k) = \frac{(k-2)!}{\pi(4\pi)^{k-1}} \sum_{\ell_1, \ell_2} b_{\ell_1} \overline{b_{\ell_2}} \sum_{n_1, n_2} K(n_1) \overline{K(n_2)} V\left(\frac{n_1}{p}\right) V\left(\frac{n_2}{p}\right) \sum_{g \in \mathcal{B}_k(q)} \lambda_g(\ell_1) \lambda_g(\ell_2) \varrho_g(n_1) \overline{\varrho_g(n_2)}$$

and similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
M(L) = & \sum_{\ell_1, \ell_2} b_{\ell_1} \overline{b_{\ell_2}} \sum_{n_1, n_2} K(n_1) \overline{K(n_2)} V\left(\frac{n_1}{p}\right) \overline{V\left(\frac{n_2}{p}\right)} \\
& \times \left\{ \sum_{\substack{k \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, k > 0 \\ g \in \mathcal{B}_k(q)}} \dot{\phi}(k) \frac{(k-1)!}{\pi(4\pi)^{k-1}} \lambda_g(\ell_1) \lambda_g(\ell_2) \varrho_g(n_1) \overline{\varrho_g(n_2)} \right. \\
& + \sum_{g \in \mathcal{B}(q)} \tilde{\phi}(t_g) \frac{4\pi}{\cosh(\pi t_g)} \lambda_g(\ell_1) \lambda_g(\ell_2) \varrho_g(n_1) \overline{\varrho_g(n_2)} \\
& \left. + \sum_{\substack{\chi \\ g \in \mathcal{B}(\chi)}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{\phi}(t) \frac{1}{\cosh(\pi t)} \lambda_{\chi}(\ell_1, t) \lambda_{\chi}(\ell_2, t) \varrho_g(n_1, t) \overline{\varrho_g(n_2, t)} dt \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that the Hecke eigenvalues $\lambda_g(\ell_2)$ and $\lambda_{\chi}(\ell_2, t)$ which are involved are real for ℓ_2 coprime to pN , because of the absence of Nebentypus.

4.1. First decomposition. We decompose these two moments as

$$M(L) = M_d(L) + M_{nd}(L), \quad M(L; k) = M_d(L; k) + M_{nd}(L; k)$$

depending on whether $\ell_1 = \ell_2$ or $\ell_1 \neq \ell_2$.

We begin with the “diagonal” terms $M_d(L)$, $M_d(L; k)$ where $\ell_1 = \ell_2$, which are the only cases where ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 are not coprime.

Lemma 4.1. *Assume that $|K| \leq M$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have*

$$M_d(L; k), \quad M_d(L) \ll p^{1+\varepsilon} LP(P+1),$$

where the implied constant depends on M , N and ε .

Proof. Consider $M_d(L)$: it decomposes as a sum of the holomorphic, Maass and Eisenstein contributions

$$M_d(L) = M_{d,Hol}(L) + M_{d,Maa}(L) + M_{d,Eis}(L)$$

where, for instance, we have

$$M_{d,Maa}(L) = \sum_{g \in \mathcal{B}(q)} \tilde{\phi}(t_g) \frac{4\pi}{\cosh(\pi t_g)} \sum_{\ell \leq L} |b_{\ell}|^2 |\lambda_g(\ell)|^2 \left| \sum_n K(n) \varrho_g(n) V\left(\frac{n}{p}\right) \right|^2.$$

By (2.6) and the bound $|b_{\ell}| \leq 2$, we get

$$\sum_{\ell \sim L} |b_{\ell}|^2 |\lambda_g(\ell)|^2 \leq 4 \sum_{\ell \sim L} |\lambda_g(\ell)|^2 \ll_{\varepsilon} (p(1+|t_g|))^{\varepsilon} L,$$

where the implied constant is independent of f . We can then apply the rapid decay (2.18) of $\tilde{\phi}(t)$ at infinity and the large sieve inequality of Deshouillers–Iwaniec [12, Theorem 2, (1.29)] to obtain

$$M_{d,Maa}(L) \ll_{\varepsilon} p^{\varepsilon} L \sum_{g \in \mathcal{B}(q)} \tilde{\phi}(t_g) \frac{(1+|t_g|)^{\varepsilon}}{\cosh(\pi t_g)} \left| \sum_n K(n) \varrho_g(n) V\left(\frac{n}{p}\right) \right|^2 \ll_{\varepsilon} p^{1+\varepsilon} LP(P+1),$$

where the implied constant depends only on ε .

The bounds for the holomorphic and Eisenstein portion are similar and in fact slightly simpler as we can use Deligne’s bound on Hecke eigenvalues of holomorphic cusp form (or unitary Eisenstein series) instead of (2.7) (still using [12, Th. 2, (1.28), (1.30)]). And the treatment of $M_d(L; k)$ is essentially included in that of the holomorphic contribution. $\square$

4.2. The contribution of $\ell_1 \neq \ell_2$. The modular forms appearing in $M_{nd}(L)$ or $M_{nd}(L; k)$ are Hecke-eigenforms for the Hecke operators $T(n)$ for $(n, q) = (n, pN) = 1$, hence we can combine the eigenvalues at the primes $\ell_1 \neq \ell_2$ using the Hecke relation (2.10) and

$$\lambda_g(\ell_1)\lambda_g(\ell_2) = \lambda_g(\ell_1\ell_2),$$

obtaining

$$\lambda_g(\ell_1\ell_2)\varrho_g(n_1) = \sum_{\substack{d|\ell_1\ell_2, n_1 \\ (d, pN)=1}} \varrho_g\left(\frac{\ell_1\ell_2 n_1}{d^2}\right).$$

By the Petersson formula (2.12), we write

$$\pi M_{nd}(L; k) = M_1(L; k) + M_2(L; k)$$

where $M_1(L; k)$ corresponds to the diagonal terms $\delta(\ell_1\ell_2 n_1 d^{-2}, n_2)$ while

$$M_2(L; k) = \sum_{\ell_1 \neq \ell_2} b_{\ell_1} \overline{b_{\ell_2}} \sum_{\substack{d|\ell_1\ell_2 \\ (d, pN)=1}} \sum_{\substack{n_1, n_2 \\ d|n_1}} K(n_1) \overline{K(n_2)} V\left(\frac{n_1}{p}\right) V\left(\frac{n_2}{p}\right) \Delta_{q, k}\left(\frac{\ell_1\ell_2 n_1}{d^2}, n_2\right)$$

where $\Delta_{q, k}$ is given in (2.13).

On the other hand, by (2.15), there is no diagonal contribution for $M_{nd}(L)$, and we write

$$M_2(L) = M_{nd}(L) = \sum_{\ell_1 \neq \ell_2} b_{\ell_1} \overline{b_{\ell_2}} \sum_{\substack{d|\ell_1\ell_2 \\ (d, pN)=1}} \sum_{\substack{n_1, n_2 \\ d|n_1}} K(n_1) \overline{K(n_2)} V\left(\frac{n_1}{p}\right) V\left(\frac{n_2}{p}\right) \Delta_{q, \phi}\left(\frac{\ell_1\ell_2 n_1}{d^2}, n_2\right),$$

where $\Delta_{q, \phi}(m, n)$ is defined in (2.16).

Remark 4.2. One can obtain a “trivial” bound for $M_2(L)$ and $M_2(L; k)$ by applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and again the large sieve inequalities of Deshouillers–Iwaniec [12, Theorem 2], namely

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} M_2(L), k^{-1} M_2(L; k) &\ll_{\varepsilon} p^{1+\varepsilon} ((P+1)L)^{\varepsilon} LP(P+1)^{1/2} (L^2 P + 1)^{1/2} \\ &\ll p L^2 (P+1) \end{aligned}$$

where the implied constant depends on (ε, a, b) .

4.3. Diagonal terms. We begin with $M_1(L; k)$: we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_1(L; k) &= \sum_{\ell_1 \neq \ell_2} b_{\ell_1} \overline{b_{\ell_2}} \sum_{\substack{d|\ell_1\ell_2 \\ (d, pN)=1}} \sum_{\substack{n_1, n_2 \geq 1 \\ d|n_1}} K(n_1) \overline{K(n_2)} V\left(\frac{n_1}{p}\right) V\left(\frac{n_2}{p}\right) \delta\left(\frac{\ell_1\ell_2 n_1}{d^2}, n_2\right) \\ &= \sum_{\ell_1 \neq \ell_2} b_{\ell_1} \overline{b_{\ell_2}} \sum_{\substack{de=\ell_1\ell_2 \\ (d, pN)=1}} \sum_{\substack{n_1 \geq 1 \\ d|n_1}} K(n_1) \overline{K(en_1 d^{-1})} V\left(\frac{n_1}{p}\right) V\left(\frac{en_1/d}{p}\right) \\ &= \sum_{\ell_1 \neq \ell_2} b_{\ell_1} \overline{b_{\ell_2}} \sum_{\substack{de=\ell_1\ell_2 \\ (d, pN)=1}} \sum_{m \geq 1} K(dm) \overline{K(em)} V\left(\frac{dm}{p}\right) V\left(\frac{em}{p}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Until this point, V could be arbitrary (provided the sums we wrote made sense). Now assume that V has compact support in $[P, 2P]$. Then the sum over m is in fact of length $\ll \min(pP/d, pP/e)$, the implied constant depending on V . But since $de = \ell_1\ell_2$ with $\ell_i \sim L$, we have

$$\max(d, e) > L.$$

Thus, simply using the bound $|K(n)| \leq M$ and the boundedness of b_{ℓ} , we get:

Lemma 4.3. *Let $K(n)$ be such that $|K| \leq M$ for some $M \geq 1$. Assume $pP \geq L$. Then we have*

$$M_1(L; k) \ll pLP$$

where the implied constant depends only on M .

4.4. Arranging the off-diagonal terms. Now comes the most important case of $M_2(L)$ and $M_2(L; k)$. Their shape is very similar, so we define

$$(4.2) \quad M_2[\phi] = \frac{1}{pN} \sum_{\ell_1 \neq \ell_2} b_{\ell_1} \overline{b_{\ell_2}} \sum_{\substack{d|\ell_1\ell_2 \\ (d,pN)=1}} \sum_{\substack{n_1, n_2 \\ d|n_1}} K(n_1) \overline{K(n_2)} V\left(\frac{n_1}{p}\right) V\left(\frac{n_2}{p}\right) \\ \sum_{c \geq 1} c^{-1} S(\ell_1 \ell_2 n_1 d^{-2}, n_2; cpN) \phi\left(\frac{4\pi}{cpN} \sqrt{\frac{\ell_1 \ell_2 n_1 n_2}{d^2}}\right),$$

for an arbitrary function ϕ . We then have

$$M_2(L) = pM_2[\phi_{a,b}]$$

and

$$M_2(L; k) = pM_2[\phi_k]$$

for $\phi_k = 2\pi i^{-k} J_{k-1}$.

We first transform these sums by writing

$$M_2[\phi] = \sum_{\ell_1 \neq \ell_2} b_{\ell_1} \overline{b_{\ell_2}} \sum_{\substack{de=\ell_1\ell_2 \\ (d,pN)=1}} M_2[\phi; d, e],$$

where

$$M_2[\phi; d, e] = \frac{1}{pN} \sum_{c \geq 1} c^{-1} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_\phi(c, d, e)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_\phi(c, d, e) &= \sum_{n_1} \sum_{n_2} S(en_1, n_2; cpN) K(dn_1) \overline{K(n_2)} \phi\left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{en_1n_2}}{cpN}\right) V\left(\frac{dn_1}{p}\right) V\left(\frac{n_2}{p}\right) \\ &= \sum_{n_1 \geq 1} \sum_{n_2 \geq 1} S(en_1, n_2; cpN) K(dn_1) \overline{K(n_2)} H_\phi(n_1, n_2), \end{aligned}$$

with

$$(4.3) \quad H_\phi(x, y) = \phi\left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{exy}}{cpN}\right) V\left(\frac{dx}{p}\right) V\left(\frac{y}{p}\right).$$

Having fixed d, e as above, let $C = C(d, e) \geq 1/2$ be a parameter. We decompose further

$$(4.4) \quad M_2[\phi; d, e] = M_{2,C}[\phi; d, e] + M_3[\phi; d, e]$$

where $M_{2,C}[\phi; d, e]$ denotes the contribution of the terms with $c > C$, and correspondingly

$$(4.5) \quad M_2[\phi] = M_{2,C}[\phi] + M_3[\phi].$$

We begin by estimating those, assuming that

$$(4.6) \quad |\phi(x)| \leq Bx^\kappa$$

for some $\kappa \geq 0$, $B \geq 0$ and all $x > 0$. Using the trivial bound for Kloosterman sums and the bound $|K(n)| \leq M$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_\phi(c, d, e) &\ll \sum_{n_1 \ll pP/d} \sum_{n_2 \ll pP} cp(en_1n_2)^{\kappa/2}(cp)^{-\kappa} \\ &\ll c^{-\kappa+1} \left(\frac{e}{d}\right)^{\kappa/2} p^3 P^{2+\kappa}\end{aligned}$$

for all $c \geq 1$, the implied constant depending on (M, B) .

For our specific choices of ϕ , we note that we have the upper-bound

$$(4.7) \quad J_{k-1}(x) \ll \min(1, x^{k-1})$$

where the constant implied is absolute. Recalling the definition (2.17), we obtain (4.6) with $\kappa = a - b$ for $\phi = \phi_{a,b}$ and with $\kappa = k - 1$ for $\phi = 2\pi i^{-k} J_{k-1}$, and we note that in the latter case, the constant B is independent of k . Then, summing over $c > C$ and then over (d, e) , (ℓ_1, ℓ_2) , we obtain:

Proposition 4.4. *With notation as above, assuming that $|K| \leq M$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}M_{2,C}[\phi_{a,b}] &\ll p^2 CL^2 P^2 \left(\frac{P}{C} \sqrt{\frac{e}{d}}\right)^{a-b}, \\ M_{2,C}[\phi_k] &\ll p^2 CL^2 P^2 \left(\frac{P}{C} \sqrt{\frac{e}{d}}\right)^{k-1},\end{aligned}$$

where the implied constant depends on (f, M) .

In view of this proposition, we choose

$$(4.8) \quad C = \max\left(1/2, p^\delta P \sqrt{\frac{e}{d}}\right) \ll p^\delta LP,$$

for some small parameter $\delta > 0$ which is at our disposal. Then taking $k = k(\delta)$ and $a = a(\delta)$, $b = b(\delta)$ so that k and $a - b$ are large enough, the total contribution $M_{2,C}$ of the terms $M_{2,C}[\phi_{a,b}]$ and $M_{2,C}[\phi_k]$ to $M(L)$ and $M(L; k)$ will be bounded by

$$(4.9) \quad M_{2,C} \ll p^{-10} L^2 P^2,$$

so it will be negligible.

4.5. Estimating the off-diagonal terms. It remains to handle the complementary sum (see (4.4)) which is

$$(4.10) \quad M_3[\phi; d, e] = \frac{1}{pN} \sum_{1 \leq c \leq C} c^{-1} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_\phi(c, d, e),$$

where C is defined by (4.8). In particular, we can assume $C \geq 1$ otherwise the above sum is zero.

Recall that we factored the product of distinct primes $\ell_1 \ell_2$ (with $\ell_i \sim L$) as $\ell_1 \ell_2 = de$. Hence we have three types of factorizations of completely different nature, which we denote as follows:

- Type $(L^2, 1)$: this is when $d = \ell_1 \ell_2$ and $e = 1$, so that $L^2 < d \leq 4L^2$;
- Type $(1, L^2)$: this is when $d = 1$ and $e = \ell_1 \ell_2$, so that $L^2 < e \leq 4L^2$;
- Type (L, L) : this is when d and e are both $\neq 1$ (so $d = \ell_1$ and $e = \ell_2$ or conversely), so that $L < d \neq e \leq 2L$.

We will also work under the following (harmless) restriction

$$(4.11) \quad 2p^\delta P < L.$$

By the definitions (4.8) and (4.10), the following first simplification is immediate:

Proposition 4.5. *Suppose that (d, e) is of Type $(L^2, 1)$ and that (4.11) is satisfied. Then we have the equality*

$$M_3[\phi; d, e] = 0.$$

It remains to deal with the two types (L, L) and $(1, L^2)$. We will transform each of the sums $\mathcal{E}_\phi(c, d, e)$ to connect them with the correlation sums $\mathcal{C}(K; \gamma)$ for suitable matrices γ . First, by twisted multiplicativity of Kloosterman sums, we get

$$(4.12) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_\phi(c, d, e) = \sum_{0 \leq x_1 < cN} \sum_{0 \leq x_2 < cN} S(ex_1 \bar{p}, x_2 \bar{p}; cN) D(c, d, e, x_1, x_2),$$

where

$$D(c, d, e, x_1, x_2) = \sum_{n_1 \geq 0} \sum_{n_2 \geq 0} K(df_1(n_1)) \overline{K(f_2(n_2))} S(ef_1(n_1) \overline{cN}, f_2(n_2) \overline{cN}; p) H_\phi(f_1(n_1), f_2(n_2)),$$

with

$$f_i(x) = x_i + cNx.$$

We split the double sum over n_1, n_2 into congruence classes modulo p , and apply the Poisson summation formula and the identity

$$\frac{\bar{h}_1}{h_2} + \frac{\bar{h}_2}{h_1} \equiv \frac{1}{h_1 h_2} \pmod{1}$$

for non-zero coprime integers h_1 and h_2 . This shows that³

$$\begin{aligned} D(c, d, e, x_1, x_2) &= \sum_{n_1, n_2 \in \mathbf{Z}} \frac{1}{(cpN)^2} \hat{H}_\phi\left(\frac{n_1}{cpN}, \frac{n_2}{cpN}\right) e\left(\frac{x_1 n_1 + x_2 n_2}{cpN}\right) \\ &\quad \times e\left(-\overline{cN} \frac{x_1 n_1 + x_2 n_2}{p}\right) E(c, d, e, x_1, x_2, n_1, n_2) \\ &= \sum_{n_1, n_2 \in \mathbf{Z}} \frac{1}{(cpN)^2} \hat{H}_\phi\left(\frac{n_1}{cpN}, \frac{n_2}{cpN}\right) e\left(\frac{\bar{p} x_1 n_1 + \bar{p} x_2 n_2}{cN}\right) E(c, d, e, x_1, x_2, n_1, n_2) \end{aligned}$$

with $\hat{H}_\phi(x, y)$ the Fourier transform over $\mathbf{R}^2$ of H_ϕ and

$$\begin{aligned} (4.13) \quad E(c, d, e, x_1, x_2, n_1, n_2) &:= e\left(\overline{cN} \frac{x_1 n_1 + x_2 n_2}{p}\right) \\ &\quad \times \sum_{u_1, u_2(p)} K(df_1(u_1)) \overline{K(f_2(u_2))} S(ef_1(u_1) \overline{cN}, f_2(u_2) \overline{cN}; p) e\left(\frac{u_1 n_1 + u_2 n_2}{p}\right) \\ &= \sum_{u_1, u_2(p)} K(u_1) \overline{K(u_2)} S(\overline{cdN} u_1, \overline{cN} u_2; p) e\left(\frac{\overline{cdN} u_1 n_1 + \overline{cN} u_2 n_2}{p}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that the last expression is now independent of (x_1, x_2) , so that we will be justified to denote this simply by $E(c, d, e, n_1, n_2)$. Opening the Kloosterman sums in (4.13) and changing the order of summation, we see that

$$(4.14) \quad E(c, d, e, n_1, n_2) = p \sum_{z \in \mathbf{F}_p^\times} \hat{K}(\overline{cN}(\bar{d}ez + \bar{d}n_1)) \overline{\hat{K}(-\overline{cN}(z^{-1} + n_2))},$$

and by a further change of variable this becomes

$$(4.15) \quad E(c, d, e, n_1, n_2) = p \mathcal{C}\left(K; \begin{pmatrix} n_1 & (n_1 n_2 - e)/(cN) \\ cdN & dn_2 \end{pmatrix}\right).$$

³ We use the same notation n_1, n_2 for the dual variables, but note that they now range over $\mathbf{Z}$.

Our next step is to implement the summation over x_1 and x_2 modulo cN in (4.12): we have

$$\sum_{x_1, x_2 \pmod{cN}} S(ex_1\bar{p}, x_2\bar{p}; cN) e\left(\frac{\bar{p}x_1n_1 + \bar{p}x_2n_2}{cN}\right) = \begin{cases} (cN)^2 & \text{if } e \equiv n_1n_2 \pmod{cN}, (n_2, cN) = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

by orthogonality of characters modulo cN . Observe also that, since $N \geq 2$, the congruence condition $e \equiv n_1n_2 \pmod{N}$ and the fact that $(e, N) = 1$ implies that $n_1n_2 \neq 0$ and is coprime with N .

The outcome of the above computations is, for any $c \geq 1$, the identity

$$(4.16) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_\phi(c, d, e) = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\substack{n_1n_2 \neq 0, (n_2, cN)=1 \\ n_1n_2 \equiv e \pmod{cN}}} \sum_{n_2} \hat{H}_\phi\left(\frac{n_1}{cpN}, \frac{n_2}{cpN}\right) \mathcal{C}\left(K; \gamma(c, d, e, n_1, n_2)\right)$$

where

$$(4.17) \quad \gamma(c, d, e, n_1, n_2) := \begin{pmatrix} n_1 & (n_1n_2 - e)/(cN) \\ cdN & dn_2 \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbf{Z}) \cap GL_2(\mathbf{Q}).$$

We make the following definition:

Definition 4.6 (Resonating matrix). For $n_1n_2 \equiv e \pmod{cN}$, the integral matrix $\gamma(c, d, e, n_1, n_2)$ defined by (4.17) is called a *resonating matrix*.

Observe that

$$\det(\gamma(c, d, e, n_1, n_2)) = de$$

and since de is coprime with p , the reduction of $\gamma(c, d, e, n_1, n_2)$ modulo p provides a well-defined element in $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbf{F}_p)$.

4.6. Estimating the Fourier transform. Our next purpose is to truncate the sum over n_1, n_2 in (4.16). To do this, we introduce a new parameter:

$$(4.18) \quad Z = \frac{P}{cN} \sqrt{\frac{e}{d}} \asymp \begin{cases} \frac{P}{cN} & \text{if } (d, e) \text{ is of Type } (L, L), \\ \frac{LP}{cN} & \text{if } (d, e) \text{ is of Type } (1, L^2). \end{cases}$$

Note that, since $1 \leq c \leq C = p^\delta P(e/d)^{1/2}$, we have

$$(4.19) \quad Z \gg p^{-\delta}.$$

We will use Z to estimate the Fourier transform $\hat{H}_\phi(\frac{n_1}{cpN}, \frac{n_2}{cpN})$. The first bound is given by the following lemma:

Lemma 4.7. *Let (d, e) be of Type (L, L) or of Type $(1, L^2)$. Let H_ϕ and Z be defined by (4.3) and (4.18). Assume that V satisfies $(V(P, Q))$ and that $n_1n_2 \neq 0$.*

(1) *For $\phi = \phi_{a,b}$, we have*

$$\frac{1}{(pN)^2} \hat{H}_{\phi_{a,b}}\left(\frac{n_1}{cpN}, \frac{n_2}{cpN}\right) \ll \frac{P^2}{d} \frac{Z^{a-b}}{(1+Z)^{a+1/2}} \left(\frac{cdP^{-1}(Q+Z)}{|n_1|}\right)^\mu \left(\frac{cP^{-1}(Q+Z)}{|n_2|}\right)^\nu$$

for all $\mu, \nu \geq 0$, where the implied constant depends on (N, μ, ν, a, b) .

(2) *For $\phi = 2\pi i^{-k} J_{k-1}$, we have*

$$\frac{1}{(pN)^2} \hat{H}_\phi\left(\frac{n_1}{cpN}, \frac{n_2}{cpN}\right) \ll \frac{P^2}{d} \left(\frac{cdP^{-1}(Q+Z)}{|n_1|}\right)^\mu \left(\frac{cP^{-1}(Q+Z)}{|n_2|}\right)^\nu$$

for all $\mu, \nu \geq 0$, where the implied constant depends on (N, μ, ν) , but not on k .

Proof. (1) Recalling (4.3) and (2.17), we have

$$\frac{1}{p^2} \widehat{H}_{\phi_{a,b}} \left(\frac{n_1}{cpN}, \frac{n_2}{cpN} \right) = \frac{1}{d} \iint_{\mathbf{R}^2} V(x)V(y) i^{b-a} \left(4\pi \frac{(e/d)^{1/2}}{cN} \sqrt{xy} \right)^{-b} J_a \left(4\pi \frac{(e/d)^{1/2}}{cN} \sqrt{xy} \right) e \left(-\frac{(n_1/d)x + n_2y}{cN} \right) dx dy.$$

We use the uniform estimates

$$\left(\frac{z}{1+z} \right)^\nu J_a^{(\nu)}(2\pi z) \ll \frac{z^a}{(1+z)^{a+1/2}}$$

for the Bessel function, valid for $z > 0$ and $\nu \geq 0$, where the implied constant depends on a and ν (see [15, Chap. VII]). We also remark that Z is the order of magnitude of the variable inside $J_a(\cdots)$ in the above formula, then integrating by parts μ times with respect to x and ν times with respect to y , we get the result indicated.

(2) This is very similar: since we want uniformity with respect to k , we use the integral representation

$$J_{k-1}(2\pi x) = \int_0^1 e(-(k-1)t + x \sin(2\pi t)) dt$$

for the Bessel function ([17, 8.411]). After inserting it in the integral defining the Fourier transform, we find the desired estimates by repeated integrations by parts as before. $\square$

Applying this Lemma with μ, ν very large, remarking that in both cases we have $dZ \leq LP$, and appealing to the bound (1.8), namely

$$|\mathcal{C}(K; \gamma(c, d, e, n_1, n_2))| \leq M^2 p,$$

we see that, for any fixed $\varepsilon > 0$, the contributions to $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_\phi(c, d, e)$ of the integers n_1, n_2 with

$$(4.20) \quad |n_1| \geq N_1 = p^\varepsilon \frac{cd(Q+Z)}{P}, \quad \text{or} \quad |n_2| \geq N_2 = \frac{N_1}{d} = p^\varepsilon \frac{c(Q+Z)}{P}$$

are negligible (see (4.16)).

Thus we get:

Proposition 4.8 (Off-diagonal terms). *Let (d, e) be of Type (L, L) or of Type $(1, L^2)$. Let $\delta > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be fixed. Let C, N_1 and N_2 be defined by (4.8) and (4.20). Then for $\phi = \phi_{a,b}$ or $2\pi i^{-k} J_{k-1}$, we have*

$$M_3[\phi; d, e] = \frac{1}{pN} \sum_{c \leq C} c^{-1} \mathcal{E}_\phi(c, d, e) + O(p^{-2})$$

where $\mathcal{E}_\phi$ is the subsum of $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_\phi$ given by

$$\mathcal{E}_\phi(c, d, e) = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq |n_1| \leq N_1, \ 1 \leq |n_2| \leq N_2 \\ (n_2, cN)=1 \\ n_1 n_2 \equiv e \pmod{cN}}} \widehat{H}_\phi \left(\frac{n_1}{cpN}, \frac{n_2}{cpN} \right) \mathcal{C} \left(K; \begin{pmatrix} n_1 & (n_1 n_2 - e)/(cN) \\ cdN & dn_2 \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

The implied constant depends on $(\delta, \varepsilon, M, N)$, but is independent of k for $\phi = 2\pi i^{-k} J_{k-1}$.

4.7. A more precise evaluation. In the range $|n_i| \leq N_i$, $i = 1, 2$ we will need a more precise evaluation. We will take some time to prove the following result:

Lemma 4.9. *Let (d, e) be of Type (L, L) or of Type $(1, L^2)$. Let H_ϕ and Z be defined by (4.3) and (4.18). Assume that V satisfies $(V(P, Q))$ and that $n_1 n_2 \neq 0$.*

(1) *For $\phi = \phi_{a,b}$, we have*

$$\frac{1}{p^2} \widehat{H}_{\phi_{a,b}} \left(\frac{n_1}{cpN}, \frac{n_2}{cpN} \right) \ll p^\delta \frac{P^2}{d} \min \left(\frac{1}{Z^{1/2}}, \frac{Q}{Z} \right),$$

where the implied constant depends on (a, b, N) .

(2) *For $\phi = \phi_k$, we have*

$$\frac{1}{p^2} \widehat{H}_{\phi_k} \left(\frac{n_1}{cpN}, \frac{n_2}{cpN} \right) \ll k^3 p^\delta \frac{P^2}{d} \min \left(\frac{1}{Z^{1/2}}, \frac{Q}{Z} \right),$$

where the implied constant depends on N .

Proof. We consider the case $\phi = \phi_k$, the other one being similar. We shall exploit the asymptotic oscillation and decay of the Bessel function $J_{k-1}(z)$ for large z . More precisely, we use the formula

$$J_{k-1}(2\pi z) = \frac{1}{\pi z^{1/2}} \left(\cos \left(2\pi z - \frac{\pi}{2}(k-1) - \frac{\pi}{4} \right) + O \left(\frac{k^3}{z} \right) \right)$$

which is valid uniformly for $z > 0$ and $k \geq 1$ with an absolute implied constant (to see this, use the formula

$$J_{k-1}(2\pi z) = \frac{1}{\pi z^{1/2}} \left(\cos \left(2\pi z - \frac{\pi}{2}(k-1) - \frac{\pi}{4} \right) + O \left(\frac{1 + (k-1)^2}{z} \right) \right)$$

from, e.g., [21, p.227, (B 35)], which holds with an absolute implied constant for $z \geq 1 + (k-1)^2$, and combine it with the bound $|J_{k-1}(x)| \leq 1$.)

The contribution of the second term in this expansion to

$$\frac{1}{p^2} \widehat{H}_{\phi_k} \left(\frac{n_1}{cpN}, \frac{n_2}{cpN} \right)$$

is bounded by

$$(4.21) \quad \ll \frac{P^2}{d} \frac{k^3}{Z^{3/2}}.$$

The contribution arising from the first term can be written as a linear combination (with bounded coefficients) of two expression of the shape

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{dZ^{1/2}} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^2} \left(\frac{P}{\sqrt{xy}} \right)^{1/2} V(x) V(y) e \left(\frac{\pm 2\sqrt{(e/d)xy} - (n_1/d)x - n_2y}{cN} \right) dx dy \\ &= \frac{8P^2}{dZ^{1/2}} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^2} (2xy)^{1/2} V(2Px^2) V(2Py^2) e \left(-2P \frac{(n_1/d)x^2 \mp 2\sqrt{e/d}xy + n_2y^2}{cN} \right) dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

We write these in the form

$$(4.22) \quad \frac{8P^2}{dZ^{1/2}} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^2} G(x, y) e(F_\pm(x, y)) dx dy,$$

where we note that the function

$$G(x, y) = (2xy)^{1/2} V(2Px^2) V(2Py^2)$$

is smooth and compactly supported in $[0, 1]^2$, and – crucially – the phase

$$F_{\pm}(x, y) = -2P \frac{(n_1/d)x^2 \mp \sqrt{e/d}xy + n_2y^2}{cN}$$

is a quadratic form.

In particular, since $Z \gg p^{-\delta}$ (see (4.19)), we obtain a first easy bound

$$(4.23) \quad \frac{1}{p^2} \widehat{H}_{\phi_k} \left(\frac{n_1}{cpN}, \frac{n_2}{cpN} \right) \ll k^3 p^{\delta} \frac{P^2}{dZ^{1/2}}.$$

We now prove two lemmas in order to deal with the oscillatory integrals (4.22) above, from which we will gain an extra factor $Z^{1/2}$. We use the notation

$$\varphi^{(i,j)} = \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial^i x \partial^j y}$$

for a function φ on $\mathbf{R}^2$.

Lemma 4.10. *Let $F(x, y)$ be a quadratic form and $G(x, y)$ a smooth function, compactly supported on $[0, 1]$, satisfying the inequality*

$$\|G\|_{\infty} + \|G^{(0,1)}\|_{\infty} \leq G_0,$$

where G_0 is some positive constant. Let λ_2 denote the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbf{R}^2$.

Then, for every $B > 0$, we have

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 G(x, y) e(F(x, y)) dx dy \ll G_0 (\lambda_2(G(B)) + B^{-1}),$$

where

$$G(B) = \{(x, y) \in [0, 1]^2 \mid |F^{(0,1)}(x, y)| \leq B\}$$

and the implied constant is absolute.

Proof. For $0 \leq x \leq 1$, let

$$\mathcal{A}(x) = \{y \in [0, 1] \mid |F^{(0,1)}(x, y)| \leq B\},$$

and $\overline{\mathcal{A}}(x)$ its complement in $[0, 1]$. Note that $\mathcal{A}(x)$ is a segment (possibly empty), with length $\lambda_1(\mathcal{A}(x))$. Using Fubini's formula, we write

$$(4.24) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 G(x, y) e(F(x, y)) dx dy &= \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^1 G(x, y) e(F(x, y)) dy \right) dx \\ &= \int_0^1 I(x) dx, \end{aligned}$$

say. To study $I(x)$, we use the partition $[0, 1] = \mathcal{A}(x) \cup \overline{\mathcal{A}}(x)$, leading to the inequality

$$|I(x)| \leq G_0 \lambda_1(\mathcal{A}(x)) + \left| \int_{\overline{\mathcal{A}}(x)} G(x, y) e(F(x, y)) dy \right|.$$

To simplify the exposition, we suppose that $\overline{\mathcal{A}}(x)$ is a segment of the form $]a(x), 1]$ with $0 \leq a(x) \leq 1$ (when it consists in two segments, the proof is similar). Integrating by part, we get

$$(4.25) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{A}}(x)} G(x, y) e(F(x, y)) dy &= \int_{a(x)}^1 \frac{G}{F^{(0,1)}}(x, y) \cdot F^{(0,1)}(x, y) \cdot e(F(x, y)) dy \\ &= \left[\frac{G}{F^{(0,1)}}(x, y) \cdot e(F(x, y)) \right]_{y=a(x)}^{y=1} - \int_{a(x)}^1 \left(\frac{G}{F^{(0,1)}}(x, y) \right)^{(0,1)} \cdot e(F(x, y)) dy. \end{aligned}$$

The first term in the right hand side of (4.25) is $\ll G_0 B^{-1}$. The modulus of the second one is

$$\leq G_0 \int_{a(x)}^1 \left\{ \frac{1}{|F^{(0,1)}|} + \frac{|F^{(0,2)}|}{|F^{(0,1)}|^2} \right\} (x, y) dy \ll G_0 B^{-1}$$

since, on the interval of integration, $F^{(0,1)}$ has a constant sign and $F^{(0,2)}$ is constant. Inserting these estimations in (4.24) and using the equality

$$\int_0^1 \lambda_1(\mathcal{A}(x)) dx = \lambda_2(G(B)),$$

we complete the proof. $\square$

The following lemma gives an upper bound for the constant $\lambda_2(G(B))$ that appears in the previous one.

Lemma 4.11. *Let $F(x, y) = c_0 x^2 + 2c_1 xy + c_2 y^2$ be a quadratic form with real coefficients c_i . Let $B > 0$ and let $G(B)$ be the corresponding subset of $[0, 1]^2$ as defined in Lemma 4.10. We then have the inequality*

$$\lambda_2(G(B)) \leq B/|c_1|.$$

Proof. By integrating with respect to x first, we can write

$$\lambda_2(G(B)) = \int_0^1 \lambda_1(\mathcal{B}(y)) dy,$$

where

$$\mathcal{B}(y) = \{x \in [0, 1] \mid |2c_1 x + 2c_2 y| = |F^{(0,1)}(x, y)| \leq B\}.$$

This set is again a segment, of length at most $B/|c_1|$. Integrating over y , we get the desired result. $\square$

We return to the study of the integral appearing in (4.22). Here we see easily that Lemma 4.11 applies with

$$|c_1| = \frac{2P}{cN} \sqrt{\frac{e}{d}} = 2Z, \quad G_0 \ll Q.$$

Hence, by Lemma 4.10, we deduce

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}^2} G(x, y) e(F_{\pm}(x, y)) dx dy \ll Q(B/Z + B^{-1}),$$

for any $B > 0$. Choosing $B = \sqrt{Z}$, we see that the above integral is $\ll QZ^{-1/2}$.

It only remains to gather (4.21), (4.22), (4.23) with the bound $Z^{-3/2} \ll p^{\delta/2} Q/Z$ to complete the proof of Lemma 4.9. $\square$

4.8. Contribution of the non-correlating matrices. From now on, we simply choose $\delta = \varepsilon > 0$ in order to finalize the estimates.

We start by separating the terms according as to whether

$$|\mathcal{C}(K; \gamma(c, d, e, n_1, n_2))| \leq Mp^{1/2}$$

or not, *i.e.*, as to whether the reduction modulo p of the resonating matrix $\gamma(c, d, e, n_1, n_2)$ is in the set $\mathbf{G}_{K, M}$ of M -correlation matrices or not (see (1.9)). Thus we write

$$\mathcal{E}_{\phi}(c, d, e) = \mathcal{E}_{\phi}^n(c, d, e) + \mathcal{E}_{\phi}^c(c, d, e),$$

where

$$\mathcal{E}_\phi^n(c, d, e) = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq |n_1| \leq N_1, \ 1 \leq |n_2| \leq N_2 \\ (n_2, cN)=1 \\ n_1 n_2 \equiv e \pmod{cN}}} \sum^* \widehat{H}_\phi\left(\frac{n_1}{cpN}, \frac{n_2}{cpN}\right) \mathcal{C}\left(K; \gamma(c, d, e, n_1, n_2)\right),$$

where $\sum \sum^*$ restricts to those (n_1, n_2) such that

$$\gamma(c, d, e, n_1, n_2) \pmod{p} \notin \mathbf{G}_{K, M},$$

and $\mathcal{E}_\phi^c$ is the contribution of the remaining terms. Similarly, we write

$$M_3[\phi; d, e] = \frac{1}{pN} \sum_{c \leq C} c^{-1} \left(\mathcal{E}_\phi^n(c, d, e) + \mathcal{E}_\phi^c(c, d, e) \right) = M_3^n[\phi; d, e] + M_3^c[\phi; d, e].$$

We will treat $M_3^n[\phi; d, e]$ slightly differently, depending on whether (d, e) is of Type (L, L) or of Type $(1, L^2)$. For $\mathsf{T} = (L, L)$ or $(1, L^2)$, we write

$$M_3^{n, \mathsf{T}}[\phi] = \sum_{\ell_1 \neq \ell_2} b_{\ell_1} \overline{b_{\ell_2}} \sum_{\substack{de = \ell_1 \ell_2, \text{ type } \mathsf{T} \\ (d, pN)=1}} M_3^n[\phi; d, e].$$

Notice that in both cases we have

$$\frac{N_1 N_2}{c} = p^{2\varepsilon} \left(\frac{cdQ}{P} + \frac{(de)^{1/2}}{N} \right) \left(\frac{Q}{P} + \frac{(e/d)^{1/2}}{cN} \right) \gg \frac{L}{P} \gg 1,$$

by (4.11), where the implied constant depends on N . This shows that the total numbers of terms in the sum $\mathcal{E}_\phi(c, d, e)$ (or its subsums $\mathcal{E}_\phi^n(c, d, e)$) is $\ll N_1 N_2 c^{-1}$.

– When (d, e) is of Type (L, L) , we appeal simply to Lemma 4.7 with $\mu = \nu = 0$, and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} c^{-1} \mathcal{E}_\phi^n(c, d, e) &\ll c^{-1} p^{3/2} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq |n_1| \leq N_1, \ 1 \leq |n_2| \leq N_2 \\ (n_2, cN)=1 \\ n_1 n_2 \equiv e \pmod{cN}}} \sum^* \frac{1}{p^2} \left| \widehat{H}_\phi\left(\frac{n_1}{cpN}, \frac{n_2}{cpN}\right) \right| \\ &\ll p^{3/2+2\varepsilon} \frac{P^2}{d} \frac{N_1 N_2}{c^2} \ll p^{3/2+2\varepsilon} (Q + Z)^2 \ll p^{3/2+2\varepsilon} (Q + P)^2, \end{aligned}$$

for $\phi = \phi_{a,b}$ or $\phi = \phi_k$.

Summing the above over $c \leq C = p^\varepsilon P$ and then over (ℓ_1, ℓ_2) , and over the pairs (d, e) of Type (L, L) , we conclude that

$$(4.26) \quad M_3^{n, (L, L)}[\phi] \ll p^{1/2+3\varepsilon} L^2 P (P + Q)^2.$$

– When (d, e) is of Type $(1, L^2)$, we have $d = 1$ and

$$c \leq C \ll p^\varepsilon LP, \quad Z \asymp \frac{LP}{cN}, \quad N_1 = N_2 \asymp p^\varepsilon \frac{c(Q + LP/(cN))}{P}.$$

We now apply Lemma 4.9. Considering the case of $\phi = \phi_k$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} c^{-1} \mathcal{E}_\phi^n(c, d, e) &\ll c^{-1} p^{3/2} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq |n_1| \leq N_1, \ 1 \leq |n_2| \leq N_2 \\ (n_2, cN)=1 \\ n_1 n_2 \equiv e \pmod{cN}}} \sum^* \frac{1}{p^2} \left| \widehat{H}_\phi\left(\frac{n_1}{cpN}, \frac{n_2}{cpN}\right) \right| \\ &\ll k^3 p^{3/2+2\varepsilon} \frac{P^2 Q}{Z} \frac{N_1 N_2}{c^2} \ll k^3 p^{3/2+2\varepsilon} \frac{cQ}{LP} \left(Q + \frac{LP}{c} \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

If $\phi = \phi_{a,b}$, we obtain the same bound without the factor k^3 , but the implied constant then depends also on (a, b) .

We then sum over $c \leq C$, over (ℓ_1, ℓ_2) and over the pairs (d, e) of Type $(1, L^2)$, and deduce that

$$(4.27) \quad M_3^{n, (1, L^2)}[\phi_k] \ll k^3 p^{1/2+5\varepsilon} L^3 P Q (P+Q)^2, \quad M_3^{n, (1, L^2)}[\phi_{a,b}] \ll p^{1/2+5\varepsilon} L^3 P Q (P+Q)^2.$$

Finally, in view of Lemma 4.5, the combination of (4.26) and (4.27), and a renaming of ε , show that

$$(4.28) \quad M_3^n[\phi_{a,b}] \ll p^{1/2+\varepsilon} L^3 P Q (P+Q)^2, \quad M_3^n[\phi_k] \ll k^3 p^{1/2+\varepsilon} L^3 P Q (P+Q)^2$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$ where the implied constant depends on $(\varepsilon, M, N, a, b)$ for $\phi = \phi_{a,b}$ and on (ε, M, N) for $\phi = \phi_k$.

5. CONTRIBUTION OF THE CORRELATING MATRICES

To conclude the proof of Proposition 3.1 we evaluate the contribution $M_3^c[\phi, d, e]$, corresponding to the resonating matrices whose reduction modulo p is a correlating matrix, *i.e.*, such that

$$(5.1) \quad \gamma(c, d, e, n_1, n_2) = \begin{pmatrix} n_1 & (n_1 n_2 - e)/(cN) \\ cdN & dn_2 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{p} \in \mathbf{G}_{K,M}.$$

In that case, we will use the estimate

$$(5.2) \quad |\mathcal{C}(K; \gamma(c, d, e, n_1, n_2))| \leq M^2 p$$

from (1.8).

The basic idea is that correlating matrices are *sparse*, which compensates the loss involved in this bound.

Corresponding to Definition 1.3, we write

$$\mathcal{E}_\phi^c(c, d, e) = \mathcal{E}_\phi^b(c, d, e) + \mathcal{E}_\phi^p(c, d, e) + \mathcal{E}_\phi^t(c, d, e) + \mathcal{E}_\phi^w(c, d, e)$$

where the superscripts b, p, t , and w denote the subsums of $\mathcal{E}_\phi^c(c, d, e)$ where (c, n_1, n_2) are such that the resonating matrix $\gamma = \gamma(c, d, e, n_1, n_2)$ is of the corresponding type in Definition 1.3 (in case a matrix belongs to two different types, it is considered to belong to the first in which it belongs in the order b, p, t, w).

We write correspondingly

$$M_3^{cor}[\phi, d, e] = M_3^b[\phi, d, e] + M_3^p[\phi, d, e] + M_3^t[\phi, d, e] + M_3^w[\phi, d, e],$$

and

$$M_3^c[\phi] = M_3^b[\phi] + M_3^p[\phi] + M_3^t[\phi] + M_3^w[\phi].$$

Most of the subsequent analysis works when d and e are fixed, and we will therefore often write

$$\gamma(c, d, e, n_1, n_2) = \gamma(c, n_1, n_2)$$

to simplify notation.

The main tool we use is the fact that, when the coefficients of $\gamma(c, d, e, n_1, n_2)$ are small enough compared with p , various properties which hold modulo p can be lifted to $\mathbf{Z}$.

5.1. Triangular and related matrices. Note that

$$B(\mathbf{F}_p) \cup B(\mathbf{F}_p)w \cup wB(\mathbf{F}_p) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ c_1 & d_1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbf{F}_p) \mid a_1 c_1 d_1 = 0 \right\},$$

so that a matrix $\gamma(c, n_1, n_2)$ can only contribute to $\mathcal{E}_\phi^b(c, d, e)$ if $p \mid cNn_1n_2$.

If we impose the condition

$$(5.3) \quad p^{3\varepsilon} LQ < p$$

(which will be strengthened later on), noting the bounds

$$cd \leq dC \leq p^\varepsilon P \sqrt{de} \ll p^\varepsilon LP,$$

and

$$N_1 = dN_2 = p^\varepsilon \frac{cd(Q+Z)}{P} = p^\varepsilon \left(\frac{cdQ}{P} + \frac{cd}{P} \frac{P}{c} \sqrt{\frac{e}{d}} \right) \ll p^{2\varepsilon} LQ,$$

we see that

$$cdn_1n_2N \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

is impossible, hence the sum $\mathcal{E}_\phi^b(c, d, e)$ is empty and

$$(5.4) \quad M_3^b[\phi; d, e] = 0.$$

5.2. Parabolic matrices. We now consider $\mathcal{E}_\phi^p(c, d, e)$, which is also easily handled. Indeed, a parabolic $\gamma \in \mathrm{PGL}_2(\bar{\mathbf{F}}_p)$ has a unique fixed point in $\mathbf{P}^1$, and hence any representative $\tilde{\gamma}$ of γ in $\mathrm{GL}_2(\bar{\mathbf{F}}_p)$ satisfies $\mathrm{tr}(\tilde{\gamma})^2 - 4\det(\tilde{\gamma}) = 0$.

Now if there existed some matrix $\gamma(c, n_1, n_2)$ which is parabolic modulo p , we would get

$$(n_1 + dn_2)^2 = 4de = 4\ell_1\ell_2 \pmod{p}.$$

Under the assumption

$$(5.5) \quad p^{3\varepsilon} LQ < p^{1/2}$$

(which is stronger than (5.3)), this becomes an equality in $\mathbf{Z}$, and we obtain a contradiction since the right-hand side $4\ell_1\ell_2$ is not a square. Therefore, assuming (5.5), we have also

$$(5.6) \quad M_3^p[\phi; d, e] = 0.$$

5.3. Toric matrices. We now examine the more delicate case of $\mathcal{E}_\phi^t(c, d, e)$. Recall that this is the contribution of matrices whose image in $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbf{F}_p)$ belong to a set of $\leq M$ tori T^{x_i, y_i} . We will deal with each torus individually, so we may concentrate on those $\gamma(c, n_1, n_2)$ which (modulo p) fix $x \neq y$ in $\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{F}_p)$. In fact, we can assume that x and y are finite, since otherwise γ would be treated by Section 5.1.

We make the stronger assumption

$$(5.7) \quad p^{3\varepsilon} LQ < p^{1/3}$$

to deal with this case.

We therefore assume that there exists a resonating matrix $\gamma(c, n_1, n_2)$ whose image in $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbf{F}_p)$ is contained in $T^{x, y}(\mathbf{F}_p)$. From (5.3), we saw already that $\gamma \pmod{p}$ is not a scalar matrix. Now consider the integral matrix

$$2\gamma - \mathrm{tr}(\gamma)\mathrm{Id} = \begin{pmatrix} n_1 - dn_2 & 2(n_1n_2 - e)/(cN) \\ 2cdN & dn_2 - n_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u & v \\ w & -u \end{pmatrix}$$

(which has trace 0). The crucial (elementary!) fact is that, since γ is not scalar, an element γ_1 in $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{F}_p)$ has image in $T^{x, y}$ if and only if $2\gamma_1 - \mathrm{tr}(\gamma_1)\mathrm{Id}$ is proportional to $2\gamma - \mathrm{tr}(\gamma)\mathrm{Id}$ (indeed, this is easily checked if $x = 0, y = \infty$, and the general case follows by conjugation).

Hence, if a resonating matrix $\gamma_1 = \gamma(c_1, m_1, m_2)$ has reduction modulo p in $T^{x,y}$, the matrix

$$2\gamma_1 - \text{tr}(\gamma_1)\text{Id} = \begin{pmatrix} m_1 - dm_2 & 2(m_1m_2 - e)/(c_1N) \\ 2c_1dN & dm_2 - m_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 & v_1 \\ w_1 & -u_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is proportional modulo p to $\begin{pmatrix} u & v \\ w & -u \end{pmatrix}$, which gives equations

$$(5.8) \quad uv_1 - u_1v = u w_1 - u_1w = v w_1 - v_1w = 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Because of (5.7), one sees that these equalities modulo p hold in fact over $\mathbf{Z}$.

If $u \neq 0$, one checks by simple algebra that this implies

$$2u^2m_1m_2 - cv(m_1 - dm_2)^2 = 2u^2e$$

which we interpret as $F(m_1, m_2) = 2eu^2$, where

$$F(X, Y) = -cvX^2 + (2u^2 + 2cdv)XY - cd^2vY^2$$

is an integral binary quadratic form. Note that all its coefficients are $\ll p^A$ for some $A \geq 0$ and that similarly

$$|m_1|, |m_2| \leq p^A.$$

By a classical result going back to Estermann (see, e.g., [18, Theorem 3]), the number of integral solutions (x, y) to the equation

$$F(x, y) = 2eu^2$$

such that $|x|, |y| \leq N_i$ is bounded by $\ll p^\varepsilon$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$. But when m_1 and m_2 are given solutions, the value of c_1 is uniquely determined from the second equation in (5.8). Hence the number of possible triples (c_1, m_1, m_2) is bounded by $\ll_\varepsilon p^\varepsilon$.

Similarly, if $u = 0$, we have $m_1 - dm_2 = n_1 - dn_2 = 0$, and from the third equation we derive

$$dN^2vc_1^2 - 2dwm_2^2 = -2we,$$

which leads to the same bound $\ll p^\varepsilon$ for the number of possible triples (c_1, m_1, m_2) .

Using Lemma 4.9 and (5.2), we then deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{p} \sum_{c \leq C} c^{-1} \mathcal{E}_{\phi_k}^t(c, d, e) &\ll p^{1+\varepsilon} \max_{\substack{c \leq C \\ 1 \leq |n_i| \leq N_i}} \frac{1}{cp^2} \left| \widehat{H}_\phi \left(\frac{n_1}{cpN}, \frac{n_2}{cpN} \right) \right| \\ &\ll k^3 p^{1+\varepsilon} \max_{c \leq C} \frac{P^2}{d} \frac{Q}{cZ} \ll k^3 p^{1+\varepsilon} \frac{PQ}{L} \end{aligned}$$

and similarly, without the factor k^3 , for $\phi_{a,b}$. Hence

$$(5.9) \quad M_3^t[\phi_{a,b}] \ll p^{1+\varepsilon} LPQ, \quad M_3^t[\phi_k] \ll k^3 p^{1+\varepsilon} LPQ,$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$, where the implied constant depends on (ε, N, a, b) .

5.4. Normalizers of tori. We now finally examine the contribution of $\mathbf{G}_{K,M}^w$, *i.e.*, of resonating matrices $\gamma(c, n_1, n_2)$ whose image in $\text{PGL}_2(\mathbf{F}_p)$ are contained in the non-trivial coset of the normalizer of one of the tori T^{x_i, y_i} . Again, we may work with a fixed normalizer $N^{x,y}$, and we can assume that x and y are finite.

Let $\gamma_1 = \gamma(c, n_1, n_2)$ be a matrix of this type. We then have

$$\gamma_1^2 = \det(\gamma_1)\text{Id} = de\text{Id} \pmod{p},$$

and therefore

$$\text{tr}(\gamma_1) = n_1 + dn_2 = 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Assuming that (5.7) holds, this equality is valid over $\mathbf{Z}$, so that

$$\gamma_1^2 = de\text{Id}.$$

In particular, we have $\gamma_1 = \gamma(c, -dn_2, n_2) = \gamma(c, n_2)$, say. Now suppose that there exists at least one $\gamma_2 = \gamma(c_1, m_2)$, distinct from γ_1 , with image modulo p in $N^{x,y} - T^{x,y}$. Then γ_2 is also different from γ_1 modulo p . We define

$$\gamma = \gamma_1 \gamma_2,$$

which is such that $\gamma \pmod{p} \in T^{x,y}$.

This matrix γ is not scalar, and the matrix $2\gamma - \text{tr}(\gamma)\text{Id}$ anti-commutes with γ_1 : we have

$$\gamma_1(2\gamma - \text{tr}(\gamma)\text{Id}) = -(2\gamma - \text{tr}(\gamma))\gamma_1 \pmod{p}.$$

Finally, let $\gamma_2 = \gamma(c_2, r_2)$ be some other resonating matrix such that $\gamma_2 \pmod{p} \in N^{x,y} - T^{x,y}$. We have the same anti-commutation relation

$$(5.10) \quad \gamma_2(2\gamma - \text{tr}(\gamma)\text{Id}) = -(2\gamma - \text{tr}(\gamma)\text{Id})\gamma_2 \pmod{p},$$

and writing

$$2\gamma - \text{tr}(\gamma)\text{Id} = \begin{pmatrix} u & v \\ w & -u \end{pmatrix}$$

we obtain

$$-2udm_2 + vNdc_1 - w\frac{dm_2^2 + e}{c_1N} = 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Looking at the sizes of u, v, w , we see that if we make the stronger assumption

$$(5.11) \quad p^{3\varepsilon}LQ < p^{1/4},$$

this equation is valid over $\mathbf{Z}$. This means that

$$F(c_1, m_2) = ew$$

where

$$F = dvN^2X^2 - 2duNXY - dwY^2$$

is again an integral binary quadratic form. Arguing as in the previous case, we conclude that under the assumption (5.11), the total number of resonating matrices $\gamma(c, n_1, n_2)$ for $c \leq C$, $|n_i| \leq N_i$, $i = 1, 2$ associated to $N^{x,y} - T^{x,y}$ is $\ll p^\varepsilon$. We deduce then as before the bounds

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{c \leq C} c^{-1} \mathcal{E}_\phi^w(c, d, e) &\ll p^{1+\varepsilon} \max_{c \leq C, 1 \leq |n_2| \leq N_2, i=1,2} \frac{1}{cp^2} \left| \hat{H}_\phi\left(\frac{-dn_2}{cpN}, \frac{n_2}{cpN}\right) \right| \\ &\ll p^{1+\varepsilon} \max_{c \leq C} \frac{P^2}{d} \frac{Q}{cZ} \ll p^{1+\varepsilon} \frac{PQ}{L}, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$(5.12) \quad M_3^w[\phi_{a,b}] \ll p^{1+\varepsilon}LPQ, \quad M_3^w[\phi_k] \ll k^3p^{1+\varepsilon}LPQ,$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$, where the implied constants depend on (a, b, N, ε) .

5.5. Conclusion. We can now gather Lemma 4.1, Lemma 4.3 and Proposition 4.4 (choosing $a - b$ and k large enough depending on ε so that (4.9) holds), together with (4.28), (5.4), (5.6), (5.9) and (5.12). We derive, under the assumptions that (4.11) and (5.11) hold, the bound

$$\begin{aligned} M(L), k^{-3}M(L; k) &\ll pLP + p^{1+\varepsilon}LP(P+1) + p^{1+\varepsilon}LPQ + p^{1/2+\varepsilon}L^3PQ(P+Q)^2 \\ &\ll p^{1+\varepsilon}LPQ + p^{1/2+\varepsilon}L^3PQ(P+Q)^2 \end{aligned}$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$, where the implied constant depends on (f, ε, M) .

Finally, we observe that if (4.11) does not hold, the above bound remains valid by Lemma 4.1 and (4.1), and this concludes the proof of Proposition 3.1.

6. DISTRIBUTION OF TWISTED HECKE ORBITS AND HOROCYCLES

We will prove in this section the results of Section 1.5, using the main estimate of Theorem 1.5 as basic tool.

6.1. Proof of Theorem 1.16. Using Theorem 1.15 and linearity, we can replace K_p by $K_p - m_{K_p}$, so that we can assume that $m_{K_p} = m = 0$. Recalling the definition (1.17), this means that we have to prove that

$$\int_{Y_0(N)} \varphi d\mu_{K_p, \tau} = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{t \in \mathbf{F}_p} K_p(t) \varphi\left(\frac{\tau + t}{p}\right) \rightarrow 0$$

as $p \rightarrow +\infty$, for all φ continuous and compactly supported on $Y_0(N)$ and all $\tau \in Y_0(N)$. By the spectral decomposition theorem for $Y_0(N)$, any continuous and compactly supported function φ on $Y_0(N)$ can be approximated by linear combination of the constant function 1, Maass Hecke-eigenforms and packets of Eisenstein series.

For $\varphi = 1$, we get

$$\int_{Y_0(N)} \mu_{K_p, \tau} = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{t \in \mathbf{F}_p} K_p(t) = m_{K_p} = 0,$$

and so we turn to the case of cusp forms next.

Thus let $\varphi = f$ be a Maass cusp form with Fourier expansion

$$f(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z} - \{0\}} \varrho_f(n) |n|^{-1/2} W_{it_f}(4\pi|n|y) e(nx).$$

We can assume, by linearity, that f is an eigenfunction of the involution $z \mapsto -\bar{z}$, so that there exists $\varepsilon_f = \pm 1$ with

$$(6.1) \quad \varrho_f(n) = \varepsilon_f \varrho_f(-n)$$

for all $n \in \mathbf{Z}$. We now derive the basic identity relating Hecke orbits with the twisted sums of Fourier coefficients.

Recalling (1.18), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Y_0(N)} f \mu_{K_p, \tau} &= \frac{1}{p} \sum_{0 \leq t < p} K_p(t) f\left(\frac{\tau + t}{p}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \sum_{n \neq 0} \varrho_f(n) |n|^{-1/2} W_{it_f}\left(\frac{4\pi|n|\Im(\tau)}{p}\right) e\left(\frac{n\Re(\tau)}{p}\right) \sum_{t \in \mathbf{F}_p} K_p(t) e\left(\frac{nt}{p}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{p^{1/2}} \sum_{n \neq 0} \varrho_f(n) |n|^{-1/2} W_{it_f}\left(\frac{4\pi\Im(\tau)|n|}{p}\right) e\left(\frac{n\Re(\tau)}{p}\right) K'_p(n) \end{aligned}$$

and hence, by (6.1), we get

$$(6.2) \quad \int_{Y_0(N)} f \mu_{K_p, \tau} = p^{-1} \{ \mathcal{S}_V(f, K'_p; p) + \varepsilon_f \mathcal{S}_W(f, K'_p; p) \}$$

where V and W are the functions (depending on t_f and on τ) defined on $]0, +\infty[$ by

$$V(x) = x^{-1/2} W_{it_f}(4\pi \Im(\tau)x) e(x \Re(\tau)), \quad W(x) = x^{-1/2} W_{it_f}(4\pi \Im(\tau)x) e(-x \Re(\tau)).$$

These functions do not satisfy Condition (V), but we can reduce to this in a standard way. First, we truncate the large values of n , observing that since

$$W_{it}(x) \ll e^{-x/2},$$

where the implied constant depends on t (see (2.9)), the contribution of the terms with $n \geq p^{1+\varepsilon}$ to both sums is

$$\ll_{\tau, t_f} \exp(-p^{\varepsilon/2}),$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

Then, by means of a smooth dyadic partition of the remaining interval, we are reduced to bounding $O(\log p)$ sums of the shape

$$p^{-1} P^{-1/2} \mathcal{S}_{\tilde{V}}(f, K'_p; p)$$

for functions $\tilde{V}$, depending on τ and t_f , which satisfy Condition (V) with parameters

$$P \in [p^{-1/2}, p^\varepsilon], \quad Q \ll_{t_f, \varepsilon} 1.$$

Combining the trivial bound for $\mathcal{S}_{\tilde{V}}(f, K'_p; p)$ (namely pP) and Theorem 1.5, all these sums satisfy

$$p^{-1} P^{-1/2} \mathcal{S}_{\tilde{V}}(f, K'_p; p) \ll \max_p \min(P^{1/2}, p^{-\delta}(1 + P^{1/2})) \ll p^{-\delta/2 + \varepsilon/2}$$

for any $\delta < 1/8$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, where the implicit constants depend on $(M, \tau, t_f, \delta, \varepsilon)$. In particular, we get

$$\int_{Y_0(N)} f \mu_{K_p, \tau} \longrightarrow 0$$

as $p \rightarrow +\infty$.

The last case where φ is a packet of Eisenstein series $E_{\chi, g}(\varphi)$ is similar, using Proposition 3.3. Indeed, the only notable difference is that we must handle the constant term of this packet, namely

$$\varrho_{\chi, g}(\varphi, 0)(z) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} \varphi(t) \{ c_{1, g}(t) y^{1/2 + it} + c_{2, g}(t) y^{1/2 - it} \} dt,$$

when performing the integration.

But since $\varrho_{\chi, g}(\varphi, 0)(z)$ is independent of the real part $\Re(z)$, its contribution to

$$\int E_{\chi, g}(\varphi) \mu_{K_p, \tau}$$

is equal to

$$p^{-1/2} \hat{K}(0) \varrho_{\chi, g}(\varphi, 0)(\tau) = p^{-1/2} K'(0) \varrho_{\chi, g}(\varphi, 0)(\tau) = 0$$

and this concludes the proof of Theorem 1.16.

6.2. Proof of Theorem 1.18. In this section, we prove Theorem 1.18, which is very similar to the previous argument. We begin with general weights K_p as in the previous section, assuming $m_{K_p} = m = 0$ as we can. Then if f is a Maass cusp form, we have now

$$\int_{Y_0(N)} f \mu_{K;I,\tau} = \frac{p^{1/2}}{|I|} \sum_n \varrho_f(n) |n|^{-1/2} W_{it_f} \left(\frac{4\pi \Im(\tau) |n|}{p} \right) e \left(\frac{n \Re(\tau)}{p} \right) K'_{p,I}(n)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} K'_{p,I}(n) &= \frac{1}{p^{1/2}} \sum_{t \in I} K_p(n) e \left(\frac{nt}{p} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \sum_{x \in [-p/2, p/2]} K'_p(n-x) \sum_{t \in I} e \left(\frac{tx}{p} \right) \\ &= \frac{|I|}{p} K'_p(n) + \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\substack{|x| \leq p/2 \\ x \neq 0}} K'_p(n-x) \sum_{t \in I} e \left(\frac{tx}{p} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Arguing as in the previous section, we are led to bound sums of the shape

$$\mathcal{S}_V(f, [-x]^* K'_p; p)$$

where $[-x]^* K'_p(n) = K'_p(n-x)$. Under the assumption that the K'_p are all irreducible trace weights coming from admissible sheaves of conductor $\leq M$, Theorem 1.11, Propositions 7.3 and 7.4 below show that there exists $M_1 \geq 1$, depending only on M , such that the weights $[-x]^* K'_p$ can all be decomposed as a sum of at most M admissible trace weights, all of which are (p, M_1) -admissible, and a linear combination, with coefficients $\leq M^2$, of at most M delta functions at points $1 \leq a_j \leq p$. Since

$$\frac{1}{p} \sum_{\substack{|x| \leq p/2 \\ x \neq 0}} \left| \sum_{t \in I} e \left(\frac{tx}{p} \right) \right| \ll \log p,$$

the contribution of the admissible trace weights is bounded by

$$\ll p^{-\delta/2} + \frac{p^{1-\delta/2}}{|I|} \rightarrow 0$$

for any $\delta < 1/8$, using Theorem 1.5, as long as $|I| \geq p^\eta$ with $\eta > 1 - \delta/2$. The contribution of the delta functions is even smaller, by (1.3).

The non-constant part of Eisenstein series is similar, while for the constant function and the constant term of Eisenstein series, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Y_0(N)} f \mu_{K;I,\tau} &= \frac{1}{p^{1/2}} K'_p(0) + \frac{1}{|I| p^{1/2}} \sum_{\substack{|x| \leq p/2 \\ x \neq 0}} K'_p(-x) \sum_{t \in I} e \left(\frac{tx}{p} \right) \\ &\ll p^{-1/2} + p^{1/2}/|I| \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof of Theorem 1.18.

We now come to the proof of Corollary 1.19. Consider a non-constant polynomial ϕ of degree $\deg \phi \geq 1$. The probability measure (1.20) satisfies

$$\frac{1}{|I|} \sum_{\substack{x \in \mathbf{F}_p \\ \phi(x) \in I}} \delta_{\Gamma_0(N)\phi(x) \cdot \tau} = \mu + \mu_{K_p, I, \tau}$$

where

$$K_p(t) = |\{x \in \mathbf{F}_p \mid \phi(x) = t\}| - 1$$

for $t \in \mathbf{F}_p$. This weight has average value $m_{K_p} = 0$, and satisfies

$$K'_p(n) = \frac{1}{p^{1/2}} \sum_{t \in \mathbf{F}_p} K(t) e\left(\frac{nt}{p}\right) = \frac{1}{p^{1/2}} \sum_{x \in \mathbf{F}_p} e\left(\frac{n\phi(x)}{p}\right),$$

and $K'_p(0) = 0$. By Theorem 11.6, we can decompose K'_p in a sum of at most $\deg(\phi)$ weights K'_j which are irreducible trace weights with absolutely bounded conductor and a short linear combination with small coefficients of delta functions. We conclude using linearity and Theorem 1.18.

7. TRACE WEIGHTS

We now come to the setting of Section 1.2. For an irreducible trace weight $K(n)$, we will see that the cohomological theory of algebraic exponential sums and the Riemann Hypothesis over finite fields provide interpretations of the sums $\mathcal{C}(K; \gamma)$, from which the admissibility can be derived.

In this section, we present some preliminary results. In the next one, we give many different examples of irreducible trace weights, and compute upper bounds for the conductor of the associated sheaves, which control the admissibility of the weights. We then use the cohomological theory to prove Theorems 1.11 and 1.13. The last two sections are complements concerning the Fourier-Möbius groups.

First we recall the following notation for trace functions: for a finite field k , an algebraic variety X/k , a constructible ℓ -adic sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on X , a finite extension k'/k , and a point $x \in X(k')$, we define

$$(\mathrm{tr} \mathcal{F})(k', x) = \mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{Fr}_{k'} \mid \mathcal{F}_{\bar{x}}),$$

the trace of the geometric Frobenius automorphism of k' acting on the stalk of $\mathcal{F}$ at a geometric point $\bar{x}$ over x (seen as a finite-dimensional representation of the Galois group of k' ; see [24, 7.3.7]).

Now let p be a prime number, and let $\ell \neq p$ be another auxiliary prime. Let

$$\iota : \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}$$

be a fixed isomorphism, and let $\mathcal{F}$ be an ℓ -adic constructible Fourier sheaf on $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{F}_p}^1$ (in the sense of Katz [24, Def. 8.2.1.2]). Recall that we consider the weights

$$K(x) = \iota((\mathrm{tr} \mathcal{F})(\mathbf{F}_p, x))$$

for $x \in \mathbf{F}_p = \mathbf{A}^1(\mathbf{F}_p)$. We also consider the Fourier transform $\mathcal{G} = \mathrm{FT}_\psi(\mathcal{F})$ with respect to an additive ℓ -adic character ψ of $\mathbf{F}_p$. It satisfies

$$(7.1) \quad (\mathrm{tr} \mathcal{G})(k, v) = - \sum_{x \in k} (\mathrm{tr} \mathcal{F})(k, x) \psi(\mathrm{tr}_{k/\mathbf{F}_p}(vx))$$

for any finite extension $k/\mathbf{F}_p$ and $v \in k = \mathbf{A}^1(k)$ (see [24, Th. 7.3.8, (4)]).

A result of Deligne and Laumon, which is an application of the Riemann Hypothesis over finite fields, shows that the restriction of $\mathcal{G}$ to any open set of $\mathbf{A}^1$ where it is lisse is pointwise pure of weight 1 (see [23, Th. 8.2.5 (3)]). Furthermore, a theorem of Brylinski (see [23, Th. 8.4.1]) shows that if $\mathcal{F}$ is geometrically isotypic of Fourier type, then the Fourier transform $\mathcal{G}$ is also geometrically isotypic of Fourier type.

We recall the meaning of the definition of a Fourier sheaf [24, §7.3.5].

Lemma 7.1 (Fourier sheaves). *Let p and $\ell \neq p$ be primes, and let $\mathcal{F}$ be an ℓ -adic Fourier sheaf on $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{F}_p}^1$.*

(1) The sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ is determined uniquely by its restriction to any open set $U \subset \mathbf{A}^1$ such that $\mathcal{F}$ is lisse on U : precisely, if $j : U \hookrightarrow \mathbf{A}^1$ is the corresponding open immersion, we have

$$\mathcal{F} \simeq j_*(j^*\mathcal{F}).$$

(2) Suppose that $\mathcal{F}$ is pointwise pure of weight 0, i.e., it satisfies Condition (1) of Definition 1.7. Then, at the points $v \in \mathbf{A}^1$ where $\mathcal{G} = \mathrm{FT}_\psi(\mathcal{F})$ is not lisse, it is pointwise mixed of weights ≤ 1 , i.e., for any finite field k with $v \in k$, the eigenvalues of the Frobenius of k acting on the stalk of $\mathcal{G}$ at a geometric point $\bar{v}$ over v are $|k|$ -Weil numbers of weight at most 1.

The proof is a direct consequence of the definition; the only subtlety is the use of the Riemann Hypothesis to check (2).

We defined the conductor of a sheaf in Definition 1.10. An important fact is that this invariant also controls the conductor of the Fourier transform, and that it controls the dimension of cohomology groups which enter into the Grothendieck-Lefschetz trace formula. We state suitable versions of these results:

Proposition 7.2. *Let p be a prime number and $\ell \neq p$ an auxiliary prime.*

(1) *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an ℓ -adic Fourier sheaf on $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{F}_p}^1$, and let $\mathcal{G} = \mathrm{FT}_\psi(\mathcal{F})$ be its Fourier transform. Then, for any $\gamma \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{F}_p)$, the analytic conductor of $\gamma^*\mathcal{G}$ is bounded by a constant depending only on the conductor of $\mathcal{F}$, and more precisely*

$$(7.2) \quad \mathrm{cond}(\gamma^*\mathcal{G}) \leq 10 \mathrm{cond}(\mathcal{F})^2.$$

(2) *For $\mathcal{F}_1$ and $\mathcal{F}_2$ lisse ℓ -adic Fourier sheaves on an open subset $U \subset \mathbf{A}^1$, the dimension of $H_c^1(U \times \overline{\mathbf{F}_p}, \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2)$ is bounded in terms of $U \times \overline{\mathbf{F}_p}$ and the analytic conductors of $\mathcal{F}_1$ and $\mathcal{F}_2$ only, and more precisely, we have*

$$\dim H_c^1(U \times \overline{\mathbf{F}_p}, \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2) \leq r_1 r_2 (1 + m + \mathrm{cond}(\mathcal{F}_1) + \mathrm{cond}(\mathcal{F}_2)),$$

where

$$m = |(\mathbf{P}^1 - U)(\overline{\mathbf{F}_p})|, \quad r_i = \mathrm{rank}(\mathcal{F}_i), \quad c_i = \mathrm{cond}(\mathcal{F}_i).$$

Note that (7.2) can be improved, but this bound will be enough for us.

Proof. (1) It is clear that the rank, number of singularities, and the maximum Swan conductor are the same for $\mathcal{G}$ and any sheaf $\gamma^*\mathcal{G}$, since γ is an automorphism of $\mathbf{P}^1$. Thus we can assume $\gamma = 1$.

We first bound the number of singularities

$$n(\mathcal{G}) = |\mathbf{P}^1 - U|$$

of $\mathcal{G}$. By [23, Cor. 8.5.8] (and the remark in its proof), on $\mathbf{G}_m$, the Fourier transform is lisse except at points corresponding to Jordan-Hölder components of the local representation $\mathcal{F}(\infty)$ at ∞ which have unique break equal to 1. The number of these singularities outside of 0, ∞ is therefore bounded by the rank of $\mathcal{F}$, hence by the conductor of $\mathcal{F}$, and

$$(7.3) \quad n(\mathcal{G}) \leq 2 + \mathrm{rank}(\mathcal{F}) \leq 3 \mathrm{cond}(\mathcal{F}).$$

Now we bound the rank of $\mathcal{G}$. This is given by [24, Lemma 7.3.9 (2)], from which we get immediately

$$\mathrm{rank}(\mathcal{G}) \leq \sum_{\lambda} \max(0, \lambda - 1) + \sum_x (\mathrm{Swan}_x(\mathcal{F}) + \mathrm{rank}(\mathcal{F}))$$

where λ runs over the breaks of $\mathcal{F}(\infty)$, and x over the singularities of $\mathcal{F}$ in $\mathbf{A}^1$. The first term is $\leq \mathrm{Swan}_\infty(\mathcal{F})$, so that the rank of $\mathcal{G}$ is bounded by

$$(7.4) \quad \mathrm{rank}(\mathcal{G}) \leq \mathrm{Swan}(\mathcal{F}) + \mathrm{rank}(\mathcal{F})n(\mathcal{F}) \leq \mathrm{cond}(\mathcal{F})^2.$$

Thus it only remains to estimate the Swan conductors $\text{Swan}_x(\mathcal{G})$ at each singularity. We do this using the local description of the Fourier transform, due to Laumon [28], separately for 0, ∞ and points in $\mathbf{G}_m$.

First case. Let $x = \infty$. By [24, Cor. 7.4.2] we can write

$$\mathcal{G}(\infty) = N_0 \oplus N_\infty \oplus N_m$$

as representations of the inertia group at ∞ , where N_0, N_∞ are the local Fourier transform functors denoted

$$\text{FT}_\psi \text{loc}(\infty, \infty)\mathcal{F}(\infty), \quad \text{FT}_\psi \text{loc}(0, \infty)(\mathcal{F}(0)/\mathcal{F}_0)$$

in loc. cit., and N_m is the sum of the similar contributions of the local Fourier transforms at all $s \in \mathbf{G}_m$. Let s_0, s_∞ and s_m denote the corresponding Swan conductors, which add up to $\text{Swan}_\infty(\mathcal{G})$. By [24, Cor. 7.4.1.1], all breaks of N_0 and N_m are ≤ 1 , hence

$$s_0 + s_m \leq \dim(N_0) + \dim(N_m) \leq \text{rank}(\mathcal{G}) \leq \text{Swan}(\mathcal{F}) + \text{rank}(\mathcal{F})n(\mathcal{F}).$$

As for s_∞ , by a further result of Laumon [24, Th. 7.5.4 (1)], the contribution s_∞ is equal to the similar contribution of breaks > 1 to the Swan conductor $\text{Swan}_\infty(\mathcal{F})$. Hence

$$(7.5) \quad \text{Swan}_\infty(\mathcal{G}) \leq 2\text{Swan}(\mathcal{F}) + \text{rank}(\mathcal{F})n(\mathcal{F}) \leq 2\text{cond}(\mathcal{F})^2.$$

Second case. Let $x = 0$. Then, by [24, Th. 7.5.4 (5)], the Swan conductor $\text{Swan}_0(\mathcal{G})$ is equal to the contribution to $\text{Swan}_\infty(\mathcal{F})$ of the breaks in $]0, 1[$, so that

$$(7.6) \quad \text{Swan}_0(\mathcal{G}) \leq \text{Swan}_\infty(\mathcal{F}) \leq \text{cond}(\mathcal{F}).$$

Third case. Let $x \in \mathbf{G}_m$. By translation, we have

$$\text{Swan}_x(\mathcal{G}) = \text{Swan}_0(\text{FT}_\psi(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\psi(xX)})),$$

so that the previous case gives

$$\text{Swan}_x(\mathcal{G}) \leq \text{Swan}_\infty(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\psi(xX)}) \leq \text{rank}(\mathcal{F}) + \text{Swan}_\infty(\mathcal{F}) \leq \text{cond}(\mathcal{F}).$$

This leads to

$$\sum_x \text{Swan}_x(\mathcal{G}) \leq 2\text{cond}(\mathcal{F})^2 + 3\text{cond}(\mathcal{F})^2 = 5\text{cond}(\mathcal{F})^2,$$

and from (7.3) and (7.4) we get

$$\text{cond}(\mathcal{G}) \leq 10\text{cond}(\mathcal{F})^2.$$

(2) We use the Euler-Poincaré formula: for a lisse ℓ -adic sheaf $\mathcal{M}$ on an affine curve $U \subset \mathbf{P}^1$ over $\mathbf{F}_p$, we have

$$(7.7) \quad \dim H_c^1(U \times \overline{\mathbf{F}_p}, \mathcal{M}) = \dim H_c^2(U \times \overline{\mathbf{F}_p}, \mathcal{M}) + \text{rank}(\mathcal{M})(-\chi_c(U \times \overline{\mathbf{F}_p})) + \text{Swan}(\mathcal{M})$$

(see [23, 2.3.1]).

We apply this formula to $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2$. Since $H_c^2(U \times \overline{\mathbf{F}_p}, \mathcal{M})$ is the space of co-invariants of $\mathcal{M}$

$$\dim H_c^2(U \times \overline{\mathbf{F}_p}, \mathcal{M}) \leq r_1 r_2.$$

For the second term, we note simply that

$$\text{rank}(\mathcal{M})(-\chi_c(U \times \overline{\mathbf{F}_p})) \leq m r_1 r_2.$$

For the last term, we bound the Swan conductor at $x \in \mathbf{P}^1 - U$ of $\mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2$ in terms of those of the factors. The existence of such a bound is a well-known result: if λ_1 (resp. λ_2) is the largest break of $\mathcal{F}_1$ (resp. $\mathcal{F}_2$) at x , then all breaks of $\mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2$ at x are at most

$$\max(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \leq \max(\text{Swan}_x(\mathcal{F}_1), \text{Swan}_x(\mathcal{F}_2)),$$

(see [23, Lemma 1.3]) and hence

$$\text{Swan}_x(\mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2) \leq \text{rank}(\mathcal{F}_1) \text{rank}(\mathcal{F}_2) (\text{Swan}_x(\mathcal{F}_1) + \text{Swan}_x(\mathcal{F}_2))$$

and

$$\text{Swan}(\mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2) \leq r_1 r_2 (\text{Swan}(\mathcal{F}_1) + \text{Swan}(\mathcal{F}_2))$$

Adding this to the previous contribution, we get

$$\dim H_c^1(U \times \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p, \mathcal{M}) \leq r_1 r_2 (1 + m + \text{cond}(\mathcal{F}_1) + \text{cond}(\mathcal{F}_2)),$$

as claimed. $\square$

We can also explain here how to deal with trace weights which are associated with Fourier sheaves which are not necessarily associated admissible, in other words, which are not necessarily geometrically isotypic.

Proposition 7.3. *Let p be a prime number, $\ell \neq p$ an auxiliary prime. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an ℓ -adic Fourier sheaf modulo p , pointwise pure of weight 0 but not necessarily admissible, with conductor $\leq M$.*

There exist at most M admissible sheaves $\mathcal{F}_i$ modulo p , each with conductor $\leq M$, and at most M points $x_j \in \mathbf{F}_p$ and coefficients α_j , such that

$$(\text{tr } \mathcal{F})(\mathbf{F}_p, x) = \sum_i (\text{tr } \mathcal{F}_i)(\mathbf{F}_p, x) + \sum_j \alpha_j \delta_{x_j}(x)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{F}_p$ and

$$\iota(\alpha_j) \leq M^2.$$

In particular

$$K(n) = \iota((\text{tr } \mathcal{F})(\mathbf{F}_p, n))$$

can be written as the sum of at most M admissible trace weights and at most M terms of the form $\alpha \delta_{x_j}(n)$, where $|\alpha| \leq M^2$.

Noting that

$$\mathcal{S}_V(f; \alpha \delta_n, p) = \alpha \varrho_f(n)$$

for all $p \geq n$, we see that even Hecke's upper-bound for Fourier coefficients of modular forms is enough to deal with these extraneous terms.

Proof. (1) Let $U \hookrightarrow \mathbf{A}^1$ be an open dense subset, defined over $\mathbf{F}_p$, such that $\mathcal{F}$ is lisse on U , and let

$$\varrho : \pi_1(U, \bar{\eta}) \longrightarrow \text{GL}(V)$$

denote the ℓ -adic representation corresponding to this restriction. Let

$$\varrho^{ss} = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \varrho_i$$

be the semisimplification of this representation, where ϱ_i is an irreducible representation of $\pi_1(U, \bar{\eta})$. We denote by $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_i$ the corresponding lisse sheaf on U , and let $\mathcal{F}_i = j_* \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_i$. Then each $\mathcal{F}_i$ is a Fourier sheaf modulo p , with conductor $\leq M$, and we have

$$(7.8) \quad (\text{tr } \mathcal{F})(k, x) = \sum_{i \in I} (\text{tr } \mathcal{F}_i)(k, x)$$

for any finite extension $k/\mathbf{F}_p$ and $x \in k$. Indeed, this holds by definition for $x \in U(k)$, and this extends to all x by properties of middle-extension sheaves.

Each ϱ_i is arithmetically irreducible, and there are two possibilities concerning its restriction ϱ_i^g to $\pi_1(U \times \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p, \bar{\eta})$: (1) either ϱ_i^g is isotypic; or (2) there exists a proper (finite-index) normal subgroup $H \subset \pi_1(U, \bar{\eta})$ containing $\pi_1(U \times \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p, \bar{\eta})$ and a representation τ_i of H such that

$$\varrho_i = \text{Ind}_H^{\pi_1(U, \bar{\eta})} \tau_i$$

(see, e.g., [32, Prop. 8.1]).

If the first condition holds, the sheaf $\mathcal{F}_i$ is admissible. In the second case, we have a short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow \pi_1(U, \bar{\eta}) \xrightarrow{\delta} \mathbf{Z}/m\mathbf{Z} \longrightarrow 1$$

coming from the exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \pi_1(U \times \bar{\mathbf{F}}_p, \bar{\eta}) \longrightarrow \pi_1(U, \bar{\eta}) \xrightarrow{\delta} \hat{\mathbf{Z}} \longrightarrow 1.$$

Since $m \geq 2$ (because H is a proper subgroup of the fundamental group) and H is a normal subgroup, the formula for the character of an induced representation shows that

$$\mathrm{tr}(\varrho_i(x)) = 0$$

for $x \in \pi_1(U, \bar{\eta})$, unless $\delta(x) = 0$. However, we have $\delta(\mathrm{Fr}_{x, \mathbf{F}_p}) = -1$ for any $x \in U(\mathbf{F}_p)$, and therefore we deduce that

$$(\mathrm{tr} \mathcal{F}_i)(\mathbf{F}_p, x) = \mathrm{tr}(\varrho_i(\mathrm{Fr}_{x, \mathbf{F}_p})) = 0$$

for all $x \in U(\mathbf{F}_p)$. This may not extend to all $x \in \mathbf{F}_p$, but we see that

$$(\mathrm{tr} \mathcal{F}_i)(\mathbf{F}_p, x) = \sum_{y \in \mathbf{F}_p - U(\mathbf{F}_p)} (\mathrm{tr} \mathcal{F}_i)(\mathbf{F}_p, y) \delta_y(x)$$

is a linear combination of delta functions located at the singularities of $\mathcal{F}$, with coefficients bounded (under ι) by $\mathrm{rank}(\mathcal{F}_i) \leq M$ (since $\mathcal{F}_i$ is a Fourier sheaf). Since there are at most M components of this type, the resulting linear combination of delta functions has coefficients $\leq M^2$. $\square$

The following is relevant to Theorem 1.18.

Proposition 7.4. *Let p be a prime number, $\ell \neq p$ an auxiliary prime. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an ℓ -adic Fourier sheaf modulo p , pointwise pure of weight 0 but not necessarily admissible, with conductor $\leq N$. Let $K(n)$ be the corresponding trace weight. Then, for any $x \in \mathbf{F}_p$, $[+x]^* K(n) = K(x+n)$ defines an irreducible trace weight associated to the sheaf*

$$\mathcal{F}^{(x)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^* \mathcal{F},$$

and we have $\mathrm{cond}(\mathcal{F}^{(x)}) = \mathrm{cond}(\mathcal{F}) \leq N$ for all $x \in \mathbf{F}_p$.

Proof. It is clear that $\mathcal{F}^{(x)}$ has the right trace function and that it is a Fourier sheaf, with the same conductor as $\mathcal{F}$. $\square$

Finally, we state a well-known criterion for geometric isomorphism of sheaves, that says that two irreducible Fourier sheaves are geometrically isomorphic if their trace functions are equal on $\mathbf{A}^1(\bar{\mathbf{F}}_p)$ “up to a constant depending on the definition field”. Precisely:

Proposition 7.5 (Geometric isomorphism criterion). *Let k be a finite field, and let $\mathcal{F}_1$ and $\mathcal{F}_2$ be geometrically irreducible ℓ -adic sheaves, lisse on U/k ($U \subset \mathbf{P}^1$ a non-empty open set), pointwise pure of weight 0. Then $\mathcal{F}_1$ is geometrically isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}_2$ if and only if there exists $\alpha \in \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell^\times$ such that for all finite extensions k_1/k , we have*

$$(7.9) \quad (\mathrm{tr} \mathcal{F}_1)(k_1, x) = \alpha^{[k_1:k]} (\mathrm{tr} \mathcal{F}_2)(k_1, x)$$

for all $x \in U(k_1)$.

In particular, if $\mathcal{F}_1$ and $\mathcal{F}_2$ are irreducible Fourier sheaves, they are geometrically isomorphic if and only if there exists $\alpha \in \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell^\times$ such that for all finite extensions k_1/k , we have

$$(7.10) \quad (\mathrm{tr} \mathcal{F}_1)(k_1, x) = \alpha^{[k_1:k]} (\mathrm{tr} \mathcal{F}_2)(k_1, x)$$

for all $x \in k_1$.

This is a well-known fact; it is basically an instance of what is called “Clifford theory” in representation theory.

Here is a last definition. If $\mathcal{F}$ is a Fourier sheaf on $\mathbf{A}^1/k$, we write $D(\mathcal{F})$ for the “middle-extension” dual of $\mathcal{F}$, i.e., given a dense open set $j : U \hookrightarrow \mathbf{A}^1$ where $\mathcal{F}$ is lisse, we have

$$D(\mathcal{F}) = j_*((j^*\mathcal{F})'),$$

where the prime denotes the lisse sheaf of U associated to the contragredient of the representation of the fundamental group of U which corresponds to $j^*\mathcal{F}$ (see [24, 7.3.1]). If $\mathcal{F}$ is pointwise pure of weight 0, it is known that

$$(7.11) \quad \iota((\mathrm{tr} D(\mathcal{F}))(k', x)) = \overline{\iota((\mathrm{tr} \mathcal{F})(k', x))}$$

for all finite extensions k'/k and all $x \in k'$.

8. EXAMPLES OF TRACE WEIGHTS

In this section, we will discuss four classes of weights $K(n)$ that arise as trace weights. In a first reading, only the definitions of these weights may be of interest, rather than the technical verification that they satisfy the necessary conditions.

We note that these examples are by no means an exhaustive list. One can find more examples, in particular, in [24, §7.11].

8.1. Additive and multiplicative characters. We explain now how the weights of Theorem 1.1 fit in the framework of trace weights. Let η be an ℓ -adic-valued multiplicative character

$$\eta : \mathbf{F}_p^\times \longrightarrow \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell^\times$$

and let ψ be an ℓ -adic additive character

$$\psi : \mathbf{F}_p \longrightarrow \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell^\times.$$

The classical constructions of Artin-Schreier and Kummer sheaves show that, for any $\ell \neq p$, one can construct ℓ -adic sheaves $\mathcal{L}_{\psi(\phi)}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\eta(\phi)}$ on $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{F}_p}^1$ such that we have

$$(\mathrm{tr} \mathcal{L}_{\psi(\phi)})(\mathbf{F}_p, x) = \begin{cases} \psi(\phi(x)) & \text{if } \phi(x) \text{ is defined,} \\ 0 & \text{if } x \text{ is a pole of } \phi, \end{cases}$$

and

$$(\mathrm{tr} \mathcal{L}_{\eta(\phi)})(\mathbf{F}_p, x) = \begin{cases} \eta(\phi(x)) & \text{if } \phi(x) \text{ is defined and non-zero,} \\ 0 & \text{if } x \text{ is a zero or pole of } \phi \end{cases}$$

(these are the extensions by zero to $\mathbf{A}^1$ of the lisse Artin-Schreier and Kummer sheaves defined on the corresponding open subsets of $\mathbf{A}^1$).

Fix an isomorphism $\iota : \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$. We assume that ψ is the standard character, so that

$$\iota(\psi(x)) = e\left(\frac{x}{p}\right),$$

for $x \in \mathbf{F}_p$. Similarly, if χ is a Dirichlet character modulo p , there is a multiplicative character η such that

$$\iota(\eta(x)) = \chi(x)$$

for $x \in \mathbf{F}_p$.

Let then $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \mathbf{Q}(X)$ be as in Theorem 1.1, with $\phi_2 = 1$ if χ is trivial. The ℓ -adic sheaf

$$(8.1) \quad \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{L}_{\eta(\phi_2)} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\psi(\phi_1)},$$

is such that

$$\iota((\mathrm{tr} \mathcal{F})(\mathbf{F}_p, x)) = \begin{cases} \chi(\phi_2(x))e\left(\frac{\phi_1(x)}{p}\right) & \text{if } \phi_1, \phi_2 \text{ are defined at } x, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

which corresponds exactly to the weight in Theorem 1.1.

Proposition 8.1 (Mixed character weights are trace weights). (1) *The weight above is an irreducible trace weight.*

(2) *Let d_1 be the number of poles of ϕ_1 , with multiplicity, and d_2 the number of zeros and poles of ϕ_2 . The analytic conductor of the sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ satisfies*

$$\mathrm{cond}(\mathcal{F}) \leq 1 + 2d_1 + d_2.$$

Proof. (1) The sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ is pointwise pure of weight 0 on the open set U where ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are both defined and ϕ_2 is non-zero, which is the maximal open set on which $\mathcal{F}$ is lisse. Moreover, it is of rank 1 on this open set, and therefore geometrically irreducible. By [23, Proof of Lemma 8.3.1], this sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ is of Fourier type, provided it is not geometrically isomorphic to the Artin-Schreier sheaf $\mathcal{L}_{\psi(sX)}$ for some $s \in \mathbf{A}^1$. This is the case for p large enough if either ϕ_1 is not a polynomial of degree ≤ 1 , or if χ is non-trivial and ϕ_2 is not of the form $t\phi_3^h$, where $h \geq 2$ is the order of χ .

(2) The rank of $\mathcal{F}$ is one. The singular points are the poles of ϕ_1 and the zeros and poles of ϕ_2 , so their number is bounded by $d_1 + d_2$. Furthermore, the Swan conductor at any singularity x is the same as that of $\mathcal{L}_{\psi(\phi_1)}$, since all Kummer sheaves are everywhere tame. Thus only poles of ϕ_1 contribute to the Swan conductor, and for such a pole x , the Swan conductor is at most the order of the pole at x , whose sum is d_1 (it is equal to the order of the pole when ϕ_1 is Artin-Schreier-reduced at x , which happens if p is larger than the order of the pole, see, e.g., [10, Sommes Trig., (3.5.4)].) $\square$

8.2. “Fiber counting” weights and their Fourier transforms. This example is discussed in greater detail in [24, §7.10], where a number of variants also appear.

Let $C/\mathbf{Q}$ be a geometrically connected smooth algebraic curve and let $\phi : C \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$ be a non-constant morphism of degree ≥ 2 . Let D be the divisor of poles of ϕ , $Z \subset C - D$ the divisor of zeros of $d\phi$ and $S = \phi(Z)$. For p large enough, this situation has good reduction modulo p and we may consider the “fiber-counting function”

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{F}_p \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} \\ x \mapsto N(\phi; x) = |\{y \in C(\mathbf{F}_p) \mid \phi(y) = x\}|. \end{cases}$$

Defining $\mathcal{F} = \phi_* \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell$, the direct image of the trivial ℓ -adic sheaf, we have

$$N(\phi; x) = \iota((\mathrm{tr} \mathcal{F})(\mathbf{F}_p, x)).$$

The sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ is an ℓ -adic sheaf of rank $\deg(\phi)$ on $\mathbf{A}^1$, and it is pointwise pure of weight 0 where it is lisse. However, it is not irreducible, but the kernel of the trace map

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}} = \ker(\mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{tr}} \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell)$$

is often (but not always) irreducible. This sheaf is of rank $\deg(\phi) - 1$, and its trace function is

$$\tilde{N}(\phi; x) = (\mathrm{tr} \tilde{\mathcal{F}})(\mathbf{F}_p, x) = N(\phi; x) - 1.$$

By [24, Lemma 7.10.2.1], $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is a Fourier sheaf for $p > \deg(\phi)$. We now assume that ϕ is a *supermorse* function, i.e.:

- (1) The zeros of the derivative $d\phi$ are simple;
- (2) ϕ separates the zeros of $d\phi$, i.e., the size of the set $S = \{\phi(x) \mid d\phi(x) = 0\}$ of critical values of ϕ is the same as the number of zeros of $d\phi$.

In this case, by [24, Lemma 7.10.2.3], the sheaf $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is irreducible for $p > \deg(\phi)$, and thus $\tilde{N}(\phi; x)$ is then an irreducible trace weight.

For a given non-trivial ℓ -adic additive character ψ , the Fourier transform sheaf $\mathcal{G} = \text{FT}_\psi(\tilde{\mathcal{F}})$ has trace function given by

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{tr } \mathcal{G})(k, v) &= - \sum_{x \in k} \left(\sum_{\substack{y \in C(k) - D(k) \\ \phi(y) = x}} 1 - 1 \right) \psi(\text{tr}_{k/\mathbf{F}_p}(xv)) \\ &= - \sum_{y \in C(k) - D(k)} \psi(\text{tr}_{k/\mathbf{F}_p}(v\phi(y))) + \sum_{x \in k} \psi(\text{tr}_{k/\mathbf{F}_p}(xv)) \end{aligned}$$

for any finite-extension $k/\mathbf{F}_p$ and $v \in k$, which gives

$$(\text{tr } \mathcal{G})(k, v) = - \sum_{x \in C(k) - D(k)} \psi(\text{tr}_{k/\mathbf{F}_p}(v\phi(x)))$$

for $v \in k^\times$ and

$$(\text{tr } \mathcal{G})(k, 0) = |k| - |C(k) - D(k)|.$$

(note that since C is geometrically connected, we have $|C(k)| = |k| + O(\sqrt{|k|})$, so this last quantity is small.)

Now these one-variable exponential sums, when parameterized by $v \in \mathbf{F}_p$, are themselves interesting weights. To obtain bounded values, we normalize by dividing by $\sqrt{|k|}$, i.e., by considering the Tate twist $\tilde{\mathcal{G}} = \mathcal{G}(1/2)$. Since $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is irreducible, so is $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ an irreducible Fourier sheaf. Thus, taking ψ the standard character with $\iota(\psi(x)) = e(x/p)$, we get a sheaf $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ with associated irreducible trace weight given by

$$(8.2) \quad K'(n) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \sum_{x \in C(\mathbf{F}_p) - D(\mathbf{F}_p)} e\left(\frac{n\phi(x)}{p}\right), \quad \text{for } 1 \leq n \leq p-1,$$

and

$$K'(p) = \frac{p - |C(\mathbf{F}_p) - D(\mathbf{F}_p)|}{\sqrt{p}}$$

(as before, under the assumption that ϕ is supermorse).

By the Fourier inversion formula (in this context, this is [24, Th. 7.3.8 (1)]), the Fourier transform sheaf $\text{FT}_\psi(\tilde{\mathcal{G}})$ (note that we must use the same ψ as was used to construct $\mathcal{G}$) is

$$[x \mapsto -x]^* \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(-1/2) = [\times(-1)]^* \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(-1/2)$$

with trace function

$$(\text{tr } [\times(-1)]^* \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(-1/2))(k, y) = \sqrt{|k|} \tilde{N}(\phi; -y).$$

We summarize this and estimate the conductors in a proposition.

Proposition 8.2 (Fiber counting weights and duals). *Let $C/\mathbf{Q}$ and ϕ be as above, with ϕ supermorse.*

(1) *For $p > \deg(\phi)$ such that there is “good reduction”, the weights K and K' defined above are irreducible trace weights associated to the sheaves $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$.*

Let $S \subset \bar{\mathbf{F}}_p$ be the set of critical values of ϕ modulo p .

(2) *The sheaf $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is tame on $\mathbf{P}^1$, lisse on $\mathbf{A}^1 - S$, and has at most tame pseudo-reflection monodromy at all $s \in S$. It satisfies*

$$\text{cond}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}) \leq \deg(\phi) + |S|.$$

(3) The sheaf $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ has rank $|S|$, it is lisse on $\mathbf{G}_m$ and tamely ramified at 0. At ∞ , we have

$$\mathrm{Swan}_\infty(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}) = \begin{cases} |S| - 1 & \text{if } 0 \in S \\ |S| & \text{if } 0 \notin S, \end{cases}$$

and hence $\mathrm{cond}(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}) \leq 2|S| + 2$.

Proof. We have already discussed (1). Then [24, proof of Lemma 7.10.2.3] shows that $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is tame everywhere, lisse on $\mathbf{A}^1 - S$, and has tame reflection monodromy at all $s \in S$. This gives

$$\mathrm{cond}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}) \leq \mathrm{rank}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}) + |S| + 1 = \deg(\phi) + |S|.$$

For (3), since we know $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is a tame pseudo-reflection sheaf, we can use [24, Th. 7.9.4] to see that $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ has rank $|S|$ and is lisse on $\mathbf{G}_m$, and [24, Cor. 7.4.5 (2)] to see that it is tamely ramified at 0. Still from [24, Th. 7.9.4], we get the decomposition

$$(8.3) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{G}}(\infty) = \bigoplus_{s \in S} \mathcal{L}_{\psi(sY)},$$

as a representation of the wild inertia group at ∞ . Hence

$$\mathrm{Swan}_\infty(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}) = \begin{cases} |S| - 1 & \text{if } 0 \in S \\ |S| & \text{if } 0 \notin S, \end{cases}$$

and then

$$\mathrm{cond}(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}) \leq |S| + 2 + \mathrm{Swan}_\infty(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}) \leq 2|S| + 2.$$

□

To conclude this example, let us first recall that the condition of being supermorse is generic, in a fairly natural and obvious sense. For instance, if we consider $C = \mathbf{P}^1$ and look at the space L_{d_1, d_2} of all rational functions with coprime numerator and denominators of fixed degrees (d_1, d_2) , the set of supermorse functions $\phi \in L_{d_1, d_2}$ will be Zariski-dense.

Here are some elementary concrete instance of supermorse functions, with the corresponding (non-normalized) one-variable exponential sums.

- For $C = \mathbf{P}^1$, the functions $\phi(x) = \alpha x + \beta x^{-1}$, where α, β are non-zero, are supermorse, with polar divisor $D = \{0, \infty\}$; the critical values are $\pm 2\sqrt{\alpha\beta}$ (for any square-root of $\alpha\beta$); the corresponding exponential sums are Kloosterman sums, namely

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbf{F}_p^\times} e\left(\frac{n\alpha x + n\beta \bar{x}}{p}\right) = S(n\alpha, n\beta; p).$$

- Let C be the hyperelliptic curve, defined as the smooth projective model of the curve with affine equation

$$y^2 = f(x), \quad f \in \mathbf{Z}[X], \quad \deg(f) = 2g + 1 \geq 3,$$

where f has no multiple root. We consider $\phi(x, y) = x$, so that the complement $C - D$ of the polar divisor is precisely this affine curve. This function is supermorse: indeed, the zeros of $d\phi$ are the points with $y = 0$, and the values of ϕ at these points are their x -coordinates, which are distinct by assumption on f (in particular the critical values are the zeros of f .) The exponential sums, less traditional than most, are therefore given by

$$\sum_{\substack{(x, y) \in \mathbf{F}_p \times \mathbf{F}_p \\ y^2 = f(x)}} e\left(\frac{nx}{p}\right).$$

8.3. Symmetric powers of Kloosterman sums. We consider here examples related to powers of families of Kloosterman sums, which give interesting examples of Fourier-Möbius groups. For an integer $d \geq 0$, we consider the weights

$$K_d(n) = (-1)^d U_d\left(\frac{S(n, n; p)}{\sqrt{p}}\right)$$

for $1 \leq n < p$, where $U_d \in \mathbf{Z}[X]$ is the d -th Chebychev polynomial, i.e., is such that

$$U_d(2 \cos \theta) = \frac{\sin((n+1)\theta)}{\sin \theta}$$

for $\theta \in \mathbf{R}$. It is well-known that $\deg(U_d) = d$, so that the polynomials (U_d) form a basis of $\mathbf{C}[X]$. In particular, we have $U_0 = 1$, $U_1 = X$, $U_2 = X^2 - 1$.

In order to obtain a trace weight, we must define appropriately $K_d(p)$, and we put

$$(8.4) \quad K_d(p) = \frac{1}{p^{d/2}}.$$

Proposition 8.3. *For any p and $d \geq 1$, the weights $K_d(n)$ above are irreducible trace weights, associated to ℓ -adic sheaves that we denote $\mathcal{K}^{(d)}$. Moreover $\mathcal{K}^{(d)}$ is lisse on $\mathbf{G}_m$ and its analytic conductor is $\leq 2d + 4$.*

Proof. We start with $d = 1$. Consider the function

$$\phi(x) = x + \frac{1}{x}$$

on $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{F}_p}^1$. This is a supermorse function of degree 2, with critical values $S = \{-2, 2\}$.

Applying the previous example (this is the “dual” setting, where the weight of interest is the family of exponential sums), we see that for any $\ell \neq p$ and a suitable non-trivial ℓ -adic additive character ψ , a Tate-twisted Fourier transform $\tilde{\mathcal{G}} = (\mathrm{FT}_{\psi} \tilde{\mathcal{F}})(1/2)$ of the sheaf

$$(8.5) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{F}} = \ker(\phi_* \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{tr}} \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell})$$

satisfies

$$(\mathrm{tr} \tilde{\mathcal{G}})(k, x) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{|k|}} \sum_{y \in k^{\times}} \psi(\mathrm{tr}_{k/\mathbf{F}_p}(x\phi(y))) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{|k|}} \sum_{y \in k^{\times}} \psi(\mathrm{tr}_{k/\mathbf{F}_p}(xy + xy^{-1}))$$

for any finite extension $k/\mathbf{F}_p$ and $x \in k^{\times}$, and $(\mathrm{tr} \tilde{\mathcal{G}})(k, 0) = 1/\sqrt{|k|}$. For a suitable ψ , this means that $\mathcal{K}^{(1)} = \tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ has the “right” trace function $K_1(x)$ for $x \in \mathbf{F}_p$. By Proposition 8.2, this sheaf $\mathcal{K}^{(1)}$ is an irreducible Fourier sheaf of rank 2, it is lisse on $\mathbf{G}_m$, and has conductor

$$\mathrm{cond}(\mathcal{K}^{(1)}) \leq 2|S| + 2 = 6.$$

Now we define $\mathcal{K}^{(d)}$ for $d \geq 2$ as the d -th symmetric power of $\mathcal{K}^{(1)}$. This has the desired trace function $K_d(n)$ for $n \in \mathbf{F}_p$, by construction. For all d , $\mathcal{K}^{(d)}$ is geometrically irreducible, because the geometric monodromy group of $\mathcal{K}^{(1)}$ is SL_2 (a very special case of [23, Th. 11.1]) and the symmetric powers are the irreducible representations of SL_2 . Since $\mathcal{K}^{(d)}$ is of rank $d + 1$, it follows also that $\mathcal{K}^{(d)}$ is a Fourier sheaf (see [24, §7.3.6]) for all $d \geq 2$.

It is also easy to estimate the analytic conductor of $\mathcal{K}^{(d)}$: the rank is $d + 1$, the number of singularities is always 2, the Swan conductor at 0 is 0 and at ∞ it is $\leq d + 1$. Indeed, the only breaks are 1, and 0 if d is even, since

$$(8.6) \quad \mathcal{K}^{(d)}(\infty) \simeq \bigoplus_{j=0}^d \mathcal{L}_{\psi(2(d-2j)Y)}$$

from (8.3) with $S = \{-2, 2\}$. Thus

$$\text{cond}(\mathcal{K}^{(d)}) \leq d + 1 + 2 + d + 1 = 2d + 4.$$

□

We consider now the ramification behavior of $\mathcal{K}^{(d)}$, which we will use to determine exactly $\mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{K}^{(d)}}$.

Lemma 8.4. *For $d \geq 1$, let $\mathcal{K}^{(d)}$ be the sheaf constructed above, and let $\mathcal{G}^{(d)} = \text{FT}_\psi(\mathcal{K}^{(d)})$ for some non-trivial ℓ -adic additive character ψ . For p large enough as a function of d , the following properties hold:*

(1) *The rank of $\mathcal{G}^{(d)}$ is equal to d . Moreover, $\mathcal{G}^{(d)}$ is tamely ramified at*

$$-2d, \quad -2d + 2 \quad \dots \quad 2d - 2, \quad 2d.$$

(2) *The sheaf $\mathcal{G}^{(1)}$ is lisse at 0 and ∞ . If $d \geq 3$ is odd, then $\mathcal{G}^{(d)}$ is lisse at 0 and tamely ramified at ∞ .*

(3) *If d is even, then $\mathcal{G}^{(d)}$ is tamely ramified at 0 and ∞ .*

(4) *If $d \geq 2$, then for $s \in \mathbf{A}^1$ such that $\mathcal{G}^{(d)}$ is ramified at s , the invariants of inertia at s are of codimension 1. At ∞ , the invariants of inertia are of dimension 1.*

Proof. The starting point is the decomposition

$$\mathcal{K}^{(d)}(\infty) \simeq \bigoplus_{j=0}^d \mathcal{L}_{\psi(2(d-2j)Y)}$$

of $\mathcal{K}^{(d)}$ at ∞ given by (8.6). In addition, at 0, the sheaf $\mathcal{K}^{(d)}$ is tamely ramified with a single Jordan block of size $d + 1$ (for $d = 1$, this is because $\mathcal{K}^{(1)}$ has tame pseudoreflexion monodromy, and for $d \geq 2$ it follows since $\mathcal{K}^{(d)}$ is the d -symmetric power of $\mathcal{K}^{(1)}$).

From this we see first by [24, Lemma 7.3.9 (2)] that the rank of $\mathcal{G}$ is d (the only contribution comes from 0 with Swan conductor 0 and drop equal to d).

Next, by [24, Cor. 7.4.5, (1)], it follows that $\mathcal{G}$ is lisse on $\mathbf{A}^1 - B_d$ where $B_d = \{2d, 2d-4, \dots, -2d\}$. For d odd (and p large enough), 0 does not appear in this list, and therefore $\mathcal{G}$ is then lisse at 0. Moreover, by [24, Cor. 7.4.5, (2)], $\mathcal{G}$ is tamely ramified at $s \in B_d$, and hence for d even, $\mathcal{G}$ is tamely ramified at 0. Still for $s \in B_d$, $\mathcal{G}$ has tame pseudoreflexion monodromy at s by [24, Cor. 7.4.6 (1)], in particular the invariants under inertia at 0 are of codimension 1.

It remains to understand the ramification at ∞ . By [24, Cor. 7.4.2], we can write the stationary phase decomposition of $\mathcal{G}$ at ∞ in the form

$$N_0 \oplus N_\infty,$$

where N_∞ is the local Fourier transform functor denoted $\text{FT}_\psi \text{loc}(\infty, \infty)(\mathcal{K}^{(d)}(\infty))$ in loc. cit. and we have

$$N_0 = \text{FT}_\psi \text{loc}(0, \infty)(\mathcal{K}^{(d)}(0)/\mathcal{K}_0^{(d)}),$$

where $\mathcal{K}_0^{(d)}$ is the stalk of $\mathcal{K}^{(d)}$ at 0.

We know that all breaks of $\mathcal{K}^{(d)}$ at infinity are ≤ 1 , and therefore $N_\infty = 0$ (by [24, Th. 7.4.1, (1)]).

Furthermore, since $\mathcal{K}^{(d)}(0)$ has a single Jordan block of size $d + 1$, the stalk at 0 being the invariants under the inertia group at 0, we see that $\mathcal{K}^{(d)}(0)/\mathcal{K}_0^{(d)}$ is a single Jordan block of size d . Then, by [24, Th. 7.4.4 (3)], N_0 is also a single unipotent Jordan block of size d . If $d = 1$, this means in fact that $\mathcal{G}$ is lisse at ∞ , and otherwise it shows that the invariants of inertia acting on $\mathcal{G}$ have dimension 1 at ∞ . □

8.4. Hyper-Kloosterman sums. Let $m \geq 2$ and let p be a prime number. By results of Deligne (see [23, 11.0]), for all $\ell \neq p$, and any non-trivial ℓ -adic additive character ψ , there exists a sheaf $\mathcal{K}\ell_m$ on $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{F}_p}^1$ such that

$$(\mathrm{tr} \mathcal{K}\ell_m)(k, a) = (-1)^{m-1} |k|^{(1-m)/2} \sum_{\substack{x_1 \cdots x_m = a \\ x_i \in k}} \psi(x_1 + \cdots + x_m)$$

for all finite extensions $k/\mathbf{F}_p$ and all $a \in k^\times$. This sheaf is irreducible, of rank $m \geq 2$ and pointwise pure of weight 0, and in particular, it is an admissible sheaf.

Now fix a non-constant rational fraction, $\phi(T) = R(T)/S(T)$, $R(T), S(T) \in \mathbf{Z}[T]$. Assuming that p is large enough (greater than the degree of R, S and all their coefficients), the sheaf $\mathcal{K}\ell_{m,\phi} = \phi^* \mathcal{K}\ell_m$ satisfies

$$(\mathrm{tr} \mathcal{K}\ell_{m,\phi})(\mathbf{F}_p, a) = (-1)^{m-1} \mathrm{Kl}_m(\phi(a); p)$$

for $a \in \mathbf{F}_p - \phi^{-1}(\{0, \infty\})$. The following result is the first step towards the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proposition 8.5. *If ϕ is non-constant, the sheaf $\mathcal{K}\ell_{m,\phi}$ above is admissible and has conductor $\leq 2m + 1 + \deg(RS)$.*

Proof. Deligne has shown that $\mathcal{K}\ell_m$ has rank m , is lisse on $\mathbf{G}_m$, and is tame at 0 and totally wild at ∞ with Swan conductor 1, so that

$$\mathrm{cond}(\mathcal{K}\ell_m) = m + 3$$

(see, e.g., [23, 11.0.2]).

It follows therefore that $\mathcal{K}\ell_{m,\phi}$ is of rank m , is lisse outside of the set $\phi^{-1}(\{0, \infty\})$, is tame at the zeros of ϕ and wild at its poles. At a pole $x \in \phi^{-1}(\infty)$ of order d_x , the map ϕ is generically étale, and hence we know that $\mathrm{Swan}_x(\phi^* \mathcal{K}\ell_m) = d_x \mathrm{Swan}_\infty(\mathcal{K}\ell_m) = d_x$ by [23, 1.13.1]. Finally, Katz has showed that $\mathcal{K}\ell_m$ is Lie-irreducible (see [23, Thm. 11.1]), i.e., that its restriction to any finite-index subgroup of the fundamental group of $\mathbf{G}_m$ is irreducible. Since ϕ is non-constant, this shows that $\mathcal{K}\ell_{m,\phi}$ is also irreducible, hence admissible. $\square$

We can now consider the weights in Theorem 1.2 (note that for $m = 2$, the symmetric powers of Kloosterman sums are of this type). First, let

$$\Lambda_1 : \mathrm{GL}_m \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V_1), \quad \Lambda_2 : \mathrm{GL}_m \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V_2),$$

be two irreducible algebraic representations of GL_m with characters χ_1 and χ_2 . On $\mathbf{G}_m$, we can form the lisse sheaf

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}} = \Lambda_1(\mathcal{K}\ell_{m,\phi}) \otimes \mathrm{D}(\Lambda_2(\mathcal{K}\ell_{m,\phi}))$$

which has trace function

$$(\mathrm{tr} \tilde{\mathcal{F}})(\mathbf{F}_p, a) = \chi_1((-1)^{m-1} \mathrm{Kl}_m(\phi(a); p)) \overline{\chi_2((-1)^{m-1} \mathrm{Kl}_m(\phi(a); p))}$$

for $a \in \mathbf{F}_p$ with $\phi(a) \notin \{0, \infty\}$. This is of the form

$$\Phi_{\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2}(\mathrm{Kl}_m(\phi(a); p), \mathrm{Kl}_m(\phi(a); p))$$

for some $\Phi_{\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2} \in \mathbf{C}[U, V]$. In fact, representation theory of GL_m shows that the linear span of these polynomials $\Phi_{\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2}$ is equal to $\mathbf{C}[U, V]$. Thus, it is enough to deal with these specific polynomials in order to prove Theorem 1.2 for an arbitrary Φ .

If needed, we replace $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ by its semisimplification on $\mathbf{G}_m$ (without changing notation). We then write this as

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}} = \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_1 \oplus \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_2,$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_2$ is the direct sum of the irreducible components of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ which are geometrically isomorphic to Artin-Schreier sheaves $\mathcal{L}_\psi$.

Now consider simply $\mathcal{F}_i = j_* \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_i$, where j is the inclusion of $\mathbf{G}_m$ in $\mathbf{A}^1$. The contribution to the weight $K(n)$ of the sheaf $\mathcal{F}_2$ is a sum of at most $\dim(\Lambda_1) \dim(\Lambda_2)$ additive characters, and is therefore no problem. As for $\mathcal{F}_1$, it is now a Fourier sheaf, and its conductor satisfies

$$\text{cond}(\mathcal{F}_1) \leq 2 \dim(\Lambda_1) \dim(\Lambda_2) + \deg(RS).$$

We can therefore apply Proposition 7.3 to decompose the trace weight associated to $\mathcal{F}_1$ into a sum of admissible trace weights and delta functions. We then apply Theorems 1.11 and 1.5 to finish the proof of Theorem 1.2.

9. COHOMOLOGICAL INTERPRETATION OF ADMISSIBILITY

Let $K(n)$ be an admissible trace weight modulo p , associated to an admissible sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ with Fourier transform $\mathcal{G}$, computed with respect to some non-trivial additive character ψ .

Let

$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{PGL}_2(\mathbf{F}_p).$$

Then the sheaf $\gamma^* \mathcal{G}$ has trace function given by

$$(\text{tr } \gamma^* \mathcal{G})(k, x) = (\text{tr } \mathcal{G})(k, \gamma \cdot x)$$

for any finite extension $k/\mathbf{F}_p$ and $x \in \mathbf{P}^1(k)$, and hence the constructible sheaf

$$\mathcal{H}_\gamma = \gamma^* \mathcal{G} \otimes D(\mathcal{G}),$$

on $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{F}_p}^1$ satisfies

$$\iota((\text{tr } \mathcal{H}_\gamma)(\mathbf{F}_p, x)) = p \hat{K}(\gamma \cdot x) \overline{\hat{K}(x)},$$

for $x \in \mathbf{F}_p - \{-d/c\}$, and therefore

$$(9.1) \quad \mathcal{C}(K; \gamma) = \iota \left(\frac{1}{p} \sum_{\substack{z \in \mathbf{F}_p \\ z \neq -d/c}} (\text{tr } \mathcal{H}_\gamma)(\mathbf{F}_p, z) \right)$$

The cohomological theory of Grothendieck and Deligne is exactly suited to the understanding of such sums, and one derives a criterion for estimating $\mathcal{C}(K; \gamma)$ with square-root cancellation. We recall the definition 1.12

$$\mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{F}} = \{\gamma \in \text{PGL}_2(\bar{\mathbf{F}}_p) \mid \gamma^* \mathcal{G} \text{ is geometrically isomorphic to } \mathcal{G}\}$$

of the Fourier-Möbius group of $\mathcal{F}$.

Theorem 9.1 (Cohomological bound for correlation sums). *With notation and assumptions as in this section, let U denote the largest open subset of $\mathbf{A}^1$ where $\mathcal{G}$ is lisse. There exist constants M_1 and M_2 depending only on the conductor of $\mathcal{F}$ such that*

$$(9.2) \quad |\mathcal{C}(K; \gamma)| \leq M_1 + M_2 p^{1/2}$$

if $\gamma \notin \mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$. Precisely, one can take

$$(9.3) \quad M_1 \leq 6 \text{cond}(\mathcal{F})^3, \quad M_2 \leq 30 \text{cond}(\mathcal{F})^6.$$

These last bounds are certainly not sharp, but they show that the result is completely effective and explicit.

Proof. The sheaf $\mathcal{H}_\gamma$ is pointwise pure of weight 2 on any open subset $\mathbf{P}^1$ on which it is lisse, in particular on the non-empty open set

$$U_\gamma = \gamma^{-1}U \cap U \subset \mathbf{A}^1 - \{-d/c\}.$$

According to the Grothendieck-Lefschetz trace formula (see, e.g., [10, Rapport, Th. 3.2]), we have

$$(9.4) \quad \sum_{z \in U_\gamma(k)} (\mathrm{tr} \mathcal{H}_\gamma)(k, z) = \mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{Fr} \mid H_c^0(U_\gamma \times \bar{\mathbf{F}}_p, \mathcal{H}_\gamma)) \\ - \mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{Fr} \mid H_c^1(U_\gamma \times \bar{\mathbf{F}}_p, \mathcal{H}_\gamma)) + \mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{Fr} \mid H_c^2(U_\gamma \times \bar{\mathbf{F}}_p, \mathcal{H}_\gamma))$$

for any finite extension $k/\mathbf{F}_p$, where Fr denotes here the geometric Frobenius of $\mathbf{F}_p$ acting on the cohomology groups of the sheaf.

Since U_γ is an affine curve, we have $H_c^0(U_\gamma \times \bar{\mathbf{F}}_p, \mathcal{H}_\gamma) = 0$ (see, e.g., [11, (1.4.1)b]). Next, the coinvariant formula for H_c^2 on a curve (see [11, (1.4.1)b]) states that $H_c^2(U_\gamma \times \bar{\mathbf{F}}_p, \mathcal{H}_\gamma)$ is isomorphic to the space of coinvariants of $\pi_1(U_\gamma \times \bar{\mathbf{F}}_p, \bar{\eta})$ acting on $\mathcal{H}_{\gamma, \bar{\eta}}$. In particular, we have

$$H_c^2(U_\gamma \times \bar{\mathbf{F}}_p, \mathcal{H}_\gamma) = 0$$

if this coinvariant space is zero. We next show that this is the case if $\gamma \notin \mathbf{G}_\mathcal{F}$.

Since $\mathcal{F}$ is admissible, it is geometrically isotypic when restricted to an open set V where it is lisse. Let $j : V \hookrightarrow \mathbf{A}^1$ be the open immersion of V in the affine line. There exists a (geometrically) irreducible lisse sheaf $\mathcal{F}_1$ on $V \times \bar{\mathbf{F}}_p$ such that

$$\mathcal{F} \simeq (j_* \mathcal{F}_1)^{\oplus d}$$

as sheaves on $\mathbf{A}^1 \times \bar{\mathbf{F}}_p$ (since both sides are middle-extension sheaves which are isomorphic on $V \times \bar{\mathbf{F}}_p$). This formula shows that $j_* \mathcal{F}_1$ is a Fourier sheaf on $\mathbf{A}^1 \times \bar{\mathbf{F}}_p$. Taking the Fourier transforms, it follows that we have a geometric isomorphism

$$\mathcal{G} \simeq \mathrm{FT}(j_* \mathcal{F}_1)^{\oplus d},$$

and hence (since the Fourier transform of a geometrically irreducible sheaf is geometrically irreducible) that $\mathcal{G}$ is geometrically isotypic on U_γ , which irreducible component

$$\mathcal{G}_1 = \mathrm{FT}(j_* \mathcal{F}_1).$$

Applying γ and taking dual, we see that $\gamma^* \mathcal{G}$ and $D(\mathcal{G})$ are also lisse and geometrically isotypic on U_γ . Moreover, the geometrically irreducible components of $\gamma^* \mathcal{G}$ is $\gamma^* \mathcal{G}_1$, and that of $D(\mathcal{G})$ is $D(\mathcal{G}_1)$.

Finally, by Schur's Lemma, the coinvariant space of $\pi_1(U_\gamma \times \bar{\mathbf{F}}_p, \bar{\eta})$ acting on $\mathcal{H}_{\gamma, \bar{\eta}}$ is zero unless we have a geometric isomorphism

$$\gamma^* \mathcal{G}_1 \simeq \mathcal{G}_1,$$

which holds if and only if $\gamma^* \mathcal{G}$ is geometrically isomorphic to $\mathcal{G}$.

Thus, if $\gamma \notin \mathbf{G}_\mathcal{F}$, the only contribution to the expression above for $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{F}; \gamma)$ comes from the cohomology group $H_c^1(U_\gamma \times \bar{\mathbf{F}}_p, \mathcal{H}_\gamma)$. But since $\mathcal{H}_\gamma$ is pointwise pure of weight 2 on U_γ , it follows from Deligne's far-reaching version of the Riemann Hypothesis over finite fields (see [11, Th. 3.3.1]) that all eigenvalues of Fr acting on $H_c^1(U_\gamma \times \bar{\mathbf{F}}_p, \mathcal{H}_\gamma)$ are algebraic numbers, all conjugates of which are of modulus at most $|k|^{3/2}$.

We apply (9.4) for $k = \mathbf{F}_p$. Using (9.1) and taking into account the points $z \in \mathbf{F}_p - U_\gamma(\mathbf{F}_p)$ where $\mathcal{H}_\gamma$ may not be lisse, we obtain

$$|\mathcal{C}(K; \gamma)| \leq 2n(\mathcal{G}) \mathrm{rank}(\mathcal{G})^2 + p^{1/2} \dim H_c^1(U_\gamma \times \bar{\mathbf{F}}_p, \mathcal{H}_\gamma)$$

for $\gamma \notin \mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$ (where we use Lemma 7.1 to see that, at the points $z \in \mathbf{A}^1 - U_{\gamma}$ where $\mathcal{H}_{\gamma}$ is not lisse, it has local weights ≤ 1 at that point, and therefore $\mathrm{Fr}_{z,k}$ acting on $\mathcal{H}_{\gamma}$ has at most $(\mathrm{rank} \mathcal{G})^2$ eigenvalues of weight 1).

The final estimates $M_1 \leq 2n(\mathcal{G}) \mathrm{rank}(\mathcal{G})^2$ and $M_2 \leq \dim H_c^1(U_{\gamma} \times \bar{\mathbf{F}}_p, \mathcal{H}_{\gamma})$ are immediate consequences of Proposition 7.2, and its proof, namely

$$2n(\mathcal{G}) \leq 6 \mathrm{cond}(\mathcal{F}), \quad \mathrm{rank}(\mathcal{G}) = \mathrm{rank}(\gamma^* \mathcal{G}) \leq \mathrm{cond}(\mathcal{F})^2$$

by (7.3) and (7.4), respectively. $\square$

Theorem 9.1 justifies the definition 1.12 of the Fourier-Möbius group $\mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$ of an admissible sheaf. Note that this group $\mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$ depends on ψ , although the notation does not reflect this. More precisely, if $\psi'(x) = \psi(ax)$ for some $a \in \mathbf{F}_p^{\times}$ is another additive character of $\mathbf{F}_p$, we have

$$\mathrm{FT}_{\psi'}(\mathcal{F}) = [\times a]^* \mathcal{G} = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^* \mathcal{G}$$

and, with obvious notation, we get

$$\mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{F},\psi'} = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{F},\psi} \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \subset \mathrm{PGL}_2(\bar{\mathbf{F}}_p)$$

(in particular, $\mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is well-defined up to $\mathbf{F}_p$ -conjugacy).

Now from the definition of the Fourier-Möbius group and Theorem 9.1, we get our interpretation of $\mathbf{G}_{K,M}$ for irreducible trace weights:

Corollary 9.2. *Let p be a prime number, $\mathcal{F}$ an admissible ℓ -adic Fourier sheaf on $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{F}_p}^1$, with conductor $\leq N$ for some $N \geq 1$. Let K be the corresponding trace weight. Then, for M large enough, depending only on N , for instance*

$$M = 6 N^3 + 30 N^6,$$

we have $\mathbf{G}_{K,M} \subset \mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{F}_p)$.

Our goal is now to prove Theorem 1.11: all admissible trace weights are (p, M) -admissible, where M depends only on the conductor of the associated sheaf. This is done by distinguishing two cases, depending on whether the order of the finite subgroup $\mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{F}_p)$ is divisible by p or not.

For the first case, we have the following lemma which is an immediate consequence of the classification of Artin-Schreier sheaves (or of Weil's theory when spelled-out in terms of exponential sums).

Lemma 9.3. *Let p be a prime number, $\ell \neq p$ an auxiliary prime, ψ a non-trivial ℓ -adic additive character of $\mathbf{F}_p$. Let $\gamma_0 \in \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbf{F}_p)$, and let $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{L}_{\psi(\gamma_0(X))}$. Then for $\gamma \in \mathrm{PGL}_2(\bar{\mathbf{F}}_p)$, we have a geometric isomorphism $\gamma^* \mathcal{F} \simeq \mathcal{F}$ if and only if γ is in the unipotent radical of the stabilizer of $\gamma_0^{-1} \cdot \infty$.*

Below we denote by $U^x \subset \mathrm{PGL}_2$ the unipotent radical of the Borel subgroup of PGL_2 fixing $x \in \mathbf{P}^1$. Recall that, for $x \neq y$ in $\mathbf{P}^1$, we denote by $T^{x,y} \subset \mathrm{PGL}_2$ the maximal torus of elements fixing x and y , and by $N^{x,y}$ is its normalizer.

Proof of Theorem 1.11. By Corollary 9.2, there exists $M \geq 1$ depending only on N such that

$$\mathbf{G}_{K,M} \subset G = \mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{F}_p),$$

which is a subgroup of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbf{F}_p)$. We distinguish two cases:

— If $p \nmid |G|$, then the classification of finite subgroups of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\bar{\mathbf{F}}_p)$ of order coprime to the characteristic (see for instance [2] and the references there) show that we have either $|G| \leq 60$, or G is cyclic or dihedral. In the former situation, the non-trivial elements of G are non-parabolic and

belong to at most 59 different tori T^{x_i, y_i} and we have $(p, \max(59, M))$ -admissibility by Definition 1.3. In the cyclic or dihedral situation, one also knows that G is contained in the normalizer $N^{x, y}$ of a certain fixed maximal torus $T^{x, y}$ (indeed, if G is cyclic, all its elements are diagonalizable in a common basis, and it is a subgroup of a maximal torus; if G is dihedral of order $2r$, the cyclic subgroup of order r is contained in a maximal torus, and any element not contained in it is in the normalizer, see e.g., [2, Prop. 4.1]). Hence K is (p, M) -admissible, with at most one pair (x, y) in (1.10).

— If $p \mid |G|$, we fix $\gamma_0 \in G$ of order p and denote by $x \in \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{F}_p)$ its unique fixed point. From the geometric isomorphism $\gamma_0^* \mathcal{G} \simeq \mathcal{G}$, we deduce (by Proposition 7.5) that there exists $\alpha \in \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell^\times$ such that

$$(\mathrm{tr} \mathcal{G})(\mathbf{F}_p, \gamma_0 \cdot n) = \alpha (\mathrm{tr} \mathcal{G})(\mathbf{F}_p, n)$$

for all $n \in \mathbf{P}^1(\mathbf{F}_p)$. Now let $\sigma \in \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbf{F}_p)$ be such that

$$\sigma \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \sigma^{-1} = \gamma_0$$

and let $\mathcal{G}_1 = \sigma^* \mathcal{G}$. The formula above becomes

$$(\mathrm{tr} \mathcal{G}_1)(\mathbf{F}_p, n+1) = \alpha (\mathrm{tr} \mathcal{G}_1)(\mathbf{F}_p, n)$$

for all n . Iterating from $n = 0$, we find that either

$$p^{1/2} \hat{K}(n) = \iota((\mathrm{tr} \mathcal{G})(\mathbf{F}_p, n)) = 0$$

for all $n \neq x$, in which case we certainly have admissibility, or else α is a p -th root of unity, and then there exists $a \in \mathbf{F}_p$ such that

$$\iota((\mathrm{tr} \mathcal{G}_1)(\mathbf{F}_p, n)) = e\left(\frac{an}{p}\right) \iota((\mathrm{tr} \mathcal{G}_1)(\mathbf{F}_p, 0))$$

for all $n \in \mathbf{F}_p$. Hence we get

$$\hat{K}(n) = e\left(\frac{a\sigma^{-1}(n)}{p}\right) \hat{K}(\sigma \cdot 0)$$

for all $n \neq x$ in $\mathbf{F}_p$. If $a = 0$, it is obvious again that we have $(p, 1)$ -admissibility. If $a \neq 0$, what this means is that the trace weight $K(n)$ might as well have been taken from a possibly different sheaf $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ with Fourier transform geometrically isomorphic to the irreducible admissible sheaf

$$\mathcal{L}_{\psi(a\sigma^{-1}(X))}.$$

But for this sheaf, we know by Lemma 9.3 that $\mathbf{G}_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}} = U^x$, and in particular all elements of $\mathbf{G}_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}$ are parabolic. Furthermore, the conductor of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is absolutely bounded (the conductor of its Fourier transform is 3, and we apply the Fourier inversion and Proposition 7.2, or we could do a direct computation), so that $\mathbf{G}_{K, M} \subset \mathbf{G}_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}(\mathbf{F}_p)$ for some absolute constant M . It follows by Definition 1.3 that the weight K is (p, M) -admissible. $\square$

10. FURTHER PROPERTIES OF THE FOURIER-MÖBIUS GROUP

This section is independent of the remainder of the paper. We will prove some further general properties of the Fourier-Möbius group, which address natural questions and may be useful in further investigations or generalizations.

The first result is that the Fourier-Möbius group is an *algebraic* subgroup of PGL_2 . We thank R. Pink for explaining how to prove this fact:

Proposition 10.1 (Algebraicity of Fourier groups). *Let k be a finite field, and let $\mathcal{F}$ be an ℓ -adic admissible Fourier sheaf on $\mathbf{A}_k^1$. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be its Fourier transform. Then the subgroup $\mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{F}} \subset \mathrm{PGL}_2(k)$ is an algebraic subgroup defined over k .*

Proof. Let $S \subset \mathbf{P}^1$ be the divisor of singularities of $\mathcal{G}$, so that $U = \mathbf{P}^1 - S$ is the largest open set on which it is lisse. Because $\mathcal{G}$ is non-constant (the sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ would have to be a “Dirac” sheaf supported on a single point for this to happen, and such a sheaf is not a Fourier sheaf), we have $S \neq \emptyset$. Let $G \subset \mathrm{PGL}_2$ be the stabilizer of S , which is a proper algebraic subgroup of PGL_2 defined over $\mathbf{F}_p$. Then we have a first inclusion $\mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{F}} \subset G$.

Now we work over $\bar{k}$, and just denote by U its base-change to $\bar{k}$. We consider the action morphism

$$\mu : \begin{cases} G \times U \longrightarrow U \\ (\gamma, x) \mapsto \gamma \cdot x \end{cases}$$

and the second projection $p_2 : G \times U \longrightarrow U$, and we define the sheaf

$$\mathcal{E} = \mu^* \mathcal{G} \otimes p_2^* \mathrm{D}(\mathcal{G})$$

on $G \times U$ and the higher direct-image $\mathcal{I} = R^2 p_{1,!} \mathcal{E}$, which is a sheaf on the algebraic group $G/\bar{k}$. By the base-change theorem for higher-direct images with compact support [10, Arcata, IV, Th. 5.4], the stalk of $\mathcal{I}$ at a geometric point $\gamma \in G(\bar{k})$ is naturally isomorphic to $H_c^2(U, \gamma^* \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathrm{D}(\mathcal{G}))$.

Furthermore, the constructibility theorem for higher direct images with compact support [10, Arcata, IV, Th. 6.2] shows that $\mathcal{I}$ is a constructible ℓ -adic sheaf on G . This implies (see also [10, Rapport, Prop. 2.5]) that for any $d \geq 0$, the set

$$\{\gamma \in G(\bar{k}) \mid \dim \mathcal{I}_\gamma = \dim H_c^2(U, \gamma^* \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathrm{D}(\mathcal{G})) = d\}$$

is constructible in $G(\bar{k})$, i.e., is a finite union of locally-closed subsets. In particular, the set of all γ where

$$H_c^2(U, \gamma^* \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathrm{D}(\mathcal{G})) \neq 0,$$

is constructible. By the construction in Theorem 9.1 (recall this depends on the fact that $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ are geometrically isotypic), this set is exactly $\mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$. Since it is well-known that a constructible subgroup of an algebraic group is Zariski-closed (see, e.g., [5, Ch. I, Prop. 1.3]), we conclude therefore that $\mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is a closed subgroup of PGL_2 . $\square$

The next result contains both a converse of Lemma 9.3, and a characterization of sheaves where the Fourier-Möbius group contains a maximal torus.

Proposition 10.2 (Sheaves with large Fourier-Möbius groups). *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an irreducible ℓ -adic Fourier sheaf on $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{F}_p}^1$, with Fourier transform $\mathcal{G} = \mathrm{FT}_{\psi}(\mathcal{F})$ with respect to some non-trivial additive character ψ .*

(1) *If there exists $x \in \mathbf{P}^1$ such that $\mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{F}} \supset U^x$, then $\mathcal{G}$ is geometrically isomorphic to $\mathcal{L}_{\psi_0(\gamma_0(X))}$ for some non-trivial additive character ψ_0 , where $\gamma_0 \in \mathrm{PGL}_2$ is such that $\gamma_0 \cdot x = \infty$. In that case, we have $\mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{F}} = U^x$.*

(2) *If there exist $x \neq y$ in $\mathbf{P}^1$ such that $\mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{F}} \supset T^{x,y}$, then $\mathcal{G}$ is geometrically isomorphic to $\mathcal{L}_{\chi_0(\gamma_0(X))}$ for some non-trivial multiplicative character χ_0 , where $\gamma_0 \in \mathrm{PGL}_2$ is such that $\gamma_0 \cdot x = 0$, $\gamma_0 \cdot y = \infty$. In that case, we have $\mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{F}} = T^{x,y}$ if χ_0 is not of order 2, and $\mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{F}} = N^{x,y}$, the normalizer of $T^{x,y}$, if $\chi_0^2 = 1$.*

Note that Section 1.3, (2) is a case of (1), and Section 1.3, (4) is an instance of (2).

Proof. (1) By conjugation with a matrix γ_0 with $\gamma_0 \cdot x = \infty$, we may assume that $x = \infty$. The assumption is then that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^* \mathcal{G} \simeq \mathcal{G},$$

for any $t \in \bar{\mathbf{F}}_p$, where the symbol $\simeq$ denotes geometric isomorphism. Then we can apply [25, Lemma 2.6.13] to deduce that

$$\mathcal{G} \simeq \mathcal{L}_{\psi_0(X)}$$

geometrically, for some additive ℓ -adic character ψ_0 . It follows then from the classification of Artin-Schreier sheaves that, if ψ_0 is non-trivial, and $\gamma \notin U^\infty$, we do not have $\gamma^* \mathcal{L}_{\psi_0(X)} \simeq \mathcal{L}_{\psi_0(X)}$ (compare with the proof of Lemma 9.3), and hence the Fourier-Möbius group is equal to U^∞ . The converse assertion is immediate.

(2) As before, we may first conjugate using some γ_0 to reduce to the case where $x = 0$, $y = \infty$, so that the assumption is

$$\mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{F}} \supset T = T^{0,\infty} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

for all $a, d \in \bar{k}$. By [25, Lemma 2.6.13], again, there exists a multiplicative character χ_0 such that

$$\mathcal{G} \simeq \mathcal{L}_{\chi_0(X)}.$$

This character is non-trivial (since $\mathcal{G}$ is a Fourier sheaf). To finish computing $\mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$, we use the fact that $\mathcal{L}_{\chi_0(X)}$ is tamely ramified at 0 and ∞ , and hence

$$\mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{F}} \subset N = N^{0,\infty} = T \cup \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\},$$

the normalizer of T in PGL_2 . Clearly, $T \subset \mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{L}_{\chi_0(X)}}$. If $\gamma \in N - T$, on the other hand, we have $\gamma^* \mathcal{F}_{\chi_0(X)} \simeq \mathcal{F}_{\chi_0(X^{-1})}$, and by the classification of Kummer sheaves, it follows that $\gamma \in \mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{L}_{\chi_0(X)}}$ if and only if $\chi_0 = \chi_0^{-1}$, i.e., if χ_0 is of order 2. $\square$

11. EXAMPLES OF DETERMINATION OF $\mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$

In principle, Theorem 1.11 solves completely the question of admissibility for admissible trace weights, reducing it to an estimation of the conductor of the associated sheaf. However we find it instructive to determine $\mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$ as precisely as possible for interesting families of weights, as was already done in Section 1.3 in simple cases. This gives illustrations of the various possibilities, and would be a first step in trying to improve the generic exponent $1/8$.

11.1. Mixed characters. We will now prove Theorem 1.13. Let ϕ_1, ϕ_2 and χ be as in the theorem. For any prime p large enough, Example 8.1 and Proposition 8.1 show that the weight (1.6) is an irreducible trace weight coming from an ℓ -adic sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ given by (8.1). By Proposition 8.1, (2), the analytic conductor of $\mathcal{F}$ is bounded uniformly, when p varies, by some M which depends only on the degrees of numerator and denominator of ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 . Hence, by Theorem 1.11, the fact that the weights $K(n)$ are (p, M) -optimal for all p and some fixed $M \geq 1$, depending only on these degrees, follows from the next proposition, which concludes the proof of Theorem 1.13.

Proposition 11.1. *Let*

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{L}_{\eta(\phi_2)} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\psi(\phi_1)}$$

be a sheaf as above, where either ϕ_1 is not a polynomial of order ≤ 1 , or η is non-trivial of order $h \geq 2$ and ϕ_2 is not of the form $t\phi_3(X)^h$ for some $t \in \mathbf{F}_p^\times$, and $\phi_3 \in \mathbf{F}_p(X)$. Then we have $\mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is contained in B (the stabilizer of ∞) or $N^{0,\infty}$ the normalizer of the diagonal torus.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{G}$ denote the Fourier transform of $\mathcal{F}$ as usual. For $\gamma \in \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbf{F}_p)$, we use the location of singularities of the sheaves $\mathcal{G}$ and $\gamma^* \mathcal{G}$, which must coincide, as a first constraint on γ . Precisely, we claim:

Claim. If either ϕ_1 is not a polynomial of degree ≤ 1 , or else χ is non-trivial and ϕ_2 is not of the form $tX^d\phi_3(X)^h$ for some $t \in \mathbf{F}_p^\times$, $d \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $\phi_3 \in \mathbf{F}_p(X)$, then the Fourier transform $\mathcal{G}$ is wildly ramified at $\infty \in \mathbf{P}^1 - \mathbf{A}^1$, and tamely ramified on $\mathbf{A}^1$. On the other hand if ϕ_1 is of degree ≤ 1 and ϕ_2 is of the form tX^d for $h \nmid d$, then the Fourier transform $\mathcal{G}$ is lisse on $\mathbf{G}_m$ and ramified at 0 and ∞ .

If we grant this, we see that any $\gamma \in \mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$ must either fix ∞ or fix the set $\{0, \infty\}$, i.e., either $\mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is contained in B or in the normalizer of the diagonal torus $T^{0, \infty}$ as desired.

We now prove the claim, which is essentially a consequence of Laumon's analysis of the local behavior of Fourier transforms of ℓ -adic sheaves.

Let $r \geq 0$ be the order of the pole of ϕ_1 at $\infty \in \mathbf{P}^1 - \mathbf{A}^1$. If p is large enough so that ϕ_1 is Artin-Schreier reduced at ∞ (this conditions only depends on ϕ_1), the Swan conductor of $\mathcal{F}$ at infinity is given by

$$\text{Swan}_{\infty} \mathcal{F} = \text{Swan}_{\infty}(\mathcal{L}_{\psi(\phi_1)} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\eta(\phi_2)}) = \text{Swan}_{\infty}(\mathcal{L}_{\psi(\phi_1)}) = r$$

(see [10, Sommes Trig., (3.5.4)]; the Kummer factor is tame so does not affect the Swan conductor).

Consider first the case where $r \geq 2$. Then, by the same argument, we have

$$\text{Swan}_{\infty}(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\psi(tX)}) = \text{Swan}_{\infty}(\mathcal{L}_{\chi(\phi_2)} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\psi(\phi_1+tX)}) = r$$

for all $t \in \mathbf{A}^1$, since $\phi_1 + tX$ also has a pole of order r at ∞ . From [24, Lemma 7.3.9], we conclude that the Fourier transform $\mathcal{G}$ is lisse on all of $\mathbf{A}^1$. On the other hand, because of [24, Theorem 7.4.1, (1)], it is wildly ramified at ∞ (the local Fourier transform $\text{FT}_{\psi}(\infty, \infty)\mathcal{F}(\infty)$ is then non-zero and has breaks > 1), so we have the claim in that case.

The second case is when $r \leq 1$. By [24, Th. 7.6.3.1], there is a unique $s \in \mathbf{A}^1$ (which is determined by the condition that

$$\phi_1(X) = -sX + \tilde{\phi}_1(X)$$

with $\tilde{\phi}_1$ defined at ∞) such that $\mathcal{G}$ is lisse on $\mathbf{A}^1 - \{s\}$, and it is tame at s (see also [24, Cor. 7.4.5]).

We write the representation $\mathcal{G}(\infty)$ of the inertia group at ∞ corresponding to $\mathcal{G}$ as a direct sum

$$N_1 \oplus N_2 \oplus N_3,$$

corresponding respectively to breaks < 1 , $= 1$, > 1 . If $\mathcal{G}$ is *not* wildly ramified at ∞ , we have $N_3 = 0$, $N_2 = 0$. The first condition is automatic when $r \leq 1$ (by [24, 7.4.1, (2)]; note $\mathcal{F}$ is the extension by zero of its restriction to the maximal open set where it is lisse). The second property $N_2 = 0$ implies that $\mathcal{F}$ is lisse on $\mathbf{G}_m$, so that ϕ_1 has no pole on $\mathbf{G}_m$ (by [24, 7.4.1 (3), (7.4.4 (4))]). Finally, $N_1 = \text{FT}_{\psi}(0, \infty)(\mathcal{F}(0))$ must be tame, which by [24, 7.4.4, (4)] again means that $\mathcal{F}$ must be tame at 0, and thus that ϕ_1 also has no pole at 0. But a rational function with no pole on $\mathbf{A}^1$ is a polynomial, and $r \leq 1$ means it is of degree ≤ 1 .

If ϕ_1 is a polynomial of degree ≤ 1 , then by a linear change of variable, we may as well assume that $\phi_1 = 0$, so that $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{L}_{\eta(\phi_2)}$ is a Kummer sheaf.

Denoting by h the order of η , we can write $\phi_2 = \phi_3^h \phi_4$, where ϕ_4 has no zero or pole of order divisible by h . Then $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{L}_{\eta(\phi_4)}$, and our assumption in that case is that ϕ_4 is not constant.

Because Kummer sheaves are everywhere tame, we then see from [23, Cor. 8.5.8, (2)] that the Fourier transform $\mathcal{G}$ is lisse on $\mathbf{G}_m$. By [24, Cor. 7.4.5, (2)] (applied to $s = 0$), since the Kummer sheaf is tame at ∞ , it follows that $\mathcal{G}$ is also tamely ramified at 0. Now we look at ∞ . This time, by [24, Th. 7.4.4, (2), (3)], we see that, as a representation of the inertia group at ∞ , the sheaf $\mathcal{G}$ is isomorphic to

$$\bigoplus_{s \in S} \mathcal{L}_{\psi(sY)} \otimes \eta_s$$

where $S = \mathbf{P}^1 - U$ is the set of zeros and poles of ϕ_4 , and η_s is a non-trivial tame character of $\pi_1(\mathbf{G}_m \times \bar{\mathbf{F}}_p)$. If there exists *any* non-zero $s \in S$, it follows that $\mathcal{G}$ is wildly ramified at ∞ , because of the non-trivial Artin-Schreier factor $\mathcal{L}_{\psi(sY)}$. Otherwise $S = \{0\}$ meaning that ϕ_4 is, modulo p , a monomial, and $\mathcal{G}$ is tamely ramified at ∞ ; this finishes the proof of the claim. $\square$

Remark 11.2. With just a bit more work, it is possible to analyze more precisely $\mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$, and often to determine it exactly. For instance, once it is known that it is contained in the Borel subgroup of upper-triangular matrices, we can use the general formula

$$(11.1) \quad \mathcal{C}\left(K; \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}\right) = \sum_x K(x) \overline{K(ax)} e\left(\frac{\bar{d}bx}{p}\right),$$

(which follows easily from orthogonality of characters) to help determine $\mathbf{G}_{K,M}$ for suitable M . Alternatively, we can use the sheaf-theoretic version of this identity, namely

$$(11.2) \quad \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}^* \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathrm{D}(\mathcal{G}) \simeq (\mathcal{F} \otimes [\times(a/d)]^* \mathrm{D}(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\psi(bX/d)})(-1)$$

(for an admissible sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ with Fourier transform $\mathcal{G}$) in order to compute $\mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{F}} \cap B$. One can then check that the image of $\mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$ under the homomorphism

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \frac{a}{d}$$

has order which is bounded by a constant depending only on the degrees of numerator and denominator of ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 .

One can also give concrete examples. For example, take $\chi = 1$ and $\phi_1(X) = X^r + 1$, where $r \geq 2$, and let $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{L}_{\psi(\phi_1)}$. Then one sees from (11.1) that

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$$

whenever $(a/d)^r = 1$. In the opposite direction, if $\chi = 1$, $\phi(X) = X^{-1}$, we get from (11.1) that

$$\mathcal{C}\left(K; \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}\right) = S(b, 1 - a/d; p),$$

which is at most $2p^{1/2}$ except for $a = d$, $b = 0$, which corresponds to the identity in $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbf{F}_p)$. Similarly, it follows easily from (11.2) that $\mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{F}} = 1$ for $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{L}_{\psi(X^{-1})}$ since the right-hand side is $\mathcal{L}_{\psi((1-a/d)X^{-1}+bX/d)}$.

11.2. Symmetric powers of Kloosterman sums. We now compute the Fourier-Möbius group of the trace weights of Example 8.3, involving the sheaves $\mathcal{K}^{(d)}$ of Proposition 8.3.

Theorem 11.3. *Let $d \geq 1$ be an integer. Let p be a prime number, $\ell \neq p$ another prime and $\mathcal{K}^{(d)}$ the ℓ -adic sheaf of Proposition 8.3, for some additive character ψ . If p is large enough in terms of d , we have:*

(1) *If $d \geq 3$, then $\mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{K}^{(d)}} = 1$.*

(2) *If $d = 1$ then $\mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{K}^{(1)}}$ is the subgroup of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\bar{\mathbf{F}}_p)$ stabilizing the subset $\{-2, 2\}$, namely*

$$(11.3) \quad \mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{K}^{(1)}} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 4c \\ c & a \end{pmatrix} \right\} \cup \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & -4c \\ c & -a \end{pmatrix} \right\} \subset \mathrm{PGL}_2(\bar{\mathbf{F}}_p).$$

(3) *If $d = 2$ then $\mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{K}^{(2)}}$ is the subgroup of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\bar{\mathbf{F}}_p)$ stabilizing the subset $\{0, \infty, -4, 4\}$, namely⁴ a dihedral group of order 8 given by the matrices*

$$(11.4) \quad 1, \quad \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 16 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -16 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 4 & -16 \\ 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} -4 & 16 \\ 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} -4 & -16 \\ 1 & -4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 16 \\ 1 & -4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

⁴ These four points have cross-ratios $\{-1, 1/2, 2\}$, and one sees that any element of PGL_2 stabilizing this set permutes the two pairs $\{0, \infty\}$ and $\{-4, 4\}$.

The cases $d = 1$ and $d = 2$ give examples of trace weights where the Fourier-Möbius group is the normalizer of a maximal torus $T_{-4,4}$, and a finite subgroup not contained in the stabilizer of ∞ , respectively.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{G}^{(d)}$ be the Fourier transform of $\mathcal{K}^{(d)}$. Its ramification behavior was determined in Lemma 8.4.

(1) For $d \geq 3$, the point ∞ is singled out, as a ramification point of $\mathcal{G}^{(d)}$, as the unique singularity with invariants of inertia of dimension 1 (this fails for $d = 2$ when having invariants of dimension or codimension 1 are equivalent conditions!), so it must be fixed by $\mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{K}^{(d)}}$. This means that $\mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{K}^{(d)}} \subset B$ for $d \geq 3$.

Now let $\gamma \in \mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{K}^{(d)}}^{\text{opt}}$ with

$$\gamma \cdot z = az + b$$

for $z \in \mathbf{P}^1$. This element γ must permute the finite set

$$B_d = \{-2d, -2d + 2, \dots, 2d - 2, 2d\}$$

of singularities. It is easy to see that for p large enough, this is only possible for $\gamma = 1$. (Note that we do not need this last step to show that $\mathcal{K}^{(d)}$ is admissible.)

(2) For $d = 1$, the singularities of $\mathcal{G}^{(1)}$ are $\{-2, 2\}$. Hence $\mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{K}^{(1)}}$ is contained in the set-wise stabilizer of this set, and it is easy to check that the latter is given by (11.3).

What remains to be seen is that there is equality. But the inverse Fourier transform formula gives

$$\mathcal{G}(-1/2) = \ker(\phi_* \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell \rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell),$$

where ϕ is the function $\phi(x) = x + 1/x$ on $\mathbf{P}^1$, and we see (e.g. by computing the trace function by solving a quadratic equation) that we have an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{G}(-1/2) \simeq \mathcal{L}_{\chi_2(X^2-4)} \simeq \mathcal{L}_{\chi_2((X-2)/(X+2))}$$

where χ_2 is the Legendre character modulo p . This is one of the cases discussed in Proposition 10.2, from which it follows that $\mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{K}^{(1)}}$ is equal to the normalizer of the maximal torus $T_{-2,2}$, which is (11.3).

(3) For $d = 2$, the sheaf $\mathcal{G}^{(2)}$ has singularities $\{-4, 0, 4, \infty\}$, hence $\mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{K}^{(2)}}$ must act by permuting these. It is again an elementary computation that the setwise stabilizer H of these four points is the dihedral group of order 8 given in (11.4), so $\mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{K}^{(2)}} \subset H$. The converse is a rather remarkable geometric fact, which we only sketch.

First of all, we can interpret the trace function of the Fourier transform $\mathcal{G}^{(2)}$ of $\mathcal{K}^{(2)}$ in point-counting terms: for $k/\mathbf{F}_p$ a finite extension and $t \in k$, we have

$$(11.5) \quad (\text{tr } \mathcal{G}^{(2)})(k, t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t = 0, \\ a(C_t) & \text{if } t \in k^\times, \end{cases}$$

where C_t/k is the projective plane algebraic curve given by the homogeneous equation

$$Y_1^2 Y_2 + Y_1 Y_2^2 + Y_1 Z^2 + Y_2 Z^2 + t Y_1 Y_2 Z = 0,$$

and $a(C_t)$ is defined by

$$|C_t(k)| = |k| + 1 - a(C_t)$$

(in other words, we have an isomorphism $\mathcal{G}^{(2)} \simeq R^1 \pi_! \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell$, where $\pi : C \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$ is the surface defined by the equation above, at least when restricted to $\mathbf{G}_m$). This identity follows from (7.1) and $U_2 = X^2 - 1$, using a simple computation.

Now by Theorem A.1 in Appendix A below, for any $\gamma \in H$ and $t \in k - \{-4, 0, 4, \infty\}$, we have

$$(\text{tr } (\gamma^* \mathcal{G}^{(2)}))(k, t) = (\text{tr } \mathcal{G}^{(2)})(k, \gamma \cdot t) = a(C_{\gamma \cdot t}) = \alpha^{[k:\mathbf{F}_p]} a(C_t) = \alpha^{[k:\mathbf{F}_p]} (\text{tr } \mathcal{G}^{(2)})(k, t),$$

where α depends only on γ and is either 1 or -1 . Since $\mathcal{G}^{(2)}$ is irreducible, Proposition 7.5 shows that $\gamma^*\mathcal{G}^{(2)}$ is geometrically isomorphic to $\mathcal{G}^{(2)}$. $\square$

Remark 11.4. From the point of view of the analogies between Kloosterman sums and Bessel functions, and Fourier transforms over finite field and over $\mathbf{C}$, the start of the proof of the case $d = 2$ of Theorem 11.3 is similar to the fact that integrals of the type

$$\int_0^\infty J(x)^2 e^{-ax} dx, \quad \text{or} \quad \int_0^\infty J(x)^2 \cos(ax) dx$$

can, for various Bessel functions $J(x)$ (and possibly with some restrictions on a), be expressed in terms of elliptic integrals, e.g.

$$\int_0^\infty J_0(x)^2 e^{-ax} dx = \frac{2}{\pi\sqrt{a^2+4}} K\left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{a^2+4}}\right)$$

(a formula due to Beltrami; see [17, 6.612(4)], [36, 13.23 (4)]) where K is the complete elliptic integral (of the first kind), i.e.

$$K(k) = \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{d\varphi}{\sqrt{1-k^2 \sin^2 \varphi}}$$

(other examples are given by [17, 6.672, 6.694, 6.714]).

11.3. Fiber-counting functions. We consider here the irreducible trace weights arising in Example 8.2.

Proposition 11.5. *Let C and ϕ be as in Example 8.2, with ϕ supermorse. Let $p > \deg(\phi)$ be a prime of good reduction. Let*

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}} = \ker(\phi_* \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell \xrightarrow{\text{tr}} \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell)$$

be the irreducible ℓ -adic sheaf corresponding to the trace weight $K(x) = N_0(\phi; x) = N(\phi; x) - 1$.

If ϕ has degree ≥ 2 and 0 is not the unique critical value of ϕ , then $\mathbf{G}_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}$ is a subgroup of diagonal matrices of order bounded by $\deg(\phi) - 1$.

Proof. As explained in Example 8.2, the supermorse assumption implies that $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is irreducible, and that the Fourier transform sheaf $\mathcal{G} = \text{FT}_\psi(\tilde{\mathcal{F}})$ is lisse on $\mathbf{G}_m$, tamely ramified at 0 and wildly ramified at ∞ by (8.3), since our assumption implies that there exists some non-zero critical value. Thus $\mathbf{G}_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}$ is contained in the subgroup of $\text{PGL}_2(\mathbf{F}_p)$ fixing 0 and ∞ , i.e., in the subgroup of diagonal matrices.

Conversely, consider such a γ , so that $\gamma \cdot z = az$ for some $a \in \bar{\mathbf{F}}_p^\times$. At ∞ , the sheaf $\gamma^*\mathcal{G}$ decomposes as

$$\bigoplus_{s \in S} \mathcal{L}_{\psi(asY)},$$

so that the condition $\gamma^*\mathcal{G} \simeq \mathcal{G}$ implies that multiplication by a permutes the set S of critical values of ϕ . Hence a is an $|S|$ -th root of unity in $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_p$, and this gives the result. $\square$

11.4. Fourier transforms of fiber-counting functions. We now consider the “dual” $K'(n)$ of the trace weights of Example 8.2, i.e., the one-variable exponential sums

$$K'(n) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \sum_{x \in C(\mathbf{F}_p) - D(\mathbf{F}_p)} e\left(\frac{n\phi(x)}{p}\right), \quad n \in \mathbf{F}_p^\times,$$

for a function $\phi : C \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$, with

$$K'(0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} (p - |C(\mathbf{F}_p) - D(\mathbf{F}_p)|).$$

Here, in view of the application to twisted Hecke orbits, we prove:

Theorem 11.6. *Let $C/\mathbf{Q}$ be a geometrically connected smooth curve, $\phi : C \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$ a non-constant function defined over $\mathbf{Q}$ of degree ≥ 2 . For a prime p , let*

$$K(n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \sum_{x \in C(\mathbf{F}_p) - D(\mathbf{F}_p)} e\left(\frac{n\phi(x)}{p}\right)$$

for $1 \leq n \leq p-1$ and $K(p) = 0$.

There exists $M \geq 1$, depending only on ϕ , such that for all $p > \deg(\phi)$, there exist:

- *an integer d , $1 \leq d < \deg(\phi)$;*
- *admissible trace weights K_j for $1 \leq j \leq d$ associated to sheaves of conductor $\leq M$;*
- *integers n_j , $1 \leq n_j \leq p$, and coefficients α_j with $|\alpha_j| \leq M^2$;*

with the property that

$$K(n) = \sum_{j=1}^d K_j(n) + \sum_{j=1}^d \alpha_j \delta_{n_j}(n)$$

for $n \in \mathbf{F}_p$.

In particular, if V is a smooth compactly supported function on $[0, +\infty[$ satisfying Condition $(V(P, Q))$, and f is a Hecke eigenform of level N , we get

$$S_V(f, K; p) \ll p^{1-\delta} (PQ)^{1/2} (P+Q)^{1/2}$$

for any $\delta < 1/8$, where the implied constant depends on f , ϕ and δ .

Proof. The sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ constructed in Example 8.2 such that the trace function gives the exponential sums $K(n)$ is a Fourier sheaf (by [24, Lemma 7.10.2.1]). Although it is not necessarily admissible in this generality, we can apply Proposition 7.3 to obtain the statement. $\square$

This result is used in the proof of Corollary 1.19.

APPENDIX A. A REMARKABLE FAMILY OF ELLIPTIC CURVES

We state precisely the geometric fact we needed in the determination of the Fourier-Möbius group for the symmetric square of Kloosterman sums (Theorem 11.3, (3)).

Theorem A.1. *Let k be an arbitrary field of characteristic distinct from 2 and 3. For $z \in k - \{0, 4, -4, \infty\}$, let C_z/k be the projective plane curve given by*

$$X^2Y + XY^2 + XZ^2 + YZ^2 + zXYZ = 0.$$

(1) *Taking the point $[1 : -1 : 0]$ as origin, the curve C_z is an elliptic curve with affine Weierstrass model*

$$Y^2 - z^3XY = X^3 - 2z^4X^2 + z^8X,$$

and j -invariant given by

$$j(C_z) = \frac{z^{12} - 48z^{10} + 816z^8 - 5632z^6 + 13056z^4 - 12288z^2 + 4096}{z^4 - 16z^2} \in k(z).$$

Furthermore, denote by $\tilde{C}_z$ the quadratic twist of C_z by -1 .

(2) *For*

$$\gamma = 1 \quad \text{or} \quad \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

there exist k -isomorphisms $C_z \rightarrow C_{\gamma \cdot z}$.

(3) *For*

$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 16 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -16 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

there exist k -isogenies $C_z \longrightarrow C_{\gamma \cdot z}$ of degree 4

(4) For

$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & -16 \\ 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{or} \quad \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} -4 & 16 \\ 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix},$$

there exist k -isogenies $C_z \longrightarrow \tilde{C}_{\gamma \cdot z}$ of degree 8.

(5) For

$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} -4 & -16 \\ 1 & -4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{or} \quad \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 16 \\ 1 & -4 \end{pmatrix}$$

there exist k -isogenies $C_z \longrightarrow \tilde{C}_{\gamma \cdot z}$ of degree 8.

Proof. Part (1) is easy, and (2) is obvious. For (3), (4) and (5), one can certainly derive a conceptual proof from Beauville's classification [1] of stable families of elliptic curves with four singular fibers (though the precise rationality of the isomorphisms involved might not be clear). We obtained a computational check using the MAGMA software [6], the details of which are available upon request, and D. Zywna explained us how to prove this using explicit modular functions. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Beauville: *Les familles stables de courbes elliptiques sur $\mathbf{P}^1$ admettant quatre fibres singulières*, C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris 294 (1982), 657–660.
- [2] A. Beauville: *Finite subgroups of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(K)$* , Contemporary Math. 522, 23–29, A.M.S (2010).
- [3] V. Blomer, G. Harcos and Ph. Michel: *Bounds for modular L -functions in the level aspect*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (4) 40 (2007), no. 5, 697–740.
- [4] V. Blomer and G. Harcos: *Hybrid bounds for twisted L -functions*, J. reine und angew. Mathematik 621 (2008), 53–79.
- [5] A. Borel: *Linear algebraic groups*, Grad. Texts in Math. 126 (2nd ed.) Springer Verlag 1991.
- [6] W. Bosma, J. Cannon and C. Playoust: *The Magma algebra system, I. The user language*, J. Symbolic Comput., 24 (1997), 235–265; also <http://magma.maths.usyd.edu.au/magma/>
- [7] V.A. Bykovski: *A trace formula for the scalar product of Hecke series and its applications*, J. Math. Sciences 89 (1998), 915–932.
- [8] J.B. Conrey and H. Iwaniec: *The cubic moment of central values of automorphic L -functions*, Ann. of Math. (2) 151 (2000), no. 3, 1175–1216.
- [9] H. Davenport: *On character sums in finite fields*, Acta Math. 71 (1939), 99–121.
- [10] P. Deligne: *Cohomologie étale*, S.G.A 4 $\frac{1}{2}$, L.N.M 569, Springer Verlag (1977).
- [11] P. Deligne: *La conjecture de Weil, II*, Publ. Math. IHÉS 52 (1980), 137–252.
- [12] J.-M. Deshouillers and H. Iwaniec: *Kloosterman sums and Fourier coefficients of cusp forms*, Invent. math. 70 (1982/83), no. 2, 219–288.
- [13] W.D. Duke, J. Friedlander and H. Iwaniec: *Bounds for automorphic L -functions*, Invent. math. 112 (1993), 1–8.
- [14] W.D. Duke, J. Friedlander and H. Iwaniec: *The subconvexity problem for Artin L -functions*, Invent. math. 149 (2002), no. 3, 489–577.
- [15] A. Erdélyi, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger and F.G. Tricomi: *Higher transcendental functions*, Vol. II, McGraw Hill (1955).
- [16] É Fouvry and S. Ganguly: *Orthogonality between the Möbius function, additive characters, and Fourier coefficients of cusp forms*, preprint (2012).
- [17] I.S. Gradshteyn and I.M. Ryzhik: *Tables of integrals, series and products*, 5th ed. (edited by A. Jeffrey), Academic Press (1994).
- [18] D. R. Heath-Brown, *The density of rational points on cubic surfaces*, Acta Arith. 79 (1997), 17–30.
- [19] H. Iwaniec: *Fourier coefficients of modular forms of half-integral weight*, Invent. math. 87 (1987), no. 2, 385–401.
- [20] H. Iwaniec: *Topics in classical automorphic forms*, Grad. Studies in Math. 17, A.M.S (1997).
- [21] H. Iwaniec: *Introduction to the spectral theory of automorphic forms*, Biblioteca de la Revista Matematica Iberoamericana, Revista Matematica Iberoamericana, Madrid, 1995.
- [22] H. Iwaniec and E. Kowalski: *Analytic number theory*, A.M.S. Coll. Publ. 53 (2004).
- [23] N.M. Katz: *Gauss sums, Kloosterman sums and monodromy groups*, Annals of Math. Studies 116, Princeton Univ. Press (1988).

- [24] N.M. Katz: *Exponential sums and differential equations*, Annals of Math. Studies 124, Princeton Univ. Press (1990).
- [25] N.M. Katz: *Rigid local systems*, Annals of Math. Studies 139, Princeton Univ. Press (1993).
- [26] H. Kim and P. Sarnak: *Refined estimates towards the Ramanujan and Selberg conjectures*, J. American Math. Soc. 16 (2003), 175–181.
- [27] E. Kowalski, O. Robert and J. Wu: *Small gaps in coefficients of L -functions and $\mathfrak{B}$ -free numbers in small intervals*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana 23 (2007), 281–326.
- [28] G. Laumon: *Transformation de Fourier, constantes d'équations fonctionnelles et conjecture de Weil*, Publ. Math. IHÉS, 65 (1987), 131–210.
- [29] Ph. Michel and A. Venkatesh: *The subconvexity problem for GL_2* , Publ. Math. I.H.É.S 111, 171–271 (2010).
- [30] D. Ramakrishnan and J. Rogawski, *Average values of modular L -series via the relative trace formula*, Pure Appl. Math. Q. 1 (2005), no. 4, Special Issue: In memory of Armand Borel. Part 3, 701–735.
- [31] P. Sarnak: *Diophantine problems and linear groups*, in Proceedings of the I.C.M., 1990, Kyoto, Springer (1991), 459–471.
- [32] J-P. Serre: *Représentations linéaires des groupes finis*, 2ème Édition, Hermann, 1971.
- [33] A. Strömbergsson: *On the uniform equidistribution of long closed horocycles*, Duke Math. J. 123 (2004), no. 3, 507–547.
- [34] P. Sarnak and A. Ubis: *The horocycle flow at prime times*, preprint <http://arxiv.org/abs/1110.0777v1>
- [35] A. Venkatesh: *Sparse equidistribution problems, period bounds and subconvexity*, Ann. of Math. (2) 172 (2010), no. 2, 989–1094
- [36] G.N. Watson: *A treatise on the theory of Bessel functions*, 2nd ed., Cambridge Math. Library, Cambridge Univ. Press (1996).

UNIVERSITÉ PARIS SUD, LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUE, CAMPUS D'ORSAY, 91405 ORSAY CEDEX, FRANCE
E-mail address: `etienne.fouvry@math.u-psud.fr`

ETH ZÜRICH – D-MATH, RÄMISTRASSE 101, CH-8092 ZÜRICH, SWITZERLAND
E-mail address: `kowalski@math.ethz.ch`

EPFL/SB/IMB/TAN, STATION 8, CH-1015 LAUSANNE, SWITZERLAND
E-mail address: `philippe.michel@epfl.ch`